



# Study of ionic surfactants interactions with carboxylated single-walled carbon nanotubes by using ion-selective electrodes



M. López-López<sup>a</sup>, E. Bernal<sup>b</sup>, M.L. Moyá<sup>b</sup>, F. Sanchez<sup>b</sup>, P. López-Cornejo<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Facultad de Ciencias Experimentales, Departamento de Ingeniería Química, Química Física y Ciencias de los Materiales, Universidad de Huelva, Campus 'El Carmen', E-21071, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Departamento de Química Física, Universidad de Sevilla, c/ Prof. García González 1, Sevilla 41012, Spain

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 31 January 2016

Received in revised form 10 March 2016

Accepted 10 March 2016

Available online 19 March 2016

### Keywords:

Ion-selective electrodes

Carbon nanotubes

Surfactants

Interaction

Dispersion

Driving forces

## ABSTRACT

Potentiometric measurements based on the use of ion-selective electrodes have been used to study the interaction (adsorption) of anionic and cationic surfactants with functionalized single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNT-COOH). According to results, the interaction is driven by hydrophobic forces between the hydrocarbon tails of the surfactants and the nanotube walls. Electrostatic interactions practically exert no influence on the interaction. Driving forces in the dispersion processes are different.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Supramolecular chemistry, defined as the chemistry of molecular assemblies, studies the structures and functions of entities consisting of two or more species linked by noncovalent bonds. Electrostatic interactions, hydrogen bonds,  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking, metal-ligand, and hydrophobic interactions and steric repulsions join in the formation of such assemblies [1–5]. Carbon nanotubes, CNT, are building blocks used in the construction of molecular assemblies. Due to their mechanical, optical, and electronic properties, they can be used in diverse fields. For instance, CNT have been used as sensors [6–8], or as vectors in gene transfection [9–10].

The walls of the carbon nanotubes are formed by curve sheets of graphene (a hexagonal lattice of carbon). There are two types of carbon nanotubes: the single-walled (SWCNT) and the multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT). All these structures show a low solubility in solution. Attractive van der Waals and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions provoke the assembly of the tubules into bundles or ropes. The separation (dispersion) of the carbon tubules can be improved by chemical methods. An example is the functionalization of the tubes via oxidation by strong acids like  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  or  $\text{HNO}_3$ . This results in the formation of carboxylic groups in the walls of nanotubes, which increases the charge in the sheets and decreases the agglomeration of the tubes. However, there are other procedures based on noncovalent interactions between


some chemical species and nanotubes. Surfactants are frequently used as dispersing agents. Their amphiphilic nature favors their adsorption on the tube walls and decreases the ability of the carbon nanotubes to agglomerate in bundles.

Several studies about the interactions between surfactants and carbon nanotubes have been carried out [11–14]. However, the mechanism of such interaction is not clear. Indeed, different authors have investigated the influence of the polar head group charge on the dispersion of the nanotubes, but no clear conclusions were reached [15,16]. Inconclusive results were also obtained when sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) was used as dispersing agent. While some authors propose that cylindrical micelles are formed on the nanotubes [17], others think that hemi-micelles are adsorbed on the nanotube walls [18]. However, following the suggestion of Matarredona et al. [19] pointing out that the hemi-micellar adsorption of surfactants on single carbon nanotubes is sterically and energetically unfavorable, Yurekli et al. [20] suggested that a structureless random adsorption, with no preferential arrangement of the head or tail, takes place.

In order to shed light on the surfactant/carbon nanotube interactions, quantitative information about the interactions (or adsorption) of some commercial cationic and anionic surfactants with functionalized carbon nanotubes (SWCNT-COOH) was obtained. Taking advantage of the known benefits offered by potentiometric techniques (sensitivity, precision, reproducibility, selectivity, and low cost among others), the study was carried out by using electromotive forces measurements. For that, ion-selective electrodes for ionic surfactants were prepared.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [pcornejo@us.es](mailto:pcornejo@us.es) (P. López-Cornejo).



$$E = E^{O'} - 2.303 \frac{RT}{z_i F} \log a_i$$

Scheme 1.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Reactants

The surfactants investigated were hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB), dodecyltrimethylammonium bromide (DoTAB), octyltrimethylammonium bromide (OTAB), and sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS); all of them received from Sigma Aldrich (R grade). The all surfactant concentrations used were always lower than the CMC. A buffer phosphate solution at pH 9 was used to avoid the protonation of the carboxylic groups [21]. In order to study the influence of the SWCNT charge, some measurements were done at pH 7 (phosphate) and 1.5 (HCl). The functionalized carbon nanotubes were from NanoLab Inc.

