



## Review

## Evaluating results from the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider with perturbative QCD and hydrodynamics

R.J. Fries<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, C. Nonaka<sup>c</sup><sup>a</sup> Cyclotron Institute, Texas A&M University, College Station TX, USA<sup>b</sup> RIKEN/BNL Research Center, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton NY, USA<sup>c</sup> Department of Physics, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan

## ARTICLE INFO

## Keywords:

Relativistic heavy ion collisions  
 Quark gluon plasma  
 Quantum chromodynamics  
 Relativistic hydrodynamics

## ABSTRACT

We review the basic concepts of perturbative quantum chromodynamics (QCD) and relativistic hydrodynamics, and their applications to hadron production in high energy nuclear collisions. We discuss results from the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) in light of these theoretical approaches. Perturbative QCD and hydrodynamics together explain a large amount of experimental data gathered during the first decade of RHIC running, although some questions remain open. We focus primarily on practical aspects of the calculations, covering basic topics like perturbation theory, initial state nuclear effects, jet quenching models, ideal hydrodynamics, dissipative corrections, freeze-out and initial conditions. We conclude by comparing key results from RHIC to calculations.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## Contents

1.	Introduction.....	608
2.	Particle production in perturbative quantum chromodynamics.....	609
2.1.	Factorization in pQCD.....	609
2.1.1.	Cross-sections of partons.....	610
2.1.2.	Parton distributions and fragmentation functions.....	611
2.1.3.	Factorized cross-sections.....	612
2.1.4.	Photons.....	614
2.2.	Nuclear collisions: initial state effects.....	614
2.2.1.	Shadowing and nuclear parton distributions.....	614
2.2.2.	Higher twist corrections.....	616
2.2.3.	Cronin effect.....	618
2.2.4.	Phenomenological consequences of initial state effects.....	618
2.3.	Final state effects and energy loss.....	619
2.3.1.	Basic phenomenology.....	619
2.3.2.	The Higher Twist formalism.....	620
2.3.3.	The AMY formalism.....	624
2.3.4.	The GLV formalism.....	625
2.3.5.	The ASW formalism.....	626
2.3.6.	Final state effects: other developments.....	626
2.4.	The perturbative approach: critique and challenges.....	627
3.	Success of hydrodynamic models at RHIC.....	628

\* Corresponding author at: Cyclotron Institute, Texas A&amp;M University, College Station TX, USA.

E-mail address: [rjfries@comp.tamu.edu](mailto:rjfries@comp.tamu.edu) (R.J. Fries).

3.1.	Basics of relativistic hydrodynamics .....	629
3.1.1.	The framework of ideal hydrodynamics .....	629
3.1.2.	Dissipative corrections .....	630
3.1.3.	Numerical calculations .....	630
3.2.	Applications to RHIC physics .....	631
3.2.1.	Hydrodynamics for heavy ion collisions .....	631
3.2.2.	Initial conditions .....	631
3.2.3.	QCD and hydrodynamics .....	633
3.2.4.	The freeze-out process .....	635
3.3.	New developments .....	636
3.4.	Hadronization and quark recombination .....	636
4.	Interpretation of experimental data from RHIC .....	638
4.1.	Particle multiplicities and single particle spectra .....	638
4.2.	Azimuthal anisotropies and elliptic flow .....	644
4.3.	Two-particle correlations .....	650
4.4.	Photons .....	653
5.	Summary and conclusions .....	655
	Acknowledgements .....	656
	References .....	656

## 1. Introduction

The Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) at Brookhaven National Laboratory started operations about a decade ago. The amount of data collected and the quality of the data have been outstanding. Besides a successful proton–proton and proton–nucleus program, RHIC has mostly provided data on nuclear collisions, from a few GeV center of mass energy up to 200 GeV per nucleon–nucleon pair. We have strong evidence that the central goal of the RHIC program, the discovery of quark gluon plasma (QGP), a deconfined state of nuclear matter, has been achieved. In order to draw this conclusion a wide variety of observables have been weighed against theoretical expectations and we will discuss a few of those in this article. Some key experimental discoveries at RHIC over the past decade were (i) the extremely strong jet quenching, many times that of ordinary nuclear matter [1,2]; (ii) the very large elliptic flow of the fireball that confirms collective behavior at energy densities larger than expected at the phase transition [3]; (iii) the surprising quark number scaling of elliptic flow that seems to indicate that the collective flow is carried by quarks [4,5] (see [6] for an attempt of an alternative explanation); and (iv) direct photon measurements that suggest large initial temperatures [7]. Even before the partonic nature of the fireball could be established there was mounting evidence that the hot matter at RHIC was not behaving like a weakly interacting gas, but rather like a strongly interacting liquid. This has led to the conjecture that quark gluon plasma is a nearly perfect liquid [8], at least close to the phase transition temperature. Conservative estimates for the initial energy density in the center of head-on collisions at top RHIC energy find a lower bound  $\sim 3 \text{ GeV}/\text{fm}^3$ , which is above the estimated critical energy density [3].

Perturbative quantum chromodynamics (pQCD) and relativistic hydrodynamics have been two important tools to understand and interpret RHIC data. It was found that the bulk of the produced particles at RHIC (for transverse momenta  $P_T$  smaller than  $\approx 2 \text{ GeV}/c$ ) show signatures of collective behavior. The mean-free path of particles seems to be small enough for the dynamics to be described by relativistic fluid dynamics. This was a non-trivial finding since hydrodynamic descriptions for lower energy nuclear collisions routinely overestimated the amount of collectivity. While hydrodynamic modeling 10 years ago was still rough, based on  $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional ideal fluid dynamics with simple initial conditions and freeze-out, there has been an amazing amount of progress since then by going to full  $(3 + 1)$ -dimensional modeling, taking into account dissipative corrections, fine-tuning of initial conditions all the way to event-by-event calculations, and a deeper understanding of the hadronic phase with separate chemical and thermal freeze-outs, and through the advent of hybrid hydro + cascade models. We will highlight many of these improvements in this article. The progress has enabled hydrodynamic models – and the entire RHIC program – to enter a phase in which quantitative measurements are finally close. Prime candidates for such quantitative measurements are the equation of state of hot QCD, including the order of the phase transition between hadronic matter and QGP and the existence and location of a critical point, and the shear viscosities of these phases. The measurement of other bulk transport coefficients, like the bulk viscosity and relaxation times, are in principle possible but remain elusive for now. We will discuss the status and potential problems of such measurements.

Hydrodynamics describes the bulk of the particles in a collision (more than 98% of them). The tail of the particle  $P_T$ -spectra in nuclear collisions, which clearly contain particles that have not thermalized, should not simply be disregarded. In fact it was proposed a long time ago that they can serve as “hard probes” of the bulk matter created. In elementary  $p + p$  or  $p + \bar{p}$  collisions hadrons with transverse momenta of  $5 \text{ GeV}/c$  or more are created through a single hard scattering of two partons within the wave functions of the colliding hadrons, which then fragment in the vacuum away from the collision into collimated bunches of hadrons, called jets. This entire process can be calculated in perturbative QCD due to the large momentum transfer involved, while the unavoidable non-perturbative contributions can be treated in a controlled way through a formalism called collinear factorization. Perturbative QCD based on collinear factorization has been a great success story in elementary collisions [9]. Hard initial scatterings of partons from the initial nuclear wave functions should

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1854470>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1854470>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)