

# Classical solutions of a flag manifold $\sigma$ -model

Dmitri Bykov <sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik, Albert-Einstein-Institut,  
Am Mühlenberg 1, D-14476 Potsdam-Golm, Germany*

<sup>b</sup> *Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russ. Acad. Sci., Gubkina str. 8, 119991 Moscow, Russia*

Received 6 July 2015; received in revised form 9 November 2015; accepted 13 November 2015

Available online 17 November 2015

Editor: Hubert Saleur

---

## Abstract

We study a  $\sigma$ -model with target space the flag manifold  $\frac{U(3)}{U(1)^3}$  and a nonzero Kalb–Ramond field, which is specified by a choice of integrable complex structure on the target space. We describe the classical solutions of the model for the case when the worldsheet is a sphere  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ .

© 2015 The Author. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Funded by SCOAP<sup>3</sup>.

---

In his seminal paper [1] Pohlmeyer discovered that the  $\sigma$ -model with target space  $S^2$  is classically integrable. He showed that this can be related to the fact that the equations of motion (e.o.m.) of the model are equivalent to the flatness of a one-parametric family of connections. Soon afterwards it was realized that analogous properties are shared by  $\sigma$ -models with symmetric target spaces [2]. The case of non-symmetric target spaces, however, resisted analysis by these methods. In [3] the author proposed a model with a homogeneous but not symmetric target space, with the property that its e.o.m. may be rewritten as a flatness condition for a one-parametric family of connections.

In this paper we will solve the e.o.m. of the  $\sigma$ -model proposed in [3] (reviewed in Sec. 2) for the case when the worldsheet  $\mathcal{M}$  is a sphere  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ . The target space of the model is the manifold of full flags in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ , which we will denote by  $\mathcal{F}_3$ . It can be viewed as the space of ordered triples

---

\* Correspondence to: Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik, Albert-Einstein-Institut, Am Mühlenberg 1, D-14476 Potsdam-Golm, Germany.

E-mail addresses: [dmitri.bykov@aei.mpg.de](mailto:dmitri.bykov@aei.mpg.de), [dbykov@mi.ras.ru](mailto:dbykov@mi.ras.ru).

of orthogonal lines in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  passing through the origin, and is also representable as a quotient space:

$$\mathcal{F}_3 = \frac{U(3)}{U(1)^3}. \quad (1)$$

The flag manifold  $\mathcal{F}_3$  may be parametrized by the orthonormalized vectors  $u_i$  ( $u_i \circ \bar{u}_j = \delta_{ij}$ ), modulo phase rotations  $u_k \rightarrow e^{i\alpha_k} u_k$ . Each of these vectors defines a point in projective space  $\mathbb{CP}^2$ , allowing to construct three natural forgetful maps  $\{\pi_i : \mathcal{F}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2, i = 1, 2, 3\}$  by the formula  $\pi_i(u_1, u_2, u_3) = u_i$ . For this reason the properties of the flag manifold are tightly related to the properties of the underlying  $\mathbb{CP}^2$ 's. As we shall see, solutions to the flag  $\sigma$ -model e.o.m. are to a large extent expressible through the solutions of the  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  model. Due to this, and to introduce the notation, we begin by defining the  $\sigma$ -model with target space  $\mathbb{CP}^2$ .

### 1. The $\mathbb{CP}^2$ $\sigma$ -model

We will be thinking of  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  as the quotient  $\mathbb{CP}^2 = (\mathbb{C}^3 - \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^*$ . A map  $v : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2$  from a Riemann surface  $\mathcal{M}$  can be described by a vector-valued function  $v(z, \bar{z}) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ , where  $z, \bar{z}$  are coordinates on the worldsheet  $\mathcal{M}$ . We may assume that the vector  $v$  is in fact normalized, that is  $v \in S^5 \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ :  $\sum_{i=1}^3 |v_i|^2 := \bar{v} \circ v = 1$ , and henceforth we will use this normalization. This is a partial gauge for the gauge group  $\mathbb{C}^*$ , which breaks it down to  $U(1)$ .

Introduce the covariant derivative

$$D_i^{(v)} w := \partial_i w - q_w \cdot (\bar{v} \circ \partial_i v) w, \quad i = \{z, \bar{z}\} \quad (2)$$

where  $q_w$  is the  $U(1)$ -charge of  $w$ , normalized so that  $q_v = 1$ . In most of the applications of (2) below  $w$  is a vector obtained by applying covariant derivatives to the basic map  $v$  or its conjugate  $\bar{v}$ . For example,  $w \in \{v, D_z^{(v)} v, D_{\bar{z}}^{(v)} v, D_z^{(v)} D_{\bar{z}}^{(v)} v, \dots\}$ , in which case  $q_w = 1$ , or  $w \in \{\bar{v}, D_z^{(v)} \bar{v}, D_{\bar{z}}^{(v)} \bar{v}, D_z^{(v)} D_{\bar{z}}^{(v)} \bar{v}, \dots\}$ , in which case  $q_w = -1$ . When this does not lead to confusion, we will sometimes simply write  $D_z$  in place of  $D_z^{(v)}$ ,  $D_{\bar{z}}$  for  $D_{\bar{z}}^{(v)}$ .

The covariant derivative has the Leibniz property:  $D_i^{(v)}(a \cdot b) = D_i^{(v)}(a) \cdot b + a \cdot D_i^{(v)}(b)$ . The commutator of covariant derivatives produces the pull-back of the Fubini–Study form:

$$[D_z^{(v)}, D_{\bar{z}}^{(v)}] = D_{\bar{z}}^{(v)} \bar{v} \circ D_z^{(v)} v - D_z^{(v)} \bar{v} \circ D_{\bar{z}}^{(v)} v. \quad (3)$$

The action of the  $\mathbb{CP}^2$   $\sigma$ -model (with zero  $\theta$ -term) is:

$$\mathcal{S} = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{i}{2} dz \wedge d\bar{z} (\|D_z v\|^2 + \|D_{\bar{z}} v\|^2) \quad (4)$$

The equation of motion following from this action reads

$$D_{\bar{z}}^{(v)} D_z^{(v)} v = \alpha v, \quad (5)$$

where  $\alpha$  is a scalar function. Multiplying this equation by  $\bar{v}$  and using the Leibniz property of the covariant derivative together with the identity  $\bar{v} \circ D_z^{(v)} v = 0$  (which follows from the

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1840402>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1840402>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)