



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Physics Procedia

Physics Procedia 58 (2014) 14 - 17

26th International Symposium on Superconductivity, ISS 2013

Stability of staggered flux state for anisotropic triangular lattice

H. Yokoyama^a*, S. Tamura^a, T. Watanabe^b, K. Kobayashi^b, M. Ogata^c

^aDepartment of Physics, Tohoku University, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8578, Japan ^bDepartment of Natural Science, Chiba Institute of Technology, Sibazono, Narashino, 275-0023, Japan ^cDepartment of Physics, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 113-0033, Japan

Abstract

In view of pseudogap-like behavior found in organic layered superconductors κ -(BEDT-TTF)₂X, we study the stability of correlated staggered flux state, which may bring about pseudogap behavior, with respect to the ordinary correlated Fermi sea as a low-lying normal state that underlies the unconventional superconductivity. To treat strong correlations, we apply a variational Monte Carlo method to a Hubbard model on a anisotropic triangular lattice, and construct a phase diagram of the normal state for large values of U/t. The results are qualitatively consistent with the features of non-doped κ -(BEDT-TTF)₂ salts.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/). Peer-review under responsibility of the ISS 2013 Program Committee

Keywords: ĸ-BEDT-TTF salt; pseudogap; staggered flux; frustration; Hubbard model; anisotropic triangular lattice; variational Monte Carlo

1. Introduction

Recent experiments on the pseudogap phase of underdoped cuprate superconductors (SC's) revealed that the origin of this phase is not a pairing fluctuation as a precursor to superconductivity (SC) but distinct magnetic orders caused by some local currents. As a possible quantum state to generate such features of the pseudogap phase, a correlated staggered flux (SF) or *d*-density wave state (Fig. 1(a)) [1] was recently reconsidered for the Hubbard (*t*-*t'*-*U*) model with plausible results [2]. Similar pseudogap-like behavior has been observed in a series of layered organic salts κ -(BEDT-TTF)₂X [henceforth, abbreviated as κ -(ET)₂X] [3-5]. Low-energy behavior of κ -(ET)₂X is described in most cases by the Hubbard model on an anisotropic triangular lattice at half filling [6]. The degree of

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +81-22-795-6444; fax: +81-22-795-6447. *E-mail address:* yoko@cmpt.phys.tohoku.ac.jp

anisotropy or frustration t'/t can be varied by substituting the anion X or by applying uniaxial pressure, and is estimated by *ab initio* calculations at 0.4-0.7 for weakly frustrated compounds and ~0.8 for a highly frustrated one κ -(ET)₂Cu₂(CN)₃ [5,7]. The former compounds have relatively strong antiferromagnetic (AF) correlations and bring about SC transitions at high critical temperatures as organic compounds. Among them, deuterated κ -(ET)₂Cu₂(CN)₂]Br ($t'/t \sim 0.4$) is shown to exhibit pseudogap behavior such as a steep decrease in the NMR spinlattice relaxation time ($1/T_1T$) in the metallic phase under applied pressure. On the other hand, the latter κ -(ET)₂Cu₂(CN)₃, which never shows an AF order in the insulating phase under ambient pressure, exhibits a Korringa relation ($1/T_1T = \text{const.}$) in the metallic phase under pressure down to a relatively low T_c (3-4K), namely, pseudogap behavior is missing [8]. Furthermore, similar pseudogap behavior was recently observed in a doped κ -ET salt [κ -(ET)₄Hg_{2.89}Br₈] [9], in which the doping rate of holes is 0.11 and $t'/t \sim 0.8$.

In this work, we discuss the possibility that, in the anisotropic triangular lattice, SF states become stable *normal* states that underlie SC and generate the above pseudogap behavior in κ -ET salts, similarly to the case of cuprates [2]. As a method of calculation, a variational Monte Carlo (VMC) scheme is used to cope with the strong correlation.

