



# Precision measurements of $A_1^n$ in the deep inelastic regime



The Jefferson Lab Hall A Collaboration

D.S. Parno<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, D. Flay<sup>c,d</sup>, M. Posik<sup>c</sup>, K. Allada<sup>e</sup>, W. Armstrong<sup>c</sup>, T. Averett<sup>f</sup>,  
F. Benmokhtar<sup>a</sup>, W. Bertozzi<sup>g</sup>, A. Camsonne<sup>h</sup>, M. Canan<sup>i</sup>, G.D. Cates<sup>j</sup>, C. Chen<sup>k</sup>,  
J.-P. Chen<sup>h</sup>, S. Choi<sup>l</sup>, E. Chudakov<sup>h</sup>, F. Cusanno<sup>m,n,1</sup>, M.M. Dalton<sup>j</sup>, W. Deconinck<sup>g</sup>,  
C.W. de Jager<sup>h,j</sup>, X. Deng<sup>j</sup>, A. Deur<sup>h</sup>, C. Dutta<sup>e</sup>, L. El Fassi<sup>o,p</sup>, G.B. Franklin<sup>a</sup>, M. Friend<sup>a</sup>,  
H. Gao<sup>q</sup>, F. Garibaldi<sup>m</sup>, S. Gilad<sup>g</sup>, R. Gilman<sup>h,o</sup>, O. Glamazdin<sup>r</sup>, S. Golge<sup>i</sup>, J. Gomez<sup>h</sup>,  
L. Guo<sup>s</sup>, O. Hansen<sup>h</sup>, D.W. Higinbotham<sup>h</sup>, T. Holmstrom<sup>t</sup>, J. Huang<sup>g</sup>, C. Hyde<sup>i,u</sup>,  
H.F. Ibrahim<sup>v</sup>, X. Jiang<sup>o,s</sup>, G. Jin<sup>j</sup>, J. Katich<sup>f</sup>, A. Kelleher<sup>f</sup>, A. Kolarkar<sup>e</sup>, W. Korsch<sup>e</sup>,  
G. Kumbartzki<sup>o</sup>, J.J. LeRose<sup>h</sup>, R. Lindgren<sup>j</sup>, N. Liyanage<sup>j</sup>, E. Long<sup>w</sup>, A. Lukhanin<sup>c</sup>,  
V. Mamyan<sup>a</sup>, D. McNulty<sup>d</sup>, Z.-E. Meziani<sup>c</sup>, R. Michaels<sup>h</sup>, M. Mihovilović<sup>x</sup>, B. Moffit<sup>g,h</sup>,  
N. Muangma<sup>g</sup>, S. Nanda<sup>h</sup>, A. Narayan<sup>p</sup>, V. Nelyubin<sup>j</sup>, B. Norum<sup>j</sup>, Nuruzzaman<sup>p</sup>, Y. Oh<sup>l</sup>,  
J.C. Peng<sup>y</sup>, X. Qian<sup>q,z</sup>, Y. Qiang<sup>q,h</sup>, A. Rakhman<sup>aa</sup>, S. Riordan<sup>j,d</sup>, A. Saha<sup>h,1</sup>, B. Sawatzky<sup>g,h</sup>,  
M.H. Shabestari<sup>j</sup>, A. Shahinyan<sup>ab</sup>, S. Širca<sup>ac,x</sup>, P. Solvignon<sup>ad,h</sup>, R. Subedi<sup>j</sup>, V. Sulkosky<sup>g,h</sup>,  
W.A. Tobias<sup>j</sup>, W. Troth<sup>t</sup>, D. Wang<sup>j</sup>, Y. Wang<sup>y</sup>, B. Wojtsekhowski<sup>h</sup>, X. Yan<sup>ae</sup>, H. Yao<sup>c,f</sup>,  
Y. Ye<sup>ae</sup>, Z. Ye<sup>k</sup>, L. Yuan<sup>k</sup>, X. Zhan<sup>g</sup>, Y. Zhang<sup>af</sup>, Y.-W. Zhang<sup>af,o</sup>, B. Zhao<sup>f</sup>, X. Zheng<sup>j</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, United States

<sup>b</sup> Center for Experimental Nuclear Physics and Astrophysics and Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, United States

<sup>c</sup> Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122, United States

<sup>d</sup> University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, United States

<sup>e</sup> University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506, United States

<sup>f</sup> College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA 23187, United States

<sup>g</sup> Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, United States

<sup>h</sup> Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, VA 23606, United States

<sup>i</sup> Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA 23529, United States

<sup>j</sup> University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904, United States

