

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Annals of Physics



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/aop

### The quark model and *b* baryons

### Marek Karliner<sup>a</sup>, Boaz Keren-Zur<sup>a</sup>, Harry J. Lipkin<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Jonathan L. Rosner<sup>d,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel

<sup>b</sup> Department of Particle Physics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovoth 76100, Israel

<sup>c</sup> High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439-4815, USA

<sup>d</sup> Enrico Fermi Institute and Department of Physics, University of Chicago, 5640 S. Ellis Avenue, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 26 April 2008 Accepted 5 May 2008 Available online 16 May 2008

PACS: 14.20.Mr 12.40.Yx 12.39.Jh 11.30.Hw

*Keywords:* Beauty baryons Heavy quarks Hardon spectroscopy

#### ABSTRACT

The recent observation at the Tevatron of  $\Sigma_{h}^{\pm}$  (*uub* and *ddb*) baryons within 2 MeV of the predicted  $\Sigma_b - \Lambda_b$  splitting and of  $\Xi_b^-(dsb)$ baryons at the Tevatron within a few mega electron volts (MeV) of predictions has provided strong confirmation for a theoretical approach based on modeling the color hyperfine interaction. The prediction of  $M(\Xi_h^-) = 5790-5800$  MeV is reviewed and similar methods used to predict the masses of the excited states  $\Xi'_{h}$  and  $\Xi_{h}^{*}$ . The main source of uncertainty is the method used to estimate the mass difference  $m_b - m_c$  from known hadrons. We verify that corrections due to the details of the interguark potential and to  $\Xi_b - \Xi'_b$  mixing are small. For S-wave qqb states we predict  $M(\Omega_b) = 6052.1 \pm 5.6$  MeV,  $M(\Omega_b^*) = 6082.8 \pm 5.6$  MeV, and  $M(\Xi_{h}^{0}) = 5786.7 \pm 3.0$  MeV. For states with one unit of orbital angular momentum between the *b* quark and the two light quarks we predict  $M(\Lambda_{b[3/2]}) = 5929 \pm 2$  MeV,  $M(\Lambda_{b[3/2]}) = 5940 \pm 2$  MeV,  $M(\Xi_{b[1/2]}) = 6106 \pm 4$  MeV, and  $M(\Xi_{b[3/2]}) = 6115 \pm 4$  MeV. Results are compared with those of other recent approaches.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

The first observed baryon with a *b* quark was the isospin-zero  $\Lambda_b$ , whose mass has recently been well-measured:  $M(\Lambda_b) = 5619.7 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.2$  MeV [1]. Its quark content is  $\Lambda_b = bud$ , where the *ud* pair has spin and isospin S(ud) = I(ud) = 0. Now the CDF Collaboration has observed candidates for  $\Sigma_b^{\pm}$  and  $\Sigma_b^{\pm\pm}$  [2] with masses consistent with predictions [3–11]. D0 and CDF have seen candidates for  $\Xi_b^{-} = bsd$ 

\* Corresponding author. Fax: +1 773 702 8038.

E-mail address: rosner@hep.uchicago.edu (J.L. Rosner).

0003-4916/\$ - see front matter  $\odot$  2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.aop.2008.05.003

[12,13]. The more precise CDF mass lies close to a prediction based on careful accounting for wave function effects in the hyperfine interaction [14].

The CDF sensitivity appears adequate to detect further heavy baryons. The S-wave levels of states containing *bsu* or *bsd* consist of the J = 1/2 states  $\Xi_b^{(0,-)}$  and  $\Xi_b^{(0,-)}$  and the J = 3/2 states  $\Xi_b^{*(0,-)}$ . Additional baryonic states containing the *b* quark include  $\Omega_b = bss$  (J = 1/2),  $\Omega_b^* = bss$  (J = 3/2), and orbital excitations of  $\Lambda_b$  and other *b*-flavored baryons. In this paper, we predict the masses of these states and estimate the dependence of the predictions on the form of the interquark potential, extending a previous application to hyperfine splittings of known heavy hadrons [15]. Two observations based on a study of the hadronic spectrum lead to improved predictions for the *b* baryons. The first is that the effective mass of the constituent quark depends on the spectator quarks [5], and the second is an effective supersymmetry [8]—a resemblance between mesons and baryons where the anti-quark is replaced by a diquark [16]. Parts of this article have appeared previously in preliminary form [14,17].

