



Perpendicular susceptibility of completely shielded elliptical and rectangular superconducting films

D.-X. Chen^{a,*}, C. Navau^b, N. Del-Valle^b, A. Sanchez^b

^aICREA and Departament de Física, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain

^bDepartament de Física, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 5 March 2009

Received in revised form 25 March 2009

Accepted 2 April 2009

Available online 9 April 2009

PACS:

41.20.Gz

74.25.Ha

74.78.-w

Keywords:

Magnetic shielding

Susceptibility

Superconducting film

Demagnetizing factor

ABSTRACT

The perpendicular susceptibility $-\chi_0$ of completely shielded elliptical and rectangular superconducting films with different aspect ratios has been calculated accurately. The obtained χ_0 may be compared with the measured low-field limit of ac susceptibility to check the quality of superconducting films, and used as a scaling parameter to obtain field amplitude dependent complex critical-state ac susceptibility.

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Perfect diamagnetism is a fundamental property of any superconductor of large dimensions compared with the London penetration depth. This means that when an enough small field H_a is applied to the superconductor, its internal flux density $B = 0$ or equivalently, the material susceptibility $\chi = -1$ [1]. The field may be applied at a high temperature and then the sample is cooled to the superconducting state (the Meissner effect) or applied after the sample is zero-field cooled to the superconducting state (the shielding effect). The same susceptibility occurs for both cases at the same temperature, so that such a diamagnetism may be studied quantitatively by low-frequency ac susceptibility measurements if the susceptibility of a completely shielded body, $-\chi_0$, with the same shape and dimensions as those of the measured sample is accurately calculated. The present work is devoted to such calculations for thin films when H_a is applied perpendicular to the film surface.

Exact χ_0 has been calculated analytically for a thin disk of radius b and thickness t and an infinitely long thin strip of width $2b$ and thickness t [2–4]; the results are

$$\chi_0 = \frac{8b}{3\pi t} \text{ (disk)}, \quad (1)$$

$$\chi_0 = \frac{\pi b}{2t} \text{ (strip)}. \quad (2)$$

We will show that both results may be derived from the known demagnetizing factor of relevant ellipsoids, as already been described in [5]. Using this technique, χ_0 of elliptical films of different values of semi-axes a and b and thickness t will be calculated exactly in Section 2.

Less accurate values (with errors on the order of 1%) of numerically calculated χ_0 for rectangular films were given in [6]. Recently, a new procedure has been proposed, with which χ_0 of a square film is calculated to a precision of 0.1% [7]. Extending the work in [7], we will calculate χ_0 up to a similar precision for rectangular films of dimensions of $2a \times 2b \times t$ in Section 3.

Although elliptical films of $a \neq b$ may not be of practical importance, their results can be compared with those of rectangular films, and, as described below, treating the problem of elliptical films is a good preparation to optimize the calculation conditions of the numerical calculations for rectangular films.

It has to be commented that owing to strong demagnetizing effects, type-I or type-II superconducting films will present an intermediate or vortex state, respectively, but not a Meissner state in a

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: dusing.chen@uab.es (D.-X. Chen).

perpendicular H_a even if H_a is very low [1]. Thus, the calculated $-\chi_0$ for the completely shielded state should be compared with the measured low-frequency ac susceptibility after extrapolation to $H_a = 0$. Moreover, the effect of the two-dimensional screening length has to be taken into account in such a comparison [3,4,8]. If the film is a hard type-II superconductor, an accurate χ_0 may not only be used as a standard for quality check of the film, it may also be used to check the validity of a scaling law proposed by Gilchrist [9], by which the field dependent critical-state ac susceptibility of films of different shape may be obtained from the analytical results of thin disk or strip, as described in [7].

2. Susceptibility of elliptical films

There is a relation between χ_0 and the demagnetizing factor N of a completely shielded ellipsoid when H_a is applied along a principal axis [10]:

$$\chi_0 = \frac{1}{1 - N}. \tag{3}$$

This equation may be used for obtaining the perpendicular χ_0 of an elliptical film of semiaxes a and b and thickness t from the known N value of an ellipsoid of semiaxes a , b , and c , with

$$c = 3t/4, \tag{4}$$

so that its volume is the same as that of the film. If $a \geq b \geq c$, the exact formula for N of this ellipsoid is [11,12]

$$N = \frac{\cos \phi \cos \theta}{\sin^3 \theta (1 - k^2)} \left[\frac{\sin \theta \cos \phi}{\cos \theta} - E(k, \theta) \right], \tag{5}$$

where $E(k, \theta)$ is the second kind elliptical integral and

$$\cos \theta = \frac{c}{a}, \quad \cos \phi = \frac{b}{a}, \quad k = \frac{\sin \phi}{\sin \theta}. \tag{6}$$

Substituting N in Eq. (3) by Eq. (5), where c is replaced by Eq. (4), and considering $c/a \rightarrow 0$, one obtains for the film that

$$\chi_0 = \frac{4b}{3tE(k)}, \tag{7}$$

where $E(k)$ is the second kind complete elliptical integral.