<sup>k</sup> Hampton University, Hampton, VA 23187, United States

<sup>l</sup> Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, South Korea

<sup>m</sup> INFN, Sezione di Roma, I-00161 Rome, Italy

<sup>n</sup> Istituto Superiore di Sanità, I-00161 Rome, Italy

<sup>o</sup> Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, NJ 08855, United States

<sup>p</sup> Mississippi State University, MS 39762, United States

<sup>q</sup> Duke University, Durham, NC 27708, United States

<sup>r</sup> Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov 61108, Ukraine

<sup>s</sup> Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, United States

<sup>t</sup> Longwood University, Farmville, VA 23909, United States

<sup>u</sup> Université Blaise Pascal/IN2P3, F-63177 Aubière, France

<sup>v</sup> Cairo University, Giza 12613, Egypt

<sup>w</sup> Kent State University, Kent, OH 44242, United States

<sup>x</sup> Jožef Stefan Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia

<sup>y</sup> University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, United States

<sup>z</sup> Kellogg Radiation Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, United States

<sup>aa</sup> Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY 13244, United States

<sup>ab</sup> Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan 375036, Armenia

\* Corresponding author at: Center for Experimental Nuclear Physics and Astrophysics, Box 354290, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, United States. Tel.: +1 206 543 4035; fax: +1 206 685 4634.

E-mail address: [dparno@uw.edu](mailto:dparno@uw.edu) (D.S. Parno).

<sup>1</sup> Deceased.

<sup>ac</sup> University of Ljubljana, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

<sup>ad</sup> Argonne National Lab, Argonne, IL 60439, United States

<sup>ae</sup> University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, People's Republic of China

<sup>af</sup> Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, Gansu, People's Republic of China

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 11 December 2014

Received in revised form 18 March 2015

Accepted 31 March 2015

Available online 7 April 2015

Editor: D.F. Geesaman

### Keywords:

Spin structure functions

Nucleon structure

Parton distribution functions

Polarized electron scattering

## ABSTRACT

We have performed precision measurements of the double-spin virtual-photon asymmetry  $A_1$  on the neutron in the deep inelastic scattering regime, using an open-geometry, large-acceptance spectrometer and a longitudinally and transversely polarized  $^3\text{He}$  target. Our data cover a wide kinematic range  $0.277 \leq x \leq 0.548$  at an average  $Q^2$  value of  $3.078 \text{ (GeV/c)}^2$ , doubling the available high-precision neutron data in this  $x$  range. We have combined our results with world data on proton targets to make a leading-order extraction of the ratio of polarized-to-unpolarized parton distribution functions for up quarks and for down quarks in the same kinematic range. Our data are consistent with a previous observation of an  $A_1^n$  zero crossing near  $x = 0.5$ . We find no evidence of a transition to a positive slope in  $(\Delta d + \Delta \bar{d})/(d + \bar{d})$  up to  $x = 0.548$ .

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Funded by SCOAP<sup>3</sup>.

Ever since the European Muon Collaboration determined that the quark-spin contribution was insufficient to account for the spin of the proton [1,2], the origin of the nucleon spin has been an open puzzle; see Ref. [3] for a recent review. Recently, studies of polarized proton–proton collisions have found evidence for a non-zero contribution from the gluon spin [4,5] and for a significantly positive polarization of  $\bar{u}$  quarks [6]. The possible contribution of parton orbital angular momentum (OAM) is also under investigation. In the valence quark region, combining spin-structure data obtained in polarized-lepton scattering on protons and neutrons allows the separation of contributions from up and down quarks and permits a sensitive test of several theoretical models.

In deep inelastic scattering (DIS), nucleon structure is conventionally parameterized by the unpolarized structure functions  $F_1(x, Q^2)$  and  $F_2(x, Q^2)$ , and by the polarized structure functions  $g_1(x, Q^2)$  and  $g_2(x, Q^2)$ , where  $Q^2$  is the negative square of the four-momentum transferred in the scattering interaction and  $x$  is the Bjorken scaling variable, which at leading order in the infinite-momentum frame equals the fraction of the nucleon momentum carried by the struck quark. One useful probe of the nucleon spin structure is the asymmetry  $A_1 = (\sigma_{1/2} - \sigma_{3/2})/(\sigma_{1/2} + \sigma_{3/2})$ , where  $\sigma_{1/2(3/2)}$  is the cross section of virtual photoabsorption on the nucleon for a total spin projection of  $1/2$  ( $3/2$ ) along the virtual-photon momentum direction. At finite  $Q^2$ , this asymmetry may be expressed in terms of the nucleon structure functions as [7]