### 2.2. Potentiometric measurements

Electromotive forces of solutions containing surfactant and SWCNT-COOH were measured by using selective electrodes of the different surfactants previously prepared by us.

Selective membrane electrodes of the cationic (CTAB, DoTAB, and OTAB) and anionic (SDS) surfactants used in this work were synthesized as follows:

- 1) First, ion pairs of the cationic and anionic surfactants were prepared. Thus, in the case of the cationic surfactants, ion pairs were prepared in water by mixing equimolecular amounts of the cationic surfactants and sodium tetraphenylborate. The ion pair of the anionic surfactant was obtained mixing in water equimolecular amounts of SDS and CTAB. All mixtures resulted in white precipitates, which were repeatedly washed with water and recrystallized with tetrahydrofuran (THF).
- 2) Membranes were prepared mixing PVC (0.35 g), bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (1.15 g), and the corresponding ion pair (10 mg) and dissolving the mixture in 6 ml of THF with continuous stirring. Obtained the solution, the solvent was evaporated on air.
- 3) The membrane was placed at the end of a PVC tube, using PVC paste and THF as glue. The resulting membrane electrode was conditioned

for various hours in a reference solution ( $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4$  0.01 M/NaCl 0.02 M, pH 9) containing the corresponding surfactant.

Scheme 1 shows a picture of the cell used in the electromotive force measurements and the corresponding Nernst equation (where  $a_i$  is the activity of the ionic surfactants).

The surfactant concentrations of the reference solutions used were  $1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  for SDS,  $2 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  for OTAB,  $2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  for DoTAB, and  $1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  for CTAB. A salt bridge of KCl in agar gel was used in all the measurements. Electromotive forces were measured in a custom-built electrometric amplifier using a INA 116 ultra-low input bias current instrumentation amplifier, followed by a unity-gain Sallen–Key low-pass filter [ $n = 4$ ,  $f_c = 15 \text{ Hz}$  ( $-3 \text{ db}$ )]. The response was monitored using a Keithley 2110 5½ Digital Multimeter, which was interfaced to a PC through a USB interface with an accuracy of  $\pm 0.1 \text{ mV}$ . In all cases, the membrane gave a Nernstian response to the logarithm of the surfactant concentration with slope values close to 59 mV at  $298.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ K}$ . The temperature was maintained constant by using a glass cell with a thermostated water bath. The concentration of the free surfactant was estimated using a calibration curve for each selective electrode.

### 2.3. Transmission electronic microscopy (TEM)

Transmission electronic microscopy samples were prepared as follows: a drop of the aqueous solution containing surfactant and/or SWCNT-COOH was deposited on a copper grid coated with a carbon film. The grid was dried to air at room temperature.

The pictures were visualized with a Philips CM200 electron microscope. The concentrations used were  $[\text{SWCNT-COOH}] = 0.05 \text{ g/L}$ ,  $[\text{DeTAB}] = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  and  $[\text{SDS}] = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ . All the concentrations were prepared in buffer phosphate at pH 9 ( $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4$  0.01 M/NaCl 0.02 M).

## 3. Results and discussion

As can be seen in Fig. 1, electromotive force measurements gave a decreasing of the free surfactant concentration when the SWCNT-




Fig. 1. (A) Plot of the relative free surfactant (SDS and DoTAB) concentration versus the nanotube concentration.  $[T]_f$  is the free surfactant concentration and  $[T]_o$  the total surfactant concentration added to the solution. (B) Relative free DoTAB concentration at different  $[\text{SWCNT-COOH}]$  and different pH. Data represent mean  $\pm$  SD ( $n = 4$ ).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/178636>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/178636>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)