



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/petrol

CO₂ mobility control and sweep efficiency improvement using starch gel or ethylenediamine in ultra-low permeability oil layers with different types of heterogeneity

Fenglan Zhao^{a,b,c}, Hongda Hao^{a,b,c,*}, Jirui Hou^{a,b,c}, Libin Hou^{a,b,c}, Zhaojie Song^{a,b,c}

^a Enhanced Oil Recovery Institute, China University of Petroleum, Beijing 102249, China

^b Basic Theory Laboratory of Improving Oil Recovery in Low Permeability Oilfields, Tertiary oil Recovery Key Laboratory, CNPC, Beijing 102249, China

^c Key Laboratory of Greenhouse Gas Sequestration and Utilization, Beijing 102249, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 15 February 2015

Received in revised form

27 April 2015

Accepted 21 May 2015

Available online 24 May 2015

Keywords:

CO₂ flooding

Mobility control

Sweep efficiency

EOR

Reservoir heterogeneity

ABSTRACT

Reservoir heterogeneity and natural fractures greatly affect CO₂ flooding efficiency in ultra-low permeability oil reservoirs. CO₂ water alternating gas (WAG) flooding and the combinations of continuous CO₂ flooding and gas channeling treatments are recognized as effective approaches to improve CO₂ sweep efficiency. It is of major interest to propose the novel gas channeling treatments and conduct a feasibility study of different CO₂-EOR technologies. Heterogeneous cores with different permeability ratios and fracture model were utilized in the laboratory experiments to simulate different types of heterogeneity in the oil reservoirs. Continuous CO₂ flooding, CO₂ WAG flooding, continuous CO₂ flooding+one-stage gas channeling control, and continuous CO₂ flooding+two-stage gas channeling control were conducted after water flooding in homogeneous and heterogeneous cores and fracture model. Ethylenediamine and modified starch gel were proposed as blocking agents to mitigate gas channeling. During the experiments, the CO₂ flooding efficiency was evaluated through the oil recovery increment, fluid mobility control and the changes of producing pressure drop. Experimental results show that producing pressure drop during continuous CO₂ flooding decreases rapidly with an increase in permeability ratio. Continuous CO₂ flooding cannot displace much of remaining oil in low permeability layers due to high mobility of CO₂ and serious heterogeneity. WAG flooding can effectively control the fluid mobility and improve CO₂ flooding efficiency when the permeability ratio is less or equal to 30. Plenty of remaining oil can be displaced by combining continuous CO₂ flooding and gas channeling treatments. When the permeability ratio is less or equal to 100, ammonium carbamate, the reaction product of injected ethylenediamine and CO₂ can block off high permeability layer and impel the injecting gas into low permeability layer. The two-stage injection of modified starch gel and ethylenediamine can significantly mitigate the gas channeling within high-capacity gas channel model and fracture model during continuous CO₂ flooding, and the incremental oil recovery could be more than 20%. Pilot test of CO₂ flooding was operated in Northwest China and concentrations of CO₂ are monitored in six production wells. Two kinds of construction plans for gas channeling treatments have been preliminary designed to control the gas channeling in the pilot.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Since the demand for oil is increasing and the exploitation of conventional hydrocarbon reserves becomes more difficult, EOR projects have been widely implemented across the world. Among those projects, gas EOR projects account for a large proportion.

* Corresponding author at: Enhanced Oil Recovery Institute, China University of Petroleum, Beijing 102249, China.

E-mail address: haohongda90@126.com (H. Hao).

According to the analysis for EOR projects conducted by Adasani and Bai (2011), the percentage of gas EOR technology making up the world EOR projects has increased to 41% due to the adoption of miscible flooding methods.

CO₂ flooding cannot only enhance oil recovery, but also sequester CO₂ and effectively reduce gas emission, which has a great potential and application prospect in China. Evaluation of CO₂-EOR and sequestration potential in low permeability layers, Yanchang Oilfield, China shows that CO₂ flooding performed after water flooding can produce 180.21 × 10⁶ t or even more crude oil

Nomenclature

V_k	permeability ratio;	Δp	producing pressure drop, MPa;
\bar{M}_{w-o}	average water/oil mobility ratio;	T_0	standard temperature—273.15 K;
\bar{M}_{g-o}	average gas/oil mobility ratio;	T	formation temperature—333.15 K;
K_e	absolute permeability of linear dimensional model, mD;	Z_0, Z	gas compressibility factors;
K_{wi}	the effective permeability of water phase, mD;	B_w	water volume factor;
K_{oi}	the effective permeability of oil phase, mD;	B_o	oil volume factor;
K_{gi}	the effective permeability of gas phase, mD;	B_g	gas volume factor;
\bar{S}_w	average water saturation after displacement front;	Q_w	water production rate under surface conditions, mL/min;
\bar{S}_o	average oil saturation before displacement front;	Q_o	oil production rate under surface conditions, mL/min;
λ_w	water mobility;	Q_g	gas-producing rate under surface conditions, mL/min;
λ_o	oil mobility;	WOR	water/oil ratio;
λ_g	gas mobility;	GOR	gas/oil ratio
A	cross sectional area of the core, cm ² ;	CI	colloid unstable index;
p_0	standard pressure—101.325 kPa;	S	content of saturated hydrocarbon, %;
p_1	injecting pressure, MPa;	Ar	content of aromatic hydrocarbon, %;
p_2	producing pressure, MPa;	R	content of resin, %;
		As	content of asphaltene, %.

