

## Journal of Crystal Growth

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jcrysgro

# Simultaneous determination of interfacial energy and growth activation energy from induction time measurements

## Lie-Ding Shiau<sup>\*</sup>, Hsu-Pei Wang

Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan 33302, Taiwan, ROC

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 2 December 2015 Received in revised form 18 January 2016 Accepted 23 February 2016 Communicated by S. Veesler Available online 8 March 2016

Keywords: A1. Activation energy A1. Crystallization A1. Induction time A1. Nucleation Interfacial energy

#### 1. Introduction

The induction time in a crystallization system is defined as the time between the creation of the supersaturation and the appearance of detectable nuclei [1,2]. Thus, induction time strongly depends on the detection method. Various techniques have been developed for detecting the onset of nucleation, including visual observation of crystal appearance [3–6], the detection of a change of solution conductivity [7–10] or the detection of a change in the intensity of transmitted or scattered light [11–15] in solution.

According to classical nucleation theory (CNT), only nuclei greater than a critical nucleus size are thermodynamically stable and can continue to grow to a detectable size [1,2]. The formation of critical nuclei is closely related to the interfacial energy of the crystallized substance. Generally, the higher the value of the interfacial energy, the more difficult it is for the solute to crystallize. Thus, the interfacial energy is an important characteristic property of the crystallized substance in the nucleation process. The interfacial energy is usually calculated from induction time data in the literature by assuming that  $t_i^{-1} \propto J$  regardless of the detection technique [1].

To incorporate the combined effect of nucleation and growth, Kashchiev et al. [16] derived a general formula to relate the induction time to the solid phase formation in terms of the mononuclear or polynuclear mechanism. Recently, Shiau and Lu [17] have developed

### ABSTRACT

A model is developed in this work to calculate the interfacial energy and growth activation energy of a crystallized substance from induction time data without the knowledge of the actual growth rate. Induction time data for  $\alpha$  L-glutamic acid measured with a turbidity probe for various supersaturations at temperatures from 293 to 313 K are employed to verify the developed model. In the model a simple empirical growth rate with growth order 2 is assumed because experiments are conducted at low supersaturation. The results indicate for  $\alpha$  L-glutamic acid that the growth activation energy is 39 kJ/mol, which suggests that the growth rate of small nuclei in the agitated induction time experiments is integration controlled. The interfacial energy obtained from the current model is in the range of 5.2-7.4 m]/m<sup>2</sup>, which is slightly greater than that obtained from the traditional method  $(t_i^{-1} \propto I)$  for which the value is in the range  $4.1-5.7 \text{ mJ/m}^2$ .

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

CRYSTAL GROWTH

CrossMark

a model to calculate the interfacial energy of the crystallized substance from induction time data based on various techniques for the detection of the nucleation point. Knowledge of the crystal growth rate is required in these models to account for the crystal size distribution of the resulting nuclei at the point of detection [17–19]. As it is difficult to experimentally measure the growth rate of very small nuclei, the applicability of these models is restricted. In the present work, a novel approach is developed to calculate the interfacial energy and growth activation energy from induction time data without knowledge of the real growth rate of small nuclei.

#### 2. Theory

According to CNT [1,2], the nucleation rate is expressed as

$$J = A_J \exp\left(-\frac{16\pi v^2 \gamma^3}{3k_B^3 T^3 \ln^2 S}\right)$$
(1)

where  $v = \frac{M_w}{\rho_c N_A}$  and  $S = \frac{C}{C_{eq}}$ . To account for the combined effect of nucleation and growth detected at the nucleation point, the growth rate of the nuclei is required in the induction time study. From a thermodynamic point of view, Mohan and Myerson [20] developed a simple empirical power-law growth rate expression as

$$G = k_G \left(\frac{\Delta \mu}{RT}\right)^g = k_G \left[\ln\left(\frac{a}{a^*}\right)\right]^g \tag{2}$$

where  $\Delta \mu$  is the difference in the chemical potential of a given

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +11 886 3 2118800x5291; fax: +11 886 3 2118700. E-mail address: shiau@mail.cgu.edu.tw (L.-D. Shiau).

#### Nomenclature

$A_{I}$	kinetic parameter in Eq. (1) $(m^{-3}s^{-1})$
Å <sub>G</sub>	kinetic parameter in Eq. (4) $(ms^{-1})$
a	activity (dimensionless)
$a_{eq}$	activity at the equilibrium condition (dimensionless)
Ċ	concentration of solutes (kg solute/kg solution)
$C_{eq}$	saturated concentration of solutes (kg solute/kg colution)
F	
$E_G$	growth activation energy (KJ/mol)
$f_A$	minimum detectable projected area density of accu-
	mulated crystals in the detector direction for a certain
	detector $(m^2m^{-3} \text{ or } m^{-1})$
$f_N$	minimum detectable number density of accumulated
	crystals for a certain detector $(m^{-3})$
$f_V$	minimum detectable volume density of accumulated
	crystals for a certain detector (dimensionless)

G growth rate 
$$(ms^{-1})$$

g growth rate order (dimensionless)

substance in the supersaturated solution and saturated solution. If the supersaturation is low, the power g according to the BCF model should equal 2 [21], and Eq. (2) reduces to

$$G = k_G \left[ \ln \left( \frac{a}{a_{eq}} \right) \right]^2 \cong k_G \left[ \ln \left( \frac{C}{C_{eq}} \right) \right]^2 = k_G \ln^2 S$$
(3)

