



Luminescence enhancement in CeF₃/ZnO nanocomposites for radiation detection



Sunil Sahi, Wei Chen*

Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Arlington, 502 Yates, Arlington, TX 76019-0059, USA

HIGHLIGHTS

- Energy transfer from CeF₃ to ZnO nanoparticles to enhance ZnO luminescence.
- The photoluminescence of ZnO nanoparticles due to energy transfer is 30 times.
- The enhancement in X-ray excited luminescence is more than 4 times.
- Energy transfer may improve the sensitivity for radiation detection.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 31 January 2013

Received in revised form

11 April 2013

Accepted 18 April 2013

Keywords:

ZnO

CeF₃

Nanocomposites

Photoluminescence

X-ray luminescence

Energy transfer

Radiation detection

ABSTRACT

ZnO nanoparticles have a strong photoluminescence but weak scintillation luminescence which is an issue for their applications in radiation detection and dosimetry. To enhance their luminescence, ZnO nanoparticles were made into nanocomposites with CeF₃ nanoparticles. As a result of energy transfer from CeF₃ nanoparticles in the composites, the photoluminescence of ZnO nanoparticles is enhanced 30 times and their X-ray luminescence is enhanced 4 times. The combination of CeF₃ and ZnO nanoparticles makes CeF₃/ZnO nanocomposites promising scintillators for radiation detection.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

A good scintillator should have a fast decay time for time resolution, high light yield for energy resolution and high density for high stopping power (van Eijk, 2001; Moses, 2002; Shah et al., 2003). Extensive researches have been done on the scintillator development based on the application of Ce³⁺ ion as a luminescence center. The allowed *5d-4f* transition in Ce³⁺ ion makes Ce³⁺ doped luminescence materials fast and efficient scintillators (vanEijk, 1997). However most of the researches are done on the single crystals. Single crystals are expensive to grow in desire shape and size. Also most Ce³⁺ ion activated scintillators emit in the ultraviolet (UV) region at which the quantum efficiency of photomultiplier tubes (PMTs) is below 25% (Letant and Wang, 2006a,b). This could significantly reduce the light output and the detection sensitivity. Nanoscale luminescence materials are potential

scintillators for radiation detection and preliminary investigations on several rare earth doped fluoride nanoparticles have indicated their potentials for radiation detection (Jacobsohn et al., 2011). It was also observed that the light yield was enhanced in nanophosphor cerium doped Y₂SiO₅ (YSO:Ce) compared to bulk powder under X-ray excitation (McKigney et al., 2007). The unique physical properties of Semiconductor nanocrystals (Quantum dots) have attracted tremendous interest in wide range of application from medical imaging (Bruchez, 1998; Byers and Hitchman, 2011), bio-sensing (Medintz et al., 2003), optoelectronic devices (Eberl et al., 2000) to solar cells (Zhang et al., 2012). Recently, radiation detection has emerged as an area of interest for quantum dots (QDs) application (Hossu et al., 2012). However, there have been very few published studies on the radiation detection based on colloidal QDs. For examples, It has been reported that scintillation performance of luminescent polymer has been improved in CdSe/ZnSe core-shell QDs/polymer composite under electron-beam excitation using cathodoluminescence (Campbell and Crone, 2006) and that the energy resolution of CdSe/ZnS core-shell QDs/glass

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 8172721064.

E-mail addresses: weichen@uta.edu, i88usuta@gmail.com (W. Chen).

nanocomposite is increase over a standard NaI scintillator by the factor of 2 when irradiated with 59 keV gamma ray (Letant and Wang, 2006). Quantum dots based nanocomposite materials could be a promising material for radiation detection because of their short luminescence life time and high quantum efficiencies as a consequence of quantum size confinement (Chen, 2008). Also, the emission of quantum dots is size dependent, so the output wavelength can be tuned to the sensitivity of the PMTs or avalanche photodiodes (APD). However, the stopping power of most QDs is low and their scintillation luminescence is very weak (Yao et al., 2010). The combination of high stopping power of inorganic scintillator with QDs could potentially lead to a new class of scintillator. Recently, we have reported that energy transfer based nanocomposites are new and promising scintillators for radiation detection (Yao et al., 2010; Hossu et al., 2012).

High density and good stability that yields a small radiation length makes CeF_3 as one of the most promising for high rate-calorimetry (Lecoq, 1994). Zinc Oxide (ZnO), a wide band gap (3.37 eV) semiconductor with large exciton binding energy (60 meV) has been known as a fast scintillator (Simpson et al., 2003). However it has relatively low light yield (Bourret-Courchesne et al., 2006) and low density. The nanocomposite scintillators based on energy transfer from Ce^{3+} doped nanoparticles proposed by us (Yao et al., 2010; Hossu et al., 2012) could overcome these shortcomings. Here we report the enhancement in the photoluminescence and X-ray luminescence from ZnO nanoparticles in CeF_3/ZnO nanocomposites. The enhancement observed not only improve ZnO nanoparticles for applications in radiation detection, dosimetry, solid state lighting, biological sensing but also their applications in photodynamic activation for cancer treatment as well as in solar cell enhancement (Chen and Zhang, 2006; Chen, 2008; Liu et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2010; Fakhar-e-Alam et al., 2012).

