Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jmmm



Influence of the light incidence angle on the precision of generalized magneto-optical ellipsometry



C. Gonzalez-Fuentes^{a,b,*}, J.B. Gonzalez-Diaz^{a,1}, L. Fallarino^a, J.A. Arregi^a, A. Berger^a

^a CIC nanoGUNE Consolider, E-20018 Donostia-San Sebastián, Spain

^b Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Avenida España 1680, Valparaíso, Chile

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 21 January 2015 Received in revised form 24 March 2015 Accepted 25 March 2015 Available online 26 March 2015

Keywords: Generalized magneto-optical ellipsometry Ellipsometry Kerr magnetometry Magneto-optics

ABSTRACT

We study theoretically and experimentally the influence of the light incidence angle φ_0 on the precision of generalized magneto-optical ellipsometry (GME). A brief review of the GME methodology is presented together with a study of the error propagation from measurement uncertainties to the precision of the resulting complex index of refraction *N* and magneto-optical constant *Q*. The results are compared with longitudinal GME measurements on bulk polycrystalline cobalt. We observe a strong increase of the resulting relative error as φ_0 decreases below 45°. We tested our theoretical estimates by performing GME measurements for polycrystalline cobalt (N = 2.20 + 3.42i; $Q = (2.25 - 0.80i) \times 10^{-2}$)) and found GME measurements to clearly exhibit improved reliability for $\varphi_0 > 30^\circ$.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Generalized magneto-optical ellipsometry (GME) [1] is a powerful, nondestructive technique that combines in a single experimental setup and sequence magneto-optical Kerr effect (MOKE) magnetometry and ellipsometry. It is therefore capable of determining by means of a single measurement sequence the complex index of refraction N = n + ik, the magneto-optical coupling constant $Q = Q_r + iQ_i$, as well as the magnetization orientation of a ferromagnetic material [2,3].

It was also demonstrated that GME can be extended to variable wavelength and temperature dependent measurement types [4,5]. Some recent works have furthermore improved the efficiency of data acquisition [6] and used GME to characterize purely optical anisotropy effects [7].

It is known that the sensitivity of a conventional, non-magneto-optical ellipsometer is in general better for higher incidence angles [8] (as measured from the sample normal), with recommended angles being typically larger than 40°. Until now, no significant attention has been given to the incidence angle of the light in GME experiments, which could affect the precision and accuracy of results in a significant way.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: claudio.gonzalez@postgrado.usm.cl (C. Gonzalez-Fuentes). ¹ Current address: IK4-LORTEK S. Coop, E-20240 Ordizia, Spain.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2015.03.077 0304-8853/© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. In this work we present a thorough study of error propagation for *N* and *Q* at different incidence angles of the light φ_0 and we compare these theoretical results with GME measurements on polycrystalline cobalt films for different values of φ_0 .

2. Theory

For simplicity, we restrict our analysis here to the assumption of a bulk material that is optically isotropic and has isotropic magneto-optical response in that it can be described by a single magneto-optical coupling constant *Q*. The light used in the GME experiment is assumed to be a fully polarized plane wave. The electric field of such a light wave can be expressed as the superposition of components that are perpendicular and parallel to the plane of incidence, as shown in Fig. 1:

$$\mathbf{E} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{E}_s \\ \mathbf{E}_p \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

The surface acts as a transformation matrix

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{pmatrix} r_{ss} & r_{sp} \\ r_{ps} & r_{pp} \end{pmatrix}$$
(2)

for the incoming beam. The diagonal components of **R**, r_{ss} and r_{pp} are the conventional, nonmagnetic reflection coefficients of the



Fig. 1. Reflection of light at the surface of a magnetized medium with arbitrary direction of the magnetization. **m** represents the unit vector of the magnetization. **n** is the vector normal to plane and lies in POI. m_x , m_y , m_z are the longitudinal, longitudinal and polar components of **m** respectively.

