Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Parkinsonism and Related Disorders

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/parkreldis



# Imaging movement-related activity in medicated *Parkin*-associated and sporadic Parkinson's disease\*

Thilo van Eimeren <sup>a,\*</sup>, Ferdinand Binkofski <sup>b</sup>, Carsten Buhmann <sup>c</sup>, Johann Hagenah <sup>b</sup>, Antonio P. Strafella <sup>a</sup>, Peter P. Pramstaller <sup>d</sup>, Hartwig R. Siebner <sup>e</sup>, Christine Klein <sup>b</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> Toronto Western Research Institute, University of Toronto, Canada
- <sup>b</sup> Department of Neurology, University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany
- <sup>c</sup> Department of Neurology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany
- <sup>d</sup> Department of Neurology, Central Hospital and Institute of Genetic Medicine, Eurac-Research, Bolzano-Bozen, Italy
- <sup>e</sup> Danish Research Centre for Magnetic Resonance, Hvidovre University Hospital, Copenhagen Medical School, Copenhagen, Denmark

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history: Received 13 November 2009 Received in revised form 24 January 2010 Accepted 6 April 2010

Keywords:
Parkinsonism
fMRI
Genetics
Motor control
Dyskinesias

#### ABSTRACT

Treatment-related motor complications such as dyskinesias are a major problem in the long-term management of Parkinson's disease (PD). In sporadic PD, a relatively early onset of the disease is known to be associated with an early development of dyskinesias. Although linked with early onset, patients with Parkin-associated PD often show a stable long-term response to dopaminergic therapy without developing treatment-induced motor complications. Therefore, we reasoned that this difference in vulnerability to develop dyskinesias under long-term dopaminergic therapy may be associated with differences in movement-related activation patterns in Parkin-associated compared to sporadic PD. To test this hypothesis, medicated non-dyskinetic patients with either Parkin-associated or sporadic PD underwent functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) while performing externally specified or internally selected movements. Patients with Parkin-associated and sporadic PD showed no difference in movement-related activation patterns. Moreover, the covariates 'age' and 'disease duration' similarly influenced brain activation in both patient groups. The present finding suggests that a stable long-term motor response in some patients with Parkin-associated PD may not be related to differences in cortical recruitment. In conclusion, our findings corroborate a substantial pathophysiologic overlap between Parkin-associated and sporadic PD and lend further support to the notion that Parkin-associated PD is a suitable genetic model for sporadic PD.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

The vast majority of cases of sporadic Parkinson's disease (PD) are considered to be caused by an interaction of multiple genetic and environmental (possibly neurotoxic) factors. In recent years, several genes have been identified that are associated with familial PD [1]. Mutations of the *Parkin* gene are one of the most common monogenic causes of early-onset PD. Homozygous and compound heterozygous carriers of *Parkin* mutations inevitably develop PD. While there are no distinct clinical features which allow differentiating PD patients with and without a mutation in the *Parkin* gene

E-mail address: tvaneimeren@gmail.com (T. van Eimeren).

[2,3], some 'red flags' point towards a possible monogenic cause. For example, a relatively early onset of symptoms and a stable, long-term response to levodopa treatment-induced motor complications have both been attributed to *Parkin*-associated PD [4]. In sporadic PD, however, a relatively early onset of disease is associated with an increased frequency of treatment-induced motor complications, such as dyskinesias [5]. This discrepancy suggests a pathophysiological difference between *Parkin*-associated and sporadic PD that may lead to differences in movement-related brain activity in the medicated state.

We used functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to study whether chronic dopaminergic medication in non-dyskinetic patients with early-onset sporadic PD and *Parkin*-associated PD results in differential regional movement-related cortical recruitment. Studying patients before they might eventually show signs of dyskinesia enabled us to test for differences in cortical recruitment due to a distinct vulnerability to develop dyskinesias in one group. Moreover, this approach prevents the confound of differences in

 $<sup>\</sup>ensuremath{\,^{\dot{\gamma}}}$  The review of this paper was entirely handled by an Associate Editor, Jonathan Carr.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence to: Thilo van Eimeren, M.D., CAMH PET centre, 250 College St, M5T 1R8 Toronto, ON, Canada. Tel.: +1 (416) 535 8501x7397; fax: +1 (416) 979 3855.

motor performance due to emerging dyskinesias. To this end, patients performed sequential finger movements during fMRI while they continued to take their regular dopaminergic medication. Since age, disease duration and dose of dopaminergic seem to influence the occurrence of dyskinesias [5], we further investigated the influence of those covariates on regional movement-related cortical activity.

