

Formation of layered fracture and outburst during gas hydrate dissociation

X.H. Zhang^{a,*}, X.B. Lu^a, Q.P. Li^b

^a Laboratory for Hydrodynamics and Ocean Engineering, Institute of Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

^b Research Centre, China Ocean Oil Co., Beijing 100027, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 18 June 2010

Accepted 10 January 2011

Available online 24 January 2011

Keywords:

hydrate dissociation
excess pore pressure
dissociation zone
layered fracture
outburst

ABSTRACT

Hydrate dissociation in marine sediments may cause large hazards. Two types of new hazard phenomena, outburst and layered fracture, are observed in laboratory experiments and the initiation mechanism is discussed. Experiments are carried out in a two-dimensional rectangular box and a one-dimensional cylinder, respectively. It is shown that layered fracture and outburst can appear after hydrate dissociation and are related closely to dissociation speed, permeability, strength of hydrate-bearing sediment and over-layered stratum. Outburst often occurs at low permeability, high strength of over layered stratum and high pore pressure, while layered fracture is just on the contrary.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Natural gas hydrate is a crystalline solid composed mainly of methane gas molecules and water molecules, and is stabilized in conditions of high pressure and low temperature. Natural gas hydrate is extensively distributed in sediments of oceans, continental margins and deep lakes and is regarded as a potential energy resource (Gilles et al., 1999; Hisashi et al., 2002; Riedel et al., 2006; Sloan, 1998).

Hydrate-bearing sediments may destabilize spontaneously as part of a geological process, unavoidably during petroleum drilling/production operations (Briaud and Chaouch, 1997), or intentionally as part of gas extraction from the hydrate itself, which will directly change the strength of the hydrate-bearing sediments. Meanwhile the released gas can increase the pore pressure (Kwon et al., 2008; Sultan et al., 2004b; Xu and Germanovich, 2006; Xu and Germanovich, 2007). Generally, 1 m³ of methane gas hydrate may release 164 m³ of methane gas and 0.8 m³ of water at 1 atm at normal temperature. When hydrate dissociation in hydrate-bearing sediments occurs without fluid flow, an excess pore pressure of more than 40 MPa occurs under an initial condition of hydrate fraction 0.2, temperature 6 °C, pressure 4.9 MPa, and hydrate-bearing sediment stiffness 10¹⁰ Pa, and the excess pore pressure increases with hydrate fraction and sediment stiffness. Then the large excess pore pressure will result in a strength decrease of the sediment if the released gas diffuses slowly. Accordingly, serious disasters may happen, such as destruction of ocean platforms, seabed, oil wells, and even gas blowouts (Bard et al., 1990; Dickens and Quinby-Hunt, 1994; Kwon et al., 2008; Milkov, 2000; Sultan et al., 2004b; Xu and Germanovich, 2006; Xu and

Germanovich, 2007). Some tried to explain the Bermuda mystery by gas plume due to the dissociation of gas hydrate (Gruy, 1998; Kvenvolden, 1998). The thermal conduction in hydrate-bearing sediments is studied (Briaud and Chaouch, 1997). It is shown that the hydrate dissociation front around a high temperature oil pipe with 1 m diameter can reach 20 m after 15 yr, and 30 m after 40 yr, which may cause instabilities of seafloor foundations. It is reported that the Storegga landslide on the Norwegian continental shelf, the largest landslide in the world with 2500–3200 m³ deposits brought away, was just caused by gas hydrate dissociation (Bouriak et al., 2000; Bugge et al., 1987; Sultan et al., 2004a). Hydrate dissociation is also thought to be the main reason once the being monitored slope at the US mid-Atlantic coast slides when sea water temperature rises continuously (Driscoll et al., 2000; Jung and Peter, 2004).

In fact, thermally induced evolution of sediment failure is a basic physical–chemical–mechanical process in the dissociation of gas hydrate-bearing sediment. Heat transfer leads to the weakening of the bed soil and the simultaneous establishment of a time varying stress field accompanied by seepage of fluids and deformation of the soil. As a consequence, ground failure could occur causing an engineering damage or/and environmental disaster. However, possible thermally induced evolution of sediment failure patterns and key parameters controlling failure are known little. Thermal dissociation of the hydrate in hydrate-bearing sediments is related with three temporal characteristic parameters: thermal conduction time $t_c^* = \rho C l^2 / \lambda$, seepage time $t_p^* = \mu_g l^2 / k_g p_e$, and time of elastic wave propagation $t_e^* = l / \sqrt{E / \rho}$, here, ρ , C , l , λ , μ_g , k_g , p_e , and E are density, specific heat, characteristic length, thermal conductivity, methane gas viscosity, gas permeability, pressure in phase equilibrium, and elastic modulus respectively. Their ratio is about 10⁹:10⁵:1. That means the problem involves three independent processes, i.e. thermal conduction, seepage, and elastic wave propagation. Thus we first analyze the thermal

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: zhangxuhui@imech.ac.cn (X.H. Zhang).

conduction involving phase transition, and then the pressure distribution in sediment, and finally the failure of sediment due to redistribution of stresses (Zhang et al., 2010).