1.1. Experimental details

CeF_3/ZnO nanocomposite scintillators were prepared using a two-step wet chemistry synthesis. Firstly, the colloidal ZnO nanoparticles were synthesized in methanol using similar method reported by Sun et al. (2007). To synthesize ZnO nanoparticles, 0.08 M potassium hydroxide in methanol is refluxed and stirred for 30 min at 60 °C. After refluxing, 0.04 M Zinc acetate dihydrate (ZAD) in methanol is added dropwise while stirring. The ZnO sol was then aged at 60 °C for 2 h with continuous stirring and refluxing. The colloidal ZnO nanoparticles appear clear under room light but emit green under UV-light.

Secondly, the Cerium Fluoride (CeF_3) nanoparticles were prepared using similar method reported by our group (Sun et al., 2009). In this approach, 2 mmol of cerium nitrate hexahydrate [$\text{Ce}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$] is dissolved in 40 ml DI water. 400 μl Poly (ethylene glycol) bis(carboxymethyl) ether is added as a surfactant to the $\text{Ce}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ solution and then stirred at room temperature for 15 min. In another beaker 1 ml hydrofluoric acid is mixed with 39 ml of DI water and then added dropwise to the above slurry and then kept stirring at room temperature for 30 min. After 30 min of stirring, the mixture is heated for 2 h and 30 m at 95 °C. CeF_3 nanoparticles were then centrifuged, washed with DI water for several times and dried overnight at 45 °C under vacuum.

Finally, the as prepared CeF_3 nanoparticles were added to the colloidal ZnO nanoparticles and ultrasonicated for 10 min. Hexane and isopropanol were added to the CeF_3/ZnO sol and kept it in refrigerator overnight to precipitate. The volume ratio of colloidal ZnO nanoparticles: isopropanol: hexane was 1:1:5. The product was centrifuged and then dries at 45 °C under vacuum.

After drying for overnight, ZnO nanoparticles, CeF_3 nanoparticles and CeF_3/ZnO nanocomposites were examined by X-ray diffraction.

The particles size was estimated using Scherer's equation. Optical absorption of colloidal ZnO nanoparticles was recorded with SHIMADZU UV-2450 spectrophotometer. Photoluminescence emission (PL) and excitation (PLE) were taken on a SHIMADZU RF-5301 PC Spectrofluorometer. X-ray luminescence was measured in a light-proof X-ray cabinet equipped with optic fiber connection to an outside detector. X-ray irradiation (90 kV and 5 mA) was performed using a Faxitron RX-650 X-ray cabinet (Faxitron X-Ray Corp, IL, USA). The luminescence spectra were recorded using a QE65000 spectrometer (Ocean Optics Inc, Dunedin, FL), connected to the X-ray chamber using a 600 μm core diameter, P600-2-UV-Vis fiber optic (Ocean Optics Inc, Dunedin, FL).

2. Results and discussion

Fig. 1 shows the XRD pattern of the as synthesis ZnO nanoparticles and CeF_3 nanoparticles along with CeF_3/ZnO nanocomposite. The XRD pattern of the ZnO nanoparticles sample matches with the ZnO microparticle. The peaks are identified as (100), (002), (101), (102), (110), (103) and (112) crystal planes of the wurzite ZnO. Peak broadening is due to the small size of the particles. The average size of the ZnO nanoparticles is estimated to be about 5 nm by the (101) peak using the Scherer's equation, $D = 0.9 \lambda / \beta \cos \theta$, where D is the average size of the particles, λ is the X-ray wavelength (1.5406 Å), θ is the diffraction angle and β is FWHM of an observed peak. In the XRD pattern of as synthesis CeF_3 nanoparticles, the diffraction peaks at 2θ values of 24, 28, 35, 44, 45, 51, 53 and 65° are corresponding to the (002), (111), (112), (300), (113), (302), (221) and (214) reflecting planes, respectively, and can be indexed to the hexagonal phase of CeF_3 . The particle size is estimated to be about 10 nm using the (300) peak.

Fig. 2 shows the optical absorption spectrum of as synthesis colloidal ZnO nanoparticles and emission spectrum of CeF_3 nanoparticles measured at room temperature. ZnO nanoparticles show the absorption peak at around 335 nm which is blue shifted compared to that of bulk ZnO as a result of quantum size confinement (Woo et al., 2008). The emission peak in CeF_3 nanoparticles at around 334 nm is attributed to the $5d-4f$ transition of Ce^{3+} ion (Feller et al., 2011). As shown in Fig. 2, the emission peak of CeF_3 is overlapped largely with the absorption peak of ZnO nanoparticles. Thus, it may expect that there would be efficient energy transfer from CeF_3 to ZnO in CeF_3/ZnO nanocomposites. Fig. 3 shows the photoluminescence emission of ZnO QDs and CeF_3/ZnO




Fig. 1. XRD patterns of ZnO nanoparticles, CeF_3 nanoparticles and CeF_3/ZnO nanocomposites.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1888257>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1888257>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)