$$A_1(x, Q^2) = [g_1(x, Q^2) - \gamma^2 g_2(x, Q^2)] / F_1(x, Q^2), \quad (1)$$

where  $\gamma^2 = 4M^2 x^2 c^2 / Q^2$  and  $M$  is the nucleon mass. For large  $Q^2$ ,  $\gamma^2 \ll 1$  and  $A_1(x) \approx g_1(x) / F_1(x)$ ; since  $g_1$  and  $F_1$  have the same  $Q^2$  evolution at leading order and at next to leading order (NLO) [8–10],  $A_1$  may be approximated as a function of  $x$  alone. Through Eq. (1), measurements of  $A_1$  on proton and neutron targets also allow extraction of the flavor-separated ratios of polarized to unpolarized parton distribution functions (PDFs),  $(\Delta q(x) + \Delta \bar{q}(x)) / (q(x) + \bar{q}(x))$ . Here,  $q(x) = q^\uparrow(x) + q^\downarrow(x)$  and  $\Delta q(x) = q^\uparrow(x) - q^\downarrow(x)$ , where  $q^{\uparrow(\downarrow)}(x)$  is the probability of finding the quark  $q$  with a given value of  $x$  and with spin (anti)parallel to that of the nucleon. This Letter reports a high-precision measurement of the neutron  $A_1$ ,  $A_1^n$ , in a kinematic range where theoretical predictions begin to diverge.

A variety of theoretical approaches predict that  $A_1^n \rightarrow 1$  as  $x \rightarrow 1$ . Calculations in the relativistic constituent quark model (RCQM), for example, generally assume that SU(6) symmetry is broken via a color hyperfine interaction between quarks, lowering

the energy of spectator-quark pairs in a spin singlet state relative to those in a spin triplet state and increasing the probability that, at high  $x$ , the struck quark carries the nucleon spin [11].

In perturbative quantum chromodynamics (pQCD), valid at large  $x$  and large  $Q^2$  where the coupling of gluons to the struck quark is small, the leading-order assumption that the valence quarks have no OAM leads to the same conclusion about the spin of the struck quark [12,13]. Parameterizations of the world data, in the context of pQCD models, have been made at NLO both with and without this assumption of hadron helicity conservation. The LSS (BBS) parameterization [14] is a classic example of the former; Avakian et al. [15] later extended that parameterization to explicitly include Fock states with nonzero quark OAM. Both parameterizations enforce  $A_1^n(x \rightarrow 0) < 0$  and  $A_1^n(x \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow 1$  and predict  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} (\Delta d + \Delta \bar{d}) / (d + \bar{d}) = 1$ . However, the OAM-inclusive parameterization predicts that  $(\Delta d + \Delta \bar{d}) / (d + \bar{d})$ , which is negative at low  $x$ , crosses zero at significantly higher  $x$  than predicted by LSS (BBS). Recently, the Jefferson Lab Angular Momentum (JAM) Collaboration performed a global NLO analysis at  $Q^2 = 1 \text{ (GeV/c)}^2$  to produce a new parameterization [16], and then systematically studied the effects of various input assumptions [17]. Without enforcing hadron helicity conservation, JAM found that the ratio  $(\Delta d + \Delta \bar{d}) / (d + \bar{d})$  remains negative across all  $x$ ; regardless of this initial assumption, the existing world data can be fit approximately equally well with or without explicit OAM terms of the form given by Ref. [15]. The scarcity of precise DIS neutron data above  $x \approx 0.4$ , combined with the absence of such data points for  $x \gtrsim 0.6$ , leaves the pQCD parameterizations remarkably unconstrained.

The statistical model treats the nucleon as a gas of massless partons at thermal equilibrium, using both chirality and DIS data to constrain the thermodynamical potential of each parton species. At a moderate  $Q^2$  value of 4  $(\text{GeV/c})^2$ ,  $A_1^n(x \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow 0.6 \cdot \Delta u(x) / u(x) \sim 0.46$  [18]. Statistical-model predictions are thus in conflict with hadron helicity conservation. A modified Nambu–Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model, including both scalar and axial-vector diquark channels, yields a similar prediction for  $A_1^n$  as  $x \rightarrow 1$  [19]. A recent approach based on Dyson–Schwinger equations (DSE) predicts  $A_1^n(x = 1) = 0.34$  in a contact-interaction framework, and 0.17 in a more realistic framework in which the dressed-quark mass is permitted to depend on momentum [20]; the latter prediction is significantly smaller than either the statistical or NJL prediction at  $x = 1$ . However, existing DIS data do not extend to high enough  $x$  to definitively favor one model over another.

Measurements of the virtual-photon asymmetry  $A_1$  can be made via doubly polarized electron–nucleon scattering. With both

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1848920>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1848920>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)