In this paper, laboratory experiments are designed to investigate the possible sediments failure and the patterns of sediment instability due to hydrate dissociation accompanied by the evolution of the temperature–pressure and hydrate dissociation front in hydrate-bearing sediments.

2. Experiments of phase equilibrium after tetra-hydro-furan (THF) hydrate dissociation

The physical and thermal properties of tetra-hydro-furan (THF) hydrate are close with that of methane gas hydrate, e.g., $\frac{\kappa_{THF}}{\kappa_{MH}} \approx 0.5 \sim 1.6$, $\frac{\Delta H_{THF}}{C_{THF}} / \frac{\Delta H_{MH}}{C_{MH}} \approx 0.5 \sim 0.8$, $\frac{\chi_{THF}}{\chi_{MH}} \approx 1.5$, $\frac{\rho_{THF}}{\rho_{MH}} \approx 1.1$ (Table 1), here κ , ΔH , C , χ , and ρ are thermal diffusivity, enthalpy of hydrate dissociation, specific heat, hydrate number, and density of hydrate respectively, and THF and MH stands for THF hydrate and methane hydrate respectively. Meanwhile, a large volume of THF deposit can be synthesized easier and safer and more economic relative to methane hydrate deposit, since THF is completely miscible with water in all proportions and form hydrate at one atmospheric pressure and an appropriate temperature (Jones et al., 2007; Tohidi et al., 2001). THF hydrate has a density (0.94 g/cm³) comparable to methane hydrate (0.91 g/cm³) (Koh, 2002). Hence THF deposits are adopted as a good surrogate for methane hydrate in all the experiments. Then phase equilibrium after tetra-hydro-furan (THF) hydrate dissociation is tested.

Experiments are carried out in a pressure cell (maximum pressure 20 MPa) with a size of diameter 75 mm and height 52 mm, temperature sensor is placed in the middle of hydrate-bearing sediments, pressure gauge is linked to pressure tank through a small vessel with a size of diameter 4 mm and length 80 mm, and when gas is released after hydrate dissociation, the pressure is measured.

In preparing samples, silty sand with a density of 1.6 g/cm³ is first compacted to form the skeleton (Fig. 1) and then saturated by THF liquor with a mass fraction of 19%. THF hydrate sediment is synthesized by laying the saturated sediment for 3–5 days under -8°C .

Results show that the excess pore pressure evolution with temperature after hydrate dissociation in THF hydrate-bearing sediments is close to the theoretical value of THF saturated vapor pressure (Fig. 2).

3. Experiments in organic glass box

3.1. Preparation of experiments

Experiments are first carried out in an organic glass box with a size of length \times height \times width = 30 cm \times 50 cm \times 10 cm (Fig. 3). An immersion heater as heat source is horizontally placed (parallel to the short side of the box) 10 cm below the surface of the deposit. To heat the deposit by the heater cannot only fasten the dissociation process of hydrate, but also catch the main characteristics. The maximum output temperature of the heater is controlled by a temperature regulator.

Table 1
Comparison of thermal properties between tetra-hydro-furan hydrate and methane hydrate (Zhang et al., 2010).

Type of sediments	THF hydrate	MH
Thermal parameters		
Thermal conductivity/w/m/K	0.45–0.54	0.4–0.6
Specific heat/kJ/kg/K	2.123	1.6–2.7
Density/kg/m ³	997	913
Enthalpy/kJ/kg	270	429.66



Fig. 1. Layout of model for THF hydrate phase equilibrium test.

In preparing the samples, silty sand with a density of 1.6 g/cm³ is first compacted to form the skeleton (Fig. 4) and then saturated by THF liquor with a mass fraction of 19%. THF hydrate deposit is synthesized by laying the saturated deposit for 3–5 days under -8°C . Two types of over cap, 5 cm silty sand layer and 5 cm hydrate deposit (HD), are set up respectively to investigate the effects of permeability and sidewall's friction. During experiments, the environmental temperature is kept at -8°C .

3.2. Results and analysis

The gas hydrate deposit may be damaged in the form of outburst when hydrate dissociates fast. Three stages are identified to precede the failure: (1) the dissociation zone expands gradually till 30 min after heating. (2) The temperature and pore pressure state keeps for about 10 min. (3) Outburst occurs immediately following sound emission and lasts for less than 10 s (Fig. 4).

Fig. 5 shows the development of temperature and pressure in 3 experiments (named as A, B and C). The conditions and main measured data are shown in Table 2. It can be seen that temperature increases fast in the first 4 min. For example, in experiment B near the immersion heater rises fast to 120°C after about 4 min and then keeps the value till the occurrence of outburst. With the increase of temperature, the pore gas pressure increases fast also at the first stage and then decreases a little with the expansion of dissociation zone and the gas seepage. The gas pressure rises again when the dissociation zone does not expand anymore. The gas pressures are all equal to 0.1 MPa just before the damage of deposit. The reason is that most water is concentrated on the front of the dissociation zone




Fig. 2. Pressure evolution with temperature after THF hydrate dissociation in sediments.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1755891>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1755891>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)