perpendicular and parallel components, respectively, i.e. the Fresnel reflection coefficients, which are known to follow [9]

$$\widetilde{r}_{s} = \frac{r_{ss}}{r_{pp}} = -\frac{\cos(\varphi_{0} - \varphi_{1})}{\cos(\varphi_{0} + \varphi_{1})},\tag{3}$$

with

$$\tan(\varphi_1) = \cot(\varphi_0) \bigg(\frac{\widetilde{r}_s + 1}{\widetilde{r}_s - 1} \bigg), \tag{4}$$

where φ_1 is the complex angle of refraction. The non-diagonal components of matrix **R** are terms that appear if the sample material is magnetized. In the case studied here, we restrict ourselves to magnetization orientations along the longitudinal axis only, so that

$$\mathbf{R} = r_{pp} \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{r}_{s} & \widetilde{\alpha} \\ -\widetilde{\alpha} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(5)

with [3]

$$\widetilde{\alpha} = -\frac{ibQ \sin(2\varphi_0)\sin^2(\varphi_1)}{\sin(\varphi_0 + \varphi_1)\cos(\varphi_1)[\sin(2\varphi_0) - \sin(2\varphi_1)]}$$
(6)

for a bulk like sample, where Q is defined via the dielectric tensor

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon_{\rm f} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + i Q_{\rm fr} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_z & -m_y \\ -m_z & 0 & m_x \\ m_y & -m_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7}$$

with ϵ_r being the permittivity of the medium in the absence of magnetization.

For a GME set-up like the one shown [6] in Fig. 2, the polarizers are described by the transformation matrix

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2(\theta) & \sin(\theta)\cos(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta)\cos(\theta) & \sin^2(\theta) \end{pmatrix}$$
(8)

acting upon the incoming electric field vector. Hereby, θ is the angle between the polarizer transmission axis and the s-polarization orientation. The electric field vector \mathbf{E}_D arriving at the photodetector is then given by

$$\mathbf{E}_{D} = \mathbf{P}(\theta_{2}) \cdot \mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{P}(\theta_{1}) \cdot \mathbf{E}_{0}, \tag{9}$$

with \mathbf{E}_0 being the electric light field produced by the laser. Correspondingly, the intensity of the light at the photodetector is



$$I_{\rm D} = \mathbf{E}_{\rm D} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\rm D}^{*}.\tag{10}$$

The analysis of light intensity changes at the detector upon magnetization state inversion, while varying the angles of the polarizers θ_1 and θ_2 , enables now the determination of **R**, which in turn allows for the extraction of *N* and *Q*, in both their real and imaginary parts. We define here the fractional intensity change:

$$\frac{\delta I}{I}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = 2\frac{I_{\uparrow} - I_{\downarrow}}{I_{\uparrow} + I_{\downarrow}},\tag{11}$$

where I_{\uparrow} and I_{\downarrow} are the light intensities for inverted magnetization states of the sample. It has been shown [1] that

$$\frac{\delta I}{I} = \frac{B_1 f_1 + B_2 f_2}{B_5 f_5 + 2B_6 f_4 + f_3} \tag{12}$$

with

$$B_{1} = \Re\left(\widetilde{\alpha}\right),$$

$$B_{2} = \Re\left(\widetilde{\imath_{s}}\alpha^{*}\right),$$

$$B_{5} = \left|\widetilde{\imath_{s}}\right|^{2},$$

$$B_{6} = \Re\left(\widetilde{\imath_{s}}\right)$$
(13)

and

$$f_{1} = \sin \theta_{2} \cos \theta_{2} \sin^{2} \theta_{1} - \sin \theta_{1} \cos \theta_{1} \sin^{2} \theta_{2},$$

$$f_{2} = \sin \theta_{1} \cos \theta_{1} \cos^{2} \theta_{2} - \sin \theta_{2} \cos \theta_{2} \sin^{2} \theta_{1},$$

$$f_{3} = \sin^{2} \theta_{1} \sin^{2} \theta_{2},$$

$$f_{4} = \sin \theta_{1} \cos \theta_{1} \sin \theta_{2} \cos \theta_{2},$$

$$f_{5} = \cos^{2} \theta_{1} \cos^{2} \theta_{2}.$$
(14)

From Eq. (13) we obtain

$$\tilde{s}_{5} = B_{6} + i\sqrt{B_{5} - B_{6}^{2}} \tag{15}$$

The relation between r_s and the index of refraction is given by [9]

$$\widetilde{r}_{s} = \frac{\sin^{2}(\varphi_{0}) + \cos(\varphi_{0})\sqrt{N^{2} - \sin^{2}(\varphi_{0})}}{\sin^{2}(\varphi_{0}) - \cos(\varphi_{0})\sqrt{N^{2} - \sin^{2}(\varphi_{0})}}.$$
(16)

Thus we obtain

¹ If the orientation of magnetization is arbitrary, the numerator in right part of Eq. (12) will have four additional terms describing the transversal and polar components of magnetization [5].

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1799207

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1799207

Daneshyari.com