



Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Annals of Physics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/aop

Non-abelian symmetries in tensor networks: A quantum symmetry space approach

Andreas Weichselbaum

Department of Physics, Arnold Sommerfeld Center for Theoretical Physics, and Center for NanoScience,
Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, 80333 Munich, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 10 March 2012

Accepted 8 July 2012

Available online 24 July 2012

Keywords:

Non-abelian symmetries

Clebsch–Gordan coefficients

Lie algebra

Numerical renormalization group

Density matrix renormalization group

Tensor networks

ABSTRACT

A general framework for non-abelian symmetries is presented for matrix-product and tensor-network states in the presence of well-defined orthonormal local as well as effective basis sets. The two crucial ingredients, the Clebsch–Gordan algebra for multiplet spaces as well as the Wigner–Eckart theorem for operators, are accounted for in a natural, well-organized, and computationally straightforward way. The unifying tensor-representation for quantum symmetry spaces, dubbed QSpace, is particularly suitable to deal with standard renormalization group algorithms such as the numerical renormalization group (NRG), the density matrix renormalization group (DMRG), or also more general tensor networks such as the multi-scale entanglement renormalization ansatz (MERA). In this paper, the focus is on the application of the non-abelian framework within the NRG. A detailed analysis is presented for a fully screened spin-3/2 three-channel Anderson impurity model in the presence of conservation of total spin, particle–hole symmetry, and SU(3) channel symmetry. The same system is analyzed using several alternative symmetry scenarios based on combinations of $U(1)_{\text{charge}}$, $SU(2)_{\text{spin}}$, $SU(2)_{\text{charge}}$, $SU(3)_{\text{channel}}$, as well as the enveloping symplectic $Sp(6)$ symmetry. These are compared in detail, including their respective dramatic gain in numerical efficiency. In the Appendix, finally, an extensive introduction to non-abelian symmetries is given for practical applications, together with simple self-contained numerical procedures to obtain Clebsch–Gordan coefficients and irreducible operators sets. The resulting QSpace tensors can deal with any set of abelian symmetries together with arbitrary non-abelian symmetries with compact, i.e. finite-dimensional, semi-simple Lie algebras.

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

E-mail address: Andreas.Weichselbaum@physik.lmu.de.

Contents

1.	Introduction.....	2973
2.	MPS implementation of non-abelian symmetries	2976
2.1.	General quantum space representation (QSpaces).....	2978
2.2.	A-tensors and operators	2980
2.3.	Multiplicity.....	2981
2.4.	Contractions	2984
2.5.	Scalar operators	2985
2.6.	Operator matrix elements.....	2986
2.7.	Density matrix and backward update	2987
3.	Implications for DMRG and beyond.....	2988
3.1.	Generalized A-tensor for tensor networks.....	2989
3.2.	Two-site treatment	2990
3.3.	State space truncation	2991
3.4.	Wave function prediction.....	2992
4.	Correlation functions	2992
5.	The numerical renormalization group.....	2994
5.1.	Full density matrix.....	2994
5.2.	Model: symmetric three-channel system	2995
6.	NRG results	2997
6.1.	Spectral functions	2999
6.2.	Detailed comparison of symmetry settings	3001
7.	Summary and outlook	3002
	Acknowledgments	3003
	Appendix A. Non-abelian symmetries 101.....	3003
A.1.	Simple example: rotational symmetry.....	3004
A.2.	SU(2) spin algebra	3005
A.3.	Generators and symmetry labels.....	3007
A.4.	Example SU(N)	3011
A.5.	Decomposition into irreducible representations.....	3013
A.6.	Tensor product spaces	3014
A.7.	Irreducible operator sets and Wigner–Eckart theorem	3015
A.8.	Several independent symmetries	3017
A.9.	Symmetries in physical systems.....	3017
A.10.	The symplectic group Sp(2m).....	3027
	Appendix B. Numerical implementation	3033
B.1.	Tensor product decomposition of symmetry spaces.....	3033
B.2.	State space initialization and operator compactification.....	3035
	Appendix C. Example QSpaces.....	3036
C.1.	Fermionic site with $U(1)_{\text{charge}} \otimes SU(2)_{\text{spin}}$ symmetry.....	3037
C.2.	Fermionic sites in the presence of particle–hole symmetry	3039
C.3.	Three channels with SU(3) channel symmetry	3041
	References.....	3046

1. Introduction

Numerical methods for strongly correlated quantum-many-body systems are confronted with exponentially large Hilbert spaces. With a limited number of exact analytical solutions at hand and with perturbative treatments for low-energy or ground-state physics often insufficient, a certain systematic treatment with respect the Hilbert space is required. Besides quantum Monte Carlo approaches, that explore quantum systems in a stochastic way [1], a systematic state space decimation is provided by renormalization group (RG) techniques such as the density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) [2] or the numerical renormalization group (NRG) [3], both highly efficient for quasi-one-dimensional systems, and since non-perturbative, considered essentially exact.

Quantum-many-body Hilbert spaces are built from the direct product of the state spaces of the participating individual particles. As such particle statistics plays an essential role. While the

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1856178>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1856178>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)