ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Magnetic Resonance Imaging

journal homepage: www.mrijournal.com



# Proton density water fraction as a biomarker of bone marrow cellularity: Validation in ex vivo spine specimens



Iain J. MacEwan <sup>a</sup>, Nicholas E. Glembotski <sup>b</sup>, Darryl D'Lima <sup>b</sup>, Won Bae <sup>c</sup>, Koichi Masuda <sup>d</sup>, Hooman H. Rashidi <sup>e</sup>, Loren K. Mell <sup>a</sup>, Mark Bydder <sup>c,\*</sup>

- a Center for Advanced Radiotherapy Technologies, Department of Radiation Medicine and Applied Sciences, 3855 Health Sciences Dr. #0843, La Jolla, CA, USA
- <sup>b</sup> Scripps Translational Science Institute, 3344 North Torrey Pines Court Suite 300, La Jolla, CA, USA
- <sup>c</sup> Department of Radiology, University of California San Diego, 408 Dickinson Street, San Diego, CA, USA
- d Skeletal Translational Research Laboratory, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA
- e Department of Pathology, University of California San Diego, School of Medicine & VA Medical Center, San Diego, CA, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history: Received 22 May 2013 Revised 23 January 2014 Accepted 7 March 2014

Keywords: Bone marrow Cellularity MRI Fat quantification

#### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to evaluate a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) technique for quantifying the proton density water fraction (PDWF) as a biomarker of bone marrow cellularity. Thirty-six human bone marrow specimens from 18 donors were excised and subjected to different measurements of tissue composition: PDWF quantification using a multiple gradient echo MRI technique, three biochemical assays (triglyceride, total lipid and water content) and a histological assessment of cellularity. Results showed a strong correlation between PDWF and bone marrow cellularity from histology (r=0.72). A strong correlation was also found between PDWF and the biochemical assay of water content (r=0.76). These results suggest the PDWF is a predictor of bone marrow cellularity in tissues and can provide a non-invasive assessment of bone marrow changes in clinical patients undergoing radiotherapy.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier Inc.

#### 1. Introduction

Loss of hematopoietic red marrow is a contributing factor to hematologic toxicity during radiation therapy, and its preservation is one of the goals of intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) [1,2]. Some of the challenges of IMRT are to identify the areas of bone marrow to be spared and to evaluate the effectiveness of different sparing protocols [3–5].

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is commonly used to assess changes pre- and post-radiation treatment [2,6]. Increased signal intensities on T1-weighted or decreased signal on opposed-phase images has been observed consistently. Such changes are seen in the spine and pelvis following radiation treatment and are consistent with the transformation from hematopoietic red marrow to inactive yellow marrow. While it is plausible that the changes in signal are due entirely to the accumulation of fat, changes in signal on MRI can also be caused by changes in proton density, T1 and T2, as well as scanner calibration and coil positioning. In patients undergoing radiotherapy, the effects of radiation alter the tissue and can change its properties such as T2 [7].

Over the past few years, more sensitive and specific MRI methods have been developed for fat quantification [8]. These do not rely on T1, T2 or T2\* but instead use the characteristic proton frequency signature of water and fat molecules (i.e. the chemical shifts). The proton density fat fraction (PDFF) is defined as the fraction of signal from fat protons relative to the signal from all protons and its adoption is encouraged [9]. The converse of PDFF is the proton density water fraction (PDWF = 100% - PDFF), which is the fraction of signal from water protons relative to all protons. While there are other sources of protons in biological tissues, at the time of the MRI measurements (echo time >1 ms) any signal from protons in macromolecues or in rigid arrangements (e.g. connective tissues, minerals) has decayed away. The definition of the PDWF has similarities with the definition of cellularity from histology, which is the fraction of hematopoietic tissue relative to total of hematopoietic and adipose tissues [10].

The medical and scientific community has guidelines for assessing the validity of biological markers (biomarkers) and a stringent process of qualification before accepting a biomarker into clinical practice. Biomarker qualification is the process of establishing the "fitness for purpose" by consensus among groups of scientists and clinicians [11]; the process includes testing robustness, repeatability and reproducibility of the measurement process in clinically relevant situations, and also validation with reference to currently accepted standards (e.g. histology, biochemical assay).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 619 471 0520; fax: +1 619 471 0503. E-mail address: mbydder@ucsd.edu (M. Bydder).

The objective of this study is to contribute toward the process of qualification of PDWF as a measure of bone marrow cellularity. Whereas cellularity measurements require extraction of bone marrow tissue and cannot generally be justified in patients, the PDWF is a relatively safe, non-invasive measurement. The potential for assessing cellularity by MRI has important clinical applications, including radiation therapy planning to spare areas of high cellularity red marrow and to monitor changes in the bone marrow [4].

#### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Samples

Fresh, excised spine samples comprising four to eight intact lumbar and thoracic vertebrae were obtained within 72 hours of death of the donor. Donors represent a cross-section from 15 males and 3 females (n=18 total) and were obtained consecutively; mean age 56.3 years (standard deviation 10.1, range 37–75). The causes of death were cardiac-related (n=11), respiratory (n=3), traumatic brain injury (n=3) and renal failure (n=1).

Specimens were either scanned immediately (n=8) or frozen (n=10) and imaged at room temperature up to 6 months later. Frozen specimens were kept in a biohazard bag at -70 °C in an ultralow freezer (Bio-Freezer; Forma Scientific, Marietta, OH, USA).