The above derivation may be explained as follows. Assume that the ellipsoid is centered at the origin with its semiaxes a , b , and c lying along the x , y , and z axes, respectively. When H_a is applied in the positive z direction, magnetization M and field H are directed to the negative and positive z direction, respectively, and both are uniform inside the body. The condition of complete shielding $B_z = \mu_0(M + H) = 0$ is realized by a certain distribution of magnetic moment density $g(x, y) = Mt(x, y)$, where $t(x, y)$ is the local thickness of the ellipsoid along the z axis. When $c/a \rightarrow 0$, the same internal $B_z = 0$ may be realized for the thin film at the same H_a

with the same moment density $g(x, y)$. Thus, the same total moment m occurs for both cases, and χ_0 defined by

$$\chi_0 \equiv \frac{-m}{H_a V}, \tag{8}$$

where V is the volume of the body, is also the same if both bodies have the same V , so that Eq. (4) holds and Eq. (7) is obtained for the film.

Eq. (1) for a circular disk may be derived from Eq. (7) when $a/b = 1$. It is also derived from Eq. (7) for an infinitely long elliptical film that

$$\chi_0 = \frac{4b}{3t} \quad (a/b \rightarrow \infty). \tag{9}$$

Numerical results of $\chi_0 t/(2b)$ of elliptical films for several values of a/b are listed in Table 1 and plotted in Fig. 1.

3. Susceptibility of rectangular films

Unlike the case of elliptical films whose exact χ_0 may be calculated analytically, the χ_0 for rectangular films has to be calculated numerically, except when $a/b \rightarrow \infty$, for which the exact Eq. (2) has been derived. In the present work, the film is divided into equal rectangular elements and a magnetic energy minimization procedure is used for numerical computations [13,14,7].

It may be realized from the above approach for calculating χ_0 of elliptical films that the correct $g(x, y)$ for the completely shielded elliptical film is proportional to the local thickness $t(x, y)$ of its equivalent ellipsoid; $g(x, y)$ has negative values and presents a broad minimum in the central region and $g(x, y) = 0$ occurs at the edge with an infinite gradient, which corresponds to an infinite screening current density J . The numerical calculations for rectangular films may be optimized based on these observations.

In general, the rectangular film of sides $2a$ and $2b$ may be divided into $n_a \times n_b$ rectangular cells, each having an area of $A_c = 4ab/(n_a n_b)$. Knowing that $g(x, y)$ for the elliptical case is proportional to $t(x, y)$, which has elliptical isometric loops of aspect ratio of a/b , an optimum condition of $n_a = n_b$ with $A_c = 4ab/n_a^2$ should be applied for the numerical calculations. Under this condition, the correct $g(x, y)$ function for the film is approximated by an $(n_a + 1) \times (n_a + 1)$ array of $g(i, j)$, where indices $i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n_a$ correspond to the node positions and $g(i, j) = 0$ holds if $i, j = 0$ or n_a . Applying a field H_a in the positive z direction, the moment $g(i, j)A_c$ is always negative to ensure internal $B_z(i, j) = 0$ everywhere. This condition is approximately satisfied by executing the magnetic energy minimization procedure mentioned above. Starting with $g(i, j)A_c = 0$, a positive value of H_a is applied and a position (i, j) , at which decreasing $g(i, j)A_c$ by a given negative moment increment $\Delta m \equiv \Delta g A_c$ would yield the maximum decrease in the magnetic energy, is looked for. When this place is found the $g(i, j)A_c$ is changed by adding Δm . This process is repeated until the energy cannot be further minimized, so that a set of $g(i, j)A_c$ is found for this value of H_a , from which χ_0 is calculated by

$$\chi_0 = \frac{-A_c}{H_a 4abt} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n_a} g(i, j) = \frac{-1}{H_a n_a^2 t} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n_a} g(i, j). \tag{10}$$

In the program four Δm 's were added to four symmetric nodes each time. Detailed computations are explained elsewhere [15]. It has been found that the calculated $\chi_0 t/(2b)$ decreases with increasing H_a and decreasing n_a . For a fixed n_a , it has a linear relation with $1/H_a$ when H_a is high enough, as shown for $a/b = 1$ in [7]. Another example is given in Fig. 2a for the case of $a/b = 5$. Linearly extrapolating to $1/H_a = 0$ to reduce the discretization error owing to finite Δm , one gets a $\chi_0 t/(2b)$ for each value of n_a . Drawing the extrapolated $\chi_0 t/(2b)$ against $1/n_a$, another linear relation is found, as

Table 1
Perpendicular $\chi_0 t/(2b)$ of elliptical films of semiaxes a and b and thickness t and rectangular films of dimensions $2a \times 2b \times t$.

a/b	$\chi_0 t/(2b)$ (ell.)	$\chi_0 t/(2b)$ (rec.)
0.7143	0.3512	0.3771
1	0.4244	0.4547
1.4	0.4917	0.5279
2	0.5505	0.5940
3	0.5986	0.6533
5	0.6346	0.7042
7	0.6478	0.7271
10	0.6562	0.7442
20	0.6634	0.7643
∞	0.6667	0.7854

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1818653>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1818653>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)