Mohan and Myerson [20] reported that Eq. (3) could be successfully applied to describe the dependence of growth rate on the supersaturation for a number of system, including L-glutamic acid in water. The temperature dependence of  $k_G$  can be expressed in terms of the Arrhenius equation as

$$k_G = A_G \exp\left(-\frac{E_G}{RT}\right) \tag{4}$$

#### 2.1. Determination of $\gamma$ based on $f_N$

For simplicity, the nucleation event is assumed to correspond to a point at which the total number density of accumulated crystals has reached a fixed (but unknown) value,  $f_N$  [22-24]. Thus, one obtains at the induction time  $t_i$ 

$$f_N = J t_i \tag{5}$$

Substituting Eq. (1) into Eq. (5) yields

$$\ln\left(\frac{1}{t_i}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{A_j}{f_N}\right) - \frac{16\pi v^2 \gamma^3}{3k_B^3 T^3 \ln^2 S}$$
(6)

This is consistent with the common method adopted in the literature to calculate  $\gamma$  from induction time data [1]. Thus, a plot of  $\ln\left(\frac{1}{t_i}\right)$  versus  $\frac{1}{\ln^2 S}$  at a given temperature should give a straight line, the slope and intercept of which permit determination of  $\gamma$  and  $\frac{A_J}{f_N}$ , respectively. If  $f_N$  is known,  $A_J$  can be determined.

#### 2.2. Determination of $\gamma$ based on $f_A$ with a known G

When induction time data are measured using a turbidity meter, the measurements are based on the change in intensity transmitted or scattered light along the detector direction, which is related to the total projected area density of accumulated crystals in the detector direction [17,25-26]. Because the nuclei are generated continuously during the induction time, nuclei born at time t can grow from t to  $t_i$  and their surface area at time  $t_i$  is

nucleation rate  $(m^{-3}s^{-1})$ J area shape factor (dimensionless)  $k_A$ Boltzmann constant ( $= 1.38^*10^{-23}$  [K<sup>-1</sup>)  $k_B$  $k_G$ growth rate constant (m  $s^{-1}$ ) volume shape factor (dimensionless) kv molar mass (kg mol<sup>-1</sup>)  $M_{W}$ Avogadro number ( $= 6.02^{*}10^{23} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ )  $N_A$ ideal gas constant (=  $8.314^{*}10^{-3}$  kJ/mol-K) R S supersaturation ratio (dimensionless) Т temperature (K) time (s) t induction time (s) ti

Greek letters

interfacial energy  $(] m^{-2})$ γ crystal density (kg m<sup>-3</sup>)  $\rho_{c}$ ν

volume of the solute molecule  $(m^3)$ 

given by

$$A(t) = k_A G^2 (t_i - t)^2$$
(7)

As described by Shiau and Lu [17], the total projected area density of these crystals in the detector direction at  $t_i$  should correspond to  $f_A$ . Thus, one obtains at the induction time  $t_i$ 

$$f_A = \int_0^{t_i} J \frac{1}{4} A(t) dt = \frac{J k_A G^2 t_i^3}{12}$$
(8)

where the factor  $\frac{1}{4}$  is incorporated to account for the projected portion of the surface.

If G is a known function of S and T, substituting Eq. (1) into Eq. (8) yields

$$\ln\left(\frac{12}{k_A G^2 t_i^3}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{A_J}{f_A}\right) - \frac{16\pi v^2 \gamma^3}{3k_B^3 T^3 \ln^2 S}$$
(9)

Thus, a plot of  $\ln\left(\frac{12}{k_a G^2 t_i^3}\right)$  versus  $\frac{1}{(\ln S)^2}$  at a given temperature should give a straight line, the slope and intercept of which permit determination of  $\gamma$  and  $\frac{A_j}{f_A}$ , respectively. If  $f_A$  is known,  $A_j$  can be determined.

#### 2.3. Determination of $\gamma$ based on $f_A$ with an unknown G

It is usually difficult to experimentally determine the actual growth kinetics of small nuclei. To apply Eq. (8) without the knowledge of actual growth kinetics, substituting Eqs. (1) and (3) into Eq. (8) yields

$$\ln\left(\frac{12}{k_{A}t_{i}^{3}\ln^{4}S}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{A_{J}k_{G}^{2}}{f_{A}}\right) - \frac{16\pi v^{2}\gamma^{3}}{3k_{B}^{3}T^{3}\ln^{2}S}$$
(10)

A plot of  $\ln\left(\frac{12}{k_A t_s^2 \ln^4 S}\right)$  versus  $\frac{1}{\ln^2 S}$  at a given temperature should give a straight line, the slope and intercept of which permit determination of  $\gamma$  and  $\frac{A_J k_G^2}{f_A}$ , respectively. If  $\frac{A_J k_G^2}{f_A}$  is determined at different temperatures, substitution of Eq. (4) yields

$$\ln\left(\frac{A_J k_G^2}{f_A}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{A_J A_G^2}{f_A}\right) - \frac{2E_G}{RT}$$
(11)

Thus, a plot of  $\ln\left(\frac{A_{k_{G}}^{2}}{f_{A}}\right)$  versus  $\frac{1}{T}$  should give a straight line, the slope and intercept of which permit determination of  $E_G$  and  $\frac{A_I A_G^2}{f_A}$ , respectively. It should be noted that only  $A_I A_G^2$  can be determined

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1789674

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1789674

Daneshyari.com