#### 2.2. MRI technique

Imaging was performed on an MRI 3.0 T HDx scanner (GE Healthcare, WI) using an ankle coil. Specimens were at room temperature. The imaging sequence was an investigational prototype from the manufacturer called IDEAL IQ, which was based on previous methods [12,13]. The acquisition was a sagittal 3D volume, spoiled gradient echo with "Minimum" repetition time (TR) and "Minimum Full" echo time (TE). The approximate values were TR 10 ms and TEs 1.2, 2.2, 3.3 ms etc. acquired in three interleaves with an echo train length of 2 (total of 6 echos). Other settings were: flip angle  $2^\circ$ , bandwidth  $\pm\,100$  kHz, matrix  $192\times\,192$ , slice thickness 3 mm, field of view 18-22 cm and 2 averages. Scan times were 3-4 minutes.

#### 2.3. Histological analysis

Imaging results were used to identify two vertebral bodies from each donor, subject to the following exclusion criteria: avoid obvious disk disease, disk compression, hemangioma, and Schmorl's deformity. The selected vertebral bodies were cut axially to obtain a 1-cm section of the center of the marrow. Fatty corners and basilar veins in the vertebral bodies were avoided. The 1-cm sections were then frozen, as described above.

Samples underwent histological slide preparation using a tissue processor (Thermo Scientific Shandon Excelsior). Fixation: core biopsies were placed in 10 to 20 mL of fixative (neutral-buffered formalin) for 18–24 hours. Decalcification: cores were removed from fixative and rinsed with several changes of water for 3 minutes, placed in Decal Stat (Decal Chemical Corp., Tallman, NY) for 1 hour, washed in several changes of water for 5 minutes, placed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin and processed in an automatic tissue processor. Sectioning: paraffin-embedded core biopsies were sectioned in thicknesses of 3–4  $\mu$ m with coverage of the diameter of the excised vertebral body. Staining: routine hematoxylin–eosin (H&E).

The bone marrow cellularity was determined by a pathologist reading by subtracting the cleared out fatty areas from the total area (the background hematopoietic cells and the background fat cells) and estimated to the nearest 10%.

#### 2.4. Biochemical analysis

A 1- to 2-g sample of the same section was powdered under liquid nitrogen and submitted to AniLytics Inc. (Gaithersberg, MD) for the following tests: water content (given as a percentage of the total mass), triglyceride and total lipid (in units of milligrams per gram of tissue). Further details on the tests may be requested from the company (http://www.anilyticsinc.com/).

An average fat content (of the triglyceride and total lipid assays) was calculated as follows: Fat (%) =  $0.1 \times (\text{Total Lipid} + \text{Triglyceride})/2$ . This is just the average of the two measurements, expressed as a percentage rather than in units of mg/g.

#### 2.5. MRI analysis

Computation of the PDFF was performed on the scanner using manufacturer-supplied software. Briefly, the IDEAL IQ technique corrects for confounding effects such as  $T2^*$  decay, multiple fat peaks, eddy currents and noise bias. The PDFF maps were exported to an Osirix DICOM viewer [14] to manually draw regions of interest (ROIs). The plane was reformatted to axial to match the orientation and thickness of the excised portion of marrow. Avoidance structures for drawing ROIs were fatty corners. The water fraction was calculated using the formula PDWF = 100% — PDFF (in units of %).

#### 2.6. Statistical analysis

Regression analysis was performed in MATLAB version 2011b (The Mathworks, Natick, MA) using the *regress* command. When present, uncertainty ranges represent the 95% confidence interval. Of a total of 180 independent data points, 3 were considered outliers and were excluded from the analysis: (1) the highest total lipid value (531 mg/g) was substantially higher than any other total lipid value and differed from the triglyceride regression line by more than six standard deviations; (2+3) two cellularity readings of 30% were noted by the pathologist as having "increased erythroids, serous-like changes" and exhibited the highest water content values (63.4% and 60.9%).

#### 3. Results

Fig. 1 shows an example of the MRI-acquired sagittal PDFF maps and a reformatted axial slice. Indicated on the axial slice is the region of interest from which the mean PDFF was obtained. These can be visualized on the PDFF maps as being 56.9%, resulting in a PDWF of 43.1%. Fig. 2 shows the histological slide matching the images from Fig. 1. The cellularity assessed on this H&E histology slide is 30%.

Fig. 3 contains the quantitative results from biochemical assay and MRI. Panel A shows a Bland–Altman plot for the biochemical assays for triglyceride and total lipid; the very small difference between the two quantities indicates that, within error, all lipids in the samples are present as triglyceride. This finding is consistent with a previous study that reported that adipose tissue is predominantly triglyceride [15]. For the remainder of the study, the lipid and triglyceride are combined into an average Fat (%) content, defined in Methods.

Panel B shows the relation between the biochemical water and fat assays. If the samples were composed entirely of water and fat then they should sum to 100%, however this is not observed. The plot shows that water represents only 55.0% of the mass as the fat content approaches zero. The remaining 45.0% of the mass is presumably mineral and protein associated with trabecular structure. Using the linear regression from the plot, Water  $=55.0-0.544\times {\rm Fat}$ , it can be calculated that when the water content approaches zero the fat content approaches  $55.0/0.544\approx 101.1\%$  (i.e. all of the mass).

### Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1806305

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1806305

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>