We review the predictions for  $\Sigma_b$  and  $\Sigma_b^*$  in Section 2, and discuss predictions for  $M(\Xi_b)$  in Section 3, starting with an extrapolation from  $M(\Xi_c)$  without correction for hyperfine (HF) interaction and then estimating this correction. In the  $\Xi_b$  the light quarks are approximately in a state with S = 0, while another heavier state  $\Xi'_b$  is expected in which the light quarks mainly have S = 1. There is also a state  $\Xi_b^*$  expected with light-quark spin 1 and total J = 3/2. Predictions for  $\Xi'_b$  and  $\Xi_b^*$  masses are discussed in Section 4. We estimate the effect of mixing between light-quark spins S = 0 and 1 in Section 5, and isospin splittings of the  $\Xi_b$  family of states in Section 6. Section 7 is devoted to predictions of  $M(\Omega_b)$  and  $M(\Omega_b^*)$ , while Section 10 summarizes.

#### **2.** The $\Sigma_b$ and $\Sigma_b^{*\pm}$ states

The  $\Sigma_b^{\pm}$  states consist of a light-quark pair uu or dd (a "nonstrange diquark") with S = I = 1 coupled with the *b* quark to J = 1/2, while in the  $\Sigma_b^{\pm\pm}$  states the light-quark pair and the *b* quark are coupled to J = 3/2. The corresponding ud pair in the  $\Lambda_b$  has S = I = 0. The experimental  $\Sigma_b - \Lambda_b$  mass differences [2],

$$M(\Sigma_b^-) - M(\Lambda_b) = 195.5^{+1.0}_{-1.0} (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.1 (\text{syst.}) \text{ MeV}$$

$$M(\Sigma_b^+) - M(\Lambda_b) = 188.0^{+2.0}_{-2.3} (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.1 (\text{syst.}) \text{ MeV}$$
(1)

with isospin-averaged mass difference  $M(\Sigma_b) - M(\Lambda_b) = 192$  MeV, are to be compared with the prediction [5,8]  $M_{\Sigma_b} - M_{\Lambda_b} = 194$  MeV. Note also:

(1) The mass difference between spin-1 and spin-zero nonstrange diquarks governs the splitting between the spin-weighted average  $[2M(\Sigma_b^*) + M(\Sigma_b)]/3$  and the  $\Lambda_b$ ,

$$\frac{M(\Sigma_b) + 2M(\Sigma_b^*)}{3} - M(\Lambda_b) = (205.9 \pm 1.8) \text{ MeV},$$
(2)

where we have used the averages of the differences for  $\Sigma_b^{(*)\pm}$ . This should be the same as the corresponding quantity for charmed baryons,

$$\frac{M(\Sigma_c) + 2M(\Sigma_c^*)}{3} - M(\Lambda_c) = (210.0 \pm 0.5) \text{ MeV},$$
(3)

and that for strange baryons,

$$\frac{M(\Sigma) + 2M(\Sigma^*)}{3} - M(\Lambda) = (205.1 \pm 0.3) \text{ MeV},$$
(4)

where the masses are from Ref. [18], and an average over the  $\Sigma$  isospin multiplet is taken. In each case the dominant source of error is the mass of the  $I_3 = 0$ , J = 3/2 state,  $\Sigma_c^{*+}$  or  $\Sigma^{*0}$ . The agreement is quite satisfactory.

(2) The charge-averaged hyperfine splitting between the J = 1/2 and J = 3/2 states involving the spin-1 nonstrange diquark may be predicted from that for charmed particles:

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1855138

Download Persian Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/article/1855138

Daneshyari.com