



Establishing the irradiation dose for paper decontamination

Ioan Valentin Moise^{a,*}, Marian Virgolici^a, Constantin Daniel Negut^a, Mihaela Manea^a, Mioara Alexandru^a, Laura Trandafir^a, Florina Lucica Zorila^a, Catalina Mihaela Talasman^b, Daniela Manea^b, Steluta Nisipeanu^c, Maria Haiducu^c, Zamfir Balan^d

^a "Horia Hulubei" National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering – IFIN-HH, Str. Reactorului, 30, 077125 Magurele, Ilfov, Romania

^b Development and Research Institute for Pulp and Paper CEPROHART S.A., Bvd. Alexandru Ioan Cuza, 3, 810019 Braila, Braila, Romania

^c "Alexandru Darabont" National Institute for Work Safety, Bvd. Ghencea, 35A, 061701 Bucharest, Romania

^d Braila Museum, Piata Traian, 3, 810153 Braila, Braila, Romania

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 24 June 2011

Accepted 26 November 2011

Available online 4 December 2011

KEYWORDS:

Paper conservation
Cellulose degradation
Radiation processing
Mechanical testing
Thermal analysis
Microbiological testing.

ABSTRACT

Museums, libraries and archives are preserving documents that are slowly degrading due to the inherent ageing of the cellulose substrate or to the technological errors of the past (acid paper, iron gall ink). Beside this, large quantities of paper are rapidly damaged by biological attacks following natural disasters and improper storage conditions.

The treatment of paper documents with ionizing radiation can be used for mass decontamination of cultural heritage items but conservators and restaurators are still reserved because of the radiation induced degradation.

We conducted a study for establishing the dose needed for the effective treatment of paper documents, taking into account the biological burden and the irradiation effects on paper structure. We used physical testing specific to paper industry and less destructive analytical methods (thermal analysis).

Our results show that an effective treatment can be performed with doses lower than 10 kGy. Old paper appears to be less affected by gamma radiation than recent paper but the sampling is highly affected by the non-uniform degree of the initial degradation status. The extent of testing for degradation and the magnitude of acceptable degradation should take into account the biological threat and the expected life time of the paper documents.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Paper is highly susceptible to the fungal, bacterial or insect attack. Due to calamities, lack of funding or care, large quantities of paper where improperly stored in archives, collections or libraries. Radiation processing was not widely accepted by the conservators and restaurateurs because of the well known radiolytic degradation of cellulose (Charlesby, 1955; Calvini and Santucci, 1978–79; Borsa et al., 2003) but there is an increasing interest to support the minimal intervention principle asked for the preservation of cultural heritage (Adamo et al., 2003; Rochetti et al., 2002; Magaudo, 2004; da Silva et al., 2006; Zotti and Calvini, 2008; D'Almeida et al., 2009; Bratu et al., 2009).

Most of the past treatments for paper items where made in an emergency procedure for saving the documents with less or no evaluation of the radiation effects (Hanus, 1985; Sinco, 2000;

Dettino, 2007; Rela et al., 2007). Irradiation of archives is sporadically performed at many industrial irradiators and is rarely reported (Ponta, 2008). A related application is the irradiation of mail for prevention of bio-terrorism attacks (Baum, 2002; Smith et al., 2003; Bouchard et al., 2006; Zinov'ev et al., 2006). Here the dose is much higher (> 50 kGy) and it is a true concern about the damage of historical documents that accidentally may be included in such treatment. The tests concerning the release of possible toxic volatile organic compounds (Smith et al., 2003) and the resistance of inks (Ramotowski and Regen, 2007) showed no toxic release from irradiated paper and a good radiation resistance of a large variety of inks.

We are reporting the radiation treatment of about 100 m³ or paper items from archives, libraries and collections. Most of them were not under active biological attack but the treatment was required because the owners decided to improve the storage conditions.

The results presented in this paper was obtained in the frame of a larger study (Moise et al., 2010) initiated in Romania by "Horia Hulubei" National Institute for Physics and Nuclear Engineering

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +402124263157; fax: +40214575331.
E-mail address: vmoise@nipne.ro (I.V. Moise).

Paper type	Journal 1968	Notes-book 1981	Forms 1983	Forms 1984	News-letter, 1983	Page from file 1	Page from file 2	Page from file 3	Average
CFU/g	25	115	20	60	140	140	95	125	90
CFU/100 pgs	9.5×10^3	4.4×10^4	7.6×10^3	2.3×10^4	5.3×10^4	6.7×10^4	5.0×10^4	6.1×10^4	3.9×10^4
CFU/1 m shelfe	2.8×10^5	1.3×10^6	2.3×10^5	6.8×10^5	1.6×10^6	2.0×10^6	1.5×10^6	1.8×10^6	1.2×10^6
Cardboard type			File cover 1965			File cover 1965		Average	
CFU/g			880			235		558	

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1883956>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1883956>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)