2. Formulation

As a model of
$$\kappa$$
-ET salts, we consider a Hubbard model on an anisotropic triangular lattice (Fig. 1) [6]:

$$H = H_t + H_{t'} + H_U = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle \sigma} (c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} c_{j\sigma} + \text{H. c.}) - t' \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle \sigma} (c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} c_{j\sigma} + \text{H. c.}) + U \sum_j d_j , \qquad (1)$$

where $d_j = n_{j\uparrow}n_{j\downarrow}$, $n_{j\sigma} = c_{j\sigma}^{T}c_{j\sigma}$, and the sums of $\langle i, j \rangle$ and (i, j) are taken for nearest-neighbor pairs and for diagonal-neighbor pairs in the (1,1) and (-1,-1) directions, respectively; thus, the energy dispersion of the noninteracting part is given by $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{k}} = -2t(\cos k_x + \cos k_y) - 2t'\cos(k_x + k_y)$. In this model, we study the stability of the correlated staggered flux state $\Psi_{\rm SF} = P\Phi_{\rm SF}$ with respect to the ordinary projected Fermi sea ($\Psi_{\rm N} = P\Phi_{\rm N}$) as a normal state underlying the SC state. Here, *P* is a product of many-body factor discussed shortly. The one-body function $\Phi_{\rm SF}$ is the ground state of a non-interacting staggered flux Hamiltonian $H_{\rm SF}$ in each plaquette of which a magnetic flux of $\pm 4\theta$ penetrates alternately (Fig. 1(a)). Because of the band folding by (π, π) , $\Phi_{\rm SF}$ is given as a mixed state of the operators of the two sublattices with θ being a variational parameter here:

$$\Phi_{\rm SF} = \prod_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbf{k}_{\rm F},\sigma} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(\theta) \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} + \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} \right] |0\rangle, \qquad \mathbf{c}_{\Lambda j\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N_{\rm S}}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{j}} \mathbf{c}_{\Lambda \mathbf{k}\sigma}, \tag{2}$$

where A (B) labels the sublattice, $\Lambda = A \text{ or } B$, and $\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(\theta) = 2te^{ik_x} (e^{i\theta} \cos k_x + e^{-i\theta} \cos k_y) / |E_-^{SF}(\mathbf{k})|$. Here, $E_-^{SF}(\mathbf{k}) = -\sqrt{(1 + \cos 2\theta)/2} \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^2}$ is the energy dispersion of the lower band for H_{SF} with $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = -2t(\cos k_x + \cos k_y)$, $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} = \Delta_{\theta} (\cos k_x - \cos k_y)$, and $\Delta_{\theta} = 2t\sqrt{(1 - \cos 2\theta)/(1 + \cos 2\theta)}$. Note that $E_-^{SF}(\mathbf{k})$ has a form $(d_{x^2-y^2} - \cos k_y)$.



Fig. 1. (a) Schematic figure of staggered current in square plaquettes in Ψ_{SF} . The characters A and B indicate the sublattices; (b) the same in triangular plaquettes in Ψ_{tri} . Every lattice point is equivalent (A). The arrows on the lattices in both panels indicate the direction of Peierls phases θ .

wave gap) similar to the quasiparticle energy in *d*-wave SC, and its band top forms a Dirac cone centered at $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$ for the π -flux case $(\theta = \pi/4)$. For $\theta < \pi/4$, the Dirac cone is elongated in the $(\pi, 0)$ - $(0, \pi)$ direction. In a doped case, the Fermi surface is made of a cross section of this elongated Dirac cone, which resembles a Fermi arc observed for cuprates by ARPES etc. Φ_{SF} breaks the lattice rotational, lattice translational and time reversal symmetries. To Φ_{SF} , we multiply correlation factors, $P = P_G(g)P_Q(\zeta_d, \zeta_h)P_{\phi}(\phi)$. Here, $P_G(g)$ is the onsite (Gutzwiller) projection, and $P_Q(\zeta_d, \zeta_h)$ a nearest-neighbor doublon-holon projection indispensable for treating Mott physics [10,11]. In

addition to these two, we have to include a configuration-dependent phase factor P_{ϕ} required by Mott physics for a current-carrying state [12,2]. In the present case, an appropriate form of P_{ϕ} is given by,

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1874537

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1874537

Daneshyari.com