



Identification of potential locations for well placement in developed coalbed methane reservoirs



Alireza Salmachi ^{a,*}, Mohammad Reza Bonyadi ^b, Mohammad Sayyafzadeh ^a, Manouchehr Haghighi ^a

^a Australian School of Petroleum, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005 Australia

^b School of Computer Science, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005 Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 September 2013

Received in revised form 23 June 2014

Accepted 23 June 2014

Available online 28 June 2014

Keywords:

Well placement

Coalbed methane

Infill potential locations

Simulation model

Statistical analysis

Detection theory

ABSTRACT

This study investigates well placement in developed coalbed methane (CBM) reservoirs. A workflow is developed to find potential locations for well placement within the reservoir. It consists of a reservoir simulator and statistical analysis. The application of this workflow is to reduce the need to perform computationally expensive simulations in large reservoirs to obtain potential locations for drilling an additional well. The workflow is also used to study the role of dominant reservoir properties in finding potential locations for well placement. The effects of permeability anisotropy, gas and water relative permeabilities, sorption time, and water content in well placement are discussed.

Results demonstrate that permeability anisotropy results in the formation of elliptical drainage areas around the wells. When drainage patterns are orthogonal to the direction of placement of wells, the drainage area of the reservoir is large and penetrated into distant locations. This leads to a non-uniform drainage area and extends well placement options to distant locations. Comparison between well placement in two scenarios with different gas and water relative permeabilities shows that potential locations tend to be on a border region between existing wells and virgin area when water mobility is restricted by water relative permeabilities. This region has the advantage of having higher pressure and gas content compared to locations among existing wells. In this study, changing the sorption time does not affect the well placement within the reservoir. Except at very early times, gas production from presented reservoir models is mainly controlled by Darcy flow in cleat system (permeability-dominated) rather than diffusion process in coal matrix.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Well placement is an important task to minimize the risk of unproductive drilling and also to maximize the production. Successful well placement requires investigation of reservoir description, production performance, and economic evaluation (Gould and Sarem, 1989). Positioning a CBM well in optimal locations can maximize gas production, minimize water production, and extend the life of the wellbore. Optimal well placement in CBM reservoirs is attained when gas production is maximized while water production is kept at a minimum level (Clarkson and McGovern, 2005).

The failure of CBM projects is mainly related to low coal permeability (low gas rates) combined with low gas price at the market resulting in uneconomical gas production from coalbeds (Clarkson and Bustin, 2011). In wet coals, high cleat porosity results in higher water production from coalbed and it may cut down the profitability of CBM projects.

In Queensland, Australia, the average rate of water production from a coalbed well is almost 126 barrels per day per well and can vary between only a few barrels up to thousands of barrels per day per well (CSIRO, 2012). However the quality of CBM water is better than produced water from conventional gas wells (Rice and Nuccio, 2000), the cost of water treatment and disposal can be up to 2.5 \$/STB (Ham and Kantzas, 2008). The hydrodynamics of a CBM reservoir can be a key to understanding reservoir performance and designing well placement. Pashin conducted a study on hydrodynamics of CBM reservoirs in Black Warrior Basin and discussed the importance of reservoir hydrodynamics on reservoir pressure and well performance (Pashin, 2007).

Reservoir simulation and economic evaluation are widely used to optimize well placement in heterogeneous reservoirs (Hazlett and Babu, 2005). Clarkson and McGovern (2005) developed an integrated approach to optimize CBM exploration and development strategies by integration of reservoir simulation and economic tools (Clarkson and McGovern, 2005). Karacan et al. used a dynamic three dimensional reservoir model to study the effects of different horizontal methane drainage borehole patterns, borehole lengths, and degasification times to investigate their effectiveness on methane emission reduction (Karacan et al., 2007). Feng et al. (2012) optimized well placement in CBM reservoir by integration of reservoir simulation and particle

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +61 8 83133502.

E-mail addresses: alireza.salmachi@adelaide.edu.au (A. Salmachi), mohammadreza.bonyadi@cs.adelaide.edu.au (M.R. Bonyadi), Mohammad.sayyafzadeh@adelaide.edu.au (M. Sayyafzadeh), manouchehr.haghighi@adelaide.edu.au (M. Haghighi).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1753029>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1753029>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)