

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 570 (2007) 556–560

<www.elsevier.com/locate/nima>

Use of activated charcoal for the purification of neon in the CLEAN experiment

M.K. Harrison*, W.H. Lippincott, D.N. McKinsey, J.A. Nikkel

Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511, USA

Received 30 January 2006; received in revised form 10 October 2006; accepted 17 October 2006 Available online 9 November 2006

Abstract

Passage of neon gas through activated charcoal is planned to be the primary method of removing impurities from the liquid neon scintillator in the CLEAN experiment. In order to quantify this technique, the breakout curves for hydrogen, nitrogen, argon and krypton impurities in neon-saturated activated charcoal were measured. Adsorption coefficients and the number of theoretical stages were measured for hydrogen in the temperature range between 300 and 80 K, nitrogen between 300 and 200 K, and argon between 300 and 190 K. The adsorption coefficient for krypton was measured at 300 K.

 \odot 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

PACS: 95.30.Cq; 71.15.Nc; 07.20.Mc

Keywords: CLEAN experiment; Activated charcoal; Purification of argon; Krypton; Nitrogen and hydrogen in neon; Mass spectrometry; Cryogenic traps

1. Introduction

CLEAN is a detector concept based on the use of 10–100 ton of liquid neon as a scintillator. While the primary focus of CLEAN is a measurement of the p–p solar neutrino flux [\[1,2\],](#page--1-0) CLEAN will also be able to search for dark matter in the form of weakly interacting massive particles [\[2,3\]](#page--1-0) and detect supernova neutrinos [\[4\]](#page--1-0).

There are several characteristics of liquid neon (LNe) that make it an attractive detection medium for rare events. Like other noble liquids, LNe scintillates brightly in the hard UV and does not absorb its own scintillation light. This allows for efficient light collection in a large detector. LNe is dense enough $(\rho = 1.2 \text{ g cm}^{-3})$ that a fiducial volume can be defined to mitigate backgrounds from the cryostat and the photomultipler tubes (PMTs). This is more difficult with liquids of significantly lower density than LNe, such as liquid helium. LNe also has both a prompt and a slow scintillation component, thus making it possible to use pulse-shape analysis to distinguish between WIMP-like nuclear recoil events and gamma rays or neutrinos [\[5\].](#page--1-0)

The use of activated charcoal as an adsorbent for radioactive decay products has been well established. Charcoal traps have been tested in other low-energy detectors such as BOREXINO [\[6,7\]](#page--1-0) and XENON [\[8\]](#page--1-0). Neon presents two distinct purification advantages over other scintillators. Neon has no inherent radioactivity, unlike argon, krypton and xenon which have natural radioactivity from the isotopes ^{39}Ar , ^{85}Kr and ^{136}Xe , and organic scintillators which must contend with the decay of ^{14}C . Neon also has a low binding energy to charcoal compared with possible impurities (see [Table 1](#page-1-0)); only helium has a lower binding energy presenting an opportunity for superior purification [\[9\]](#page--1-0).

As with other solar neutrino experiments such as BOREXINO, CLEAN requires high purity of its scintillator in order to achieve a low-energy threshold and sufficiently low-radioactive background. In this paper we will present data on the use of cryogenic charcoal traps to remove impurities from neon in the gas phase.

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: $+12034320569$; fax: $+12034326125$. E-mail address: [matthew.harrison@yale.edu \(M.K. Harrison\).](mailto:matthew.harrison@yale.edu)

^{0168-9002/\$ -} see front matter \odot 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:[10.1016/j.nima.2006.10.135](dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2006.10.135)

Table 1 Binding energies (E_b) and well depths (D) of specific atomic species to charcoal [\[10\]](#page--1-0)

Species	Eb (meV)	D (meV)
3He	11.72 ± 0.1	16.6 ± 0.4
4 He	12.35 ± 0.17	15.8
Ne	30.1	32.6
H ₂	41.6 ± 0.3	51.7 ± 0.5
Ar	99 ± 4	96 ± 2
N_2	NM	104 ± 3
O ₂	NM	101.7
Kr	126	125 ± 5

NM indicates that a quantity was not measured.