and sequester 223.38×10^6 t CO₂ (D. Zhao et al., 2014a). CO₂ resource is a key factor to carry out CO₂-EOR projects. Currently, the main resource of CO₂ is natural gas reservoirs with high concentration of CO₂ or CO₂ reservoirs. CO₂-EOR technology has great potential benefits for gas exploitation and environment protection (Damico et al., 2014; Mazzetti et al., 2014; Roussanaly and Grimstad, 2014). Additionally, the ability to utilize CO₂ from the coal conversion industry for CO₂-EOR and geological sequestration will make CO₂-EOR projects more cost-effective and technologically efficient (Wang et al., 2013).

CO₂ flooding can be categorized as immiscible and miscible flooding. The mechanisms of CO₂ flooding usually include oil viscosity reduction, oil swelling, dissolved gas drive and mobility ratio reduction. The miscibility can decrease the interfacial tension by injecting supercritical CO₂, which can dramatically enhance oil recovery. Field applications present miscible CO₂ flooding can enhance oil recovery by 8–15% (Winter and Jean, 2001; Espie, 2003; Pyo et al., 2003; Bachu and Shaw, 2004).

However, the application of CO₂ flooding in ultra-low permeability reservoirs faces great challenges such as low porosity, low permeability, low oil saturation, anomalously low reservoir pressure, severe heterogeneity and the existence of nature fractures. The gas breakthrough occurred in oil wells can be divided into three types: along fracture direction, along the high permeability channel and CO₂ viscous fingering in low permeability layer (Gao et al., 2014). Gas channeling and viscous fingering phenomena appear obviously in the cases of immiscible CO₂ flooding (Berg and Ott, 2012; Islam et al., 2013; Fath and Pouranfard, 2014). MRI technique to follow multiphase flow of oil, water and supercritical CO₂ in fractured artificial consolidated sandstone shows that the fracture serves as the preferred path for the injected fluid, which leads to earlier breakthrough and higher oil bypass (Zhao et al., 2013). How to control the gas channeling and displace the remaining oil in low permeability layers becomes greatly significant in CO₂-EOR projects to improve CO₂ flooding efficiency.

CO₂ water alternating gas (WAG) flooding is considered to be an effective method to control fluid mobility and improve sweep efficiency in both laboratory experiments and field trials (Christensen et al., 1998; Goodyear et al., 2003; Righi et al., 2004; Heeremans et al., 2006; Elfeel et al., 2013a). The injected gas can enhance microscopic displacement efficiency, while the injected water can enhance the macroscopic sweep efficiency. However, the WAG displacement characteristics could be influenced by

many factors including reservoir wettability and heterogeneity (Dijke et al., 2006; Elfeel et al., 2013b), the composition of crude oil and injected water (Kulkarni and Rao, 2005), as well as the injection parameters such as CO₂ slug size, gas/water ratio, CO₂ injection rate and voidage replacement ratio (Song et al., 2014). WAG flooding could also cause injectivity problems in some reservoirs (Rogers and Grigg, 2001). Surfactant alternating gas (SAG) flooding and foam assisted water alternating gas (FAWAG) flooding are other methods used to delay gas breakthrough during CO₂ flooding (Spirov et al., 2012; Salehi et al., 2014). Carbonated water injection (CWI) was proposed to be an alternative CO₂ injection strategy which could solve the problems of gravity segregation and poor sweep efficiency (Sohrabi et al., 2011).

The EOR methods mentioned above are mainly focused on sweep efficiency improvement. However, the feasibility study of different CO₂-EOR technologies and displacement characteristics during CO₂ injection are merely discussed before. Plenty of oil is remained in heterogeneous cores after water flooding, and how to control fluid mobility and enlarge the sweep volume of CO₂ is the key to displace the remaining oil. Tang et al. (2013) demonstrated that starch graft gel could efficiently block water channeling paths through a 3-D physical model experiment and reservoir simulation. F. Zhao et al. (2014b) proposed ethylenediamine as gas channeling control agent for permeability profile control during CO₂ flooding. These new technologies were further discussed along with continuous CO₂ flooding and WAG flooding in this paper. After a water flooding stage, the oil displacement experiments of continuous CO₂ flooding, WAG flooding, continuous CO₂ flooding+one-stage gas channeling control, and continuous CO₂ flooding+two-stage gas channeling control were conducted in homogeneous, heterogeneous, and even fractured cores. CO₂ flooding efficiency was discussed through oil recovery increment and the changes of pressure drop. The water/gas to oil mobility ratio was developed to determine the application limitation of WAG flooding. For even more serious gas channeling, one-stage and two-stage gas channeling treatments were combined with continuous CO₂ flooding to enlarge the sweep volume of CO₂, in which ethylenediamine and modified starch gel were proposed as blocking agents. The application limitation of one-stage and two-stage gas channeling treatments were determined, which offers a practical guide for the implementation of different CO₂-EOR technologies in the oilfield.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1754698>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1754698>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)