1.1. Impurities

Neon contains trace contaminants from two classes: Extreme Ultraviolet (EUV) absorbers (particularly hydrogen, nitrogen, oxygen, water and carbon dioxide) and radioactive impurities (particularly, argon, krypton and radon). EUV absorbers will decrease the number of detected photons from any scattering event by quenching excited Ne₂ molecules or by absorbing the scintillation light. Radioactive impurities can decay creating ionization events indistinguishable from $v-e$ scattering, the signal for measuring the p–p neutrino flux.

Of the radioactive isotopes, 222 Rn can be easily purified through activated charcoal traps [\[11,12\],](#page--1-0) and any additional radon will decay away within a month of running CLEAN [\[2\]](#page--1-0) leaving a negligible amount of decaying radon daughters. Both 39 Ar and 85 Kr are critical contaminants for CLEAN because of their long lifetime and β^- emission in the same range as that of the p–p neutrino flux $(0-420 \,\text{keV})$. Of these two radioactive isotopes, ³⁹Ar is produced by muon spallation on ⁴⁰Ar with an atmospheric abundance of $8.1 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-16}$ [\[13\]](#page--1-0) and ⁸⁵Kr is a fission product of $235U$ and $239Pu$ with an atmospheric abundance of 1.5×10^{-11} [\[14\]](#page--1-0).

Detailed Monte Carlo simulations were performed [\[3\]](#page--1-0) to estimate acceptable levels of contaminants in neon for a measurement of the p–p neutrino flux to the 1% uncertainty level. The acceptable level of natural krypton is the most stringent requirement with a concentration of $\leq 10^{-15}$ g g⁻¹. Evaluating such low levels of krypton background is challenging, but a method using atom trap trace analysis (ATTA) is in development [\[15\]](#page--1-0) and is proceeding along with the other R&D activities of CLEAN. Levels of natural argon must be $\leq 10^{-10}$ g g⁻¹. Typical concentrations in commercial neon are 10^{-6} $g g^{-1}$ for argon and $\langle 10^{-7}$ g g⁻¹ for krypton.¹

To achieve a 95% relative light yield, an absorption length of 300 m is required. By applying known crosssections for photon absorption at 80 nm [\[16\]](#page--1-0) the following mass fraction limits were obtained: 2.2, 9.8, 26.8 and 21.6 \times 10^{-12} g g⁻¹ for hydrogen, water, nitrogen and oxygen, respectively [\[17\].](#page--1-0)

2. Removal of impurities using cryo-adsorption

2.1. Background

We define adsorption as the process where molecules (whether neon or impurities) physically bind to the charcoal surface. We only concern ourselves with physical adsorption because it is a reversable process [\[18\].](#page--1-0) By heating the charcoal adsorber we can remove any molecules attached to its surface making activated charcoal a reusable purification method. An example breakout curve is presented in Fig. 1. Following a spike impurity in the neon flow, the impurity enters the charcoal trap at $t = 0$ and is adsorbed onto one of the many porous binding sites. The impurity continues through the trap until it breaks out at $t = t_b$. We can relate the flow rate, v, the breakout time, t_b , and the mass of the charcoal absorber, m_{ads} , with the following equation that defines the adsorption coefficient,

$$
\alpha = \frac{vt_b}{m_{\text{ads}}}
$$
 (1)

where α is measured in 1kg^{-1} . While the neon carrier gas will also bind to adsorption sites, it will be released relatively quickly compared to impurities because of its much lower binding energy. In the CLEAN experiment, neon gas will flow through a charcoal trap until the shortest breakout time of the impurities of concern is reached. The neon flow will then be diverted to a different charcoal trap while the first trap is baked to remove impurities. This procedure of exchanging traps will continue throughout the experiment to preserve the continuity of the purification process.

Fig. 1. Breakout curve for H₂ at 120 K with $w = 475$ s (see Eq. (3)) and $t_{\rm b} = 625$ s.

¹This krypton concentration is near our detection threshold so it should be considered an upper-bound.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1832136>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1832136>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com/)