We tested two alternative hypotheses. Given a substantial clinical and pathophysiologic overlap between *Parkin*-associated and sporadic PD, one might expect no or only minor differences in task-related neuronal activity and covariation with age and disease duration between the patient groups. This outcome would support the role of *Parkin*-associated PD as a model for sporadic PD. On the other hand, if long-term response to dopaminergic drugs results in regional differences in motor-related cortical activity, this would indicate pathophysiological differences and, thus, challenge the usefulness of *Parkin*-associated PD as a human genetic model for sporadic PD.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Participants

Twenty PD patients participated in the study. Nine patients were identified as symptomatic carriers of a *Parkin* mutation (mean age: 48.6  $\pm$  10.2 years; mean disease duration: 11.1  $\pm$  9.7 years; 3 women). Eleven individuals were patients with sporadic PD without *Parkin* mutation (mean age: 51.4  $\pm$  4.0 years; mean disease duration: 12.6  $\pm$  3.4 years; 6 women). Only patients without history of dyskinesias were included in the study. Both patient groups continued their regular dopaminergic medication on the day of the experiment. Clinical status of parkinsonism was assessed by two independent investigators who were blinded with respect to mutational status and medication. The investigators also looked for signs of dyskinesia. None were found, although dyskinesia was not formally rated. We also studied ten age-matched healthy controls (mean age: 50.0  $\pm$  8.7 years; 5 women) without *Parkin* mutation. All participants gave their written informed consent to participate. The study was approved by the local Ethics committee.

Clinical and genetic details of each patient are listed in Table 1. Age, disease duration, daily levodopa-equivalent dose (LED), and total motor scores of the United Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale 'off' dopaminergic medication (UPDRS off) did not differ significantly between Parkin mutation carriers and non-carriers (Parkin-associated PD: 20.9  $\pm$  13.8; sporadic PD: 22.8  $\pm$  12.8).

**Table 1**Clinical and genetic data of the patients with Parkinson's disease (PD). In patients with a *Parkin* mutation the amount and loci of the mutated alleles are stated.

Code	Mutated alleles	Locus	Gender	Age	Age of onset	UPDRS off	LED
PD patients with PARKIN mutation							
P01	2	delEx7/delEx7	female	41	35	5	250
P02	1	del1072	female	39	38	4	0**
P03	1	211C > T	female	41	33	45	600
P04	2	del1072/del1072	male	54	46	33	75
P05	2	delEx7/del1072	male	74	64	31	1150
P06	1	delEx2	male	44	37	13	250
P07	1	delEx2-5	male	48	38	31	863
P08*	2	delEx4/924C > T	male	52	15	18	360
P09*	3	delEx3-4/duplEx7-12	male	44	31	8	275
PD patients without PARKIN mutation							
S01	0	NA	female	61	57	6	0**
S02*	0	NA	female	57	47	4	0**
S03	0	NA	female	50	36	49	661
S04	0	NA	female	54	41	30	529
S05*	0	NA	female	47	31	25	0**
S06	0	NA	female	52	35	16	315
S07*	0	NA	male	52	41	9	0**
S08	0	NA	male	49	35	27	925
S09	0	NA	male	49	35	33	1278
S10	0	NA	male	49	38	22	870
S11	0	NA	male	49	34	30	947

NA, not applicable; UPDRS, Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale, Part III; LED, levodopa-equivalent dose. \* Unusually slow progression. P08, S02 and S07: mild asymmetric rigid-akinetic PD; P09 and S05: mild symmetric rigid-akinetic PD. \*\* No LED conversion available (e.g. amantadine).

#### 2.2. Finger-to-thumb opposition task

The task under study has been described in detail in a previous study on non-manifesting carriers of a single heterozygous *Parkin* mutation [6]. During fMRI, a schematic right hand was presented in the centre of the visual field. Participants were asked to perform thumb-to-finger movements with their right hand when a red dot appeared at the tip of one or four fingers of the schematic hand. In the externally guided task (referred to as EXT task), only a single fingertip was labeled with a red dot, thereby determining the movement. In the internally guided task (referred to as INT task), all four fingers were labeled with a red dot and participants had to select one of those fingers for the thumb-to-finger movement. We used an epoch-related fMRI design to ensure a constant cognitive set. Each epoch lasted 24 s 10 epochs of rest alternated with 10 epochs of sequential finger movements (pseudo-randomized order of 5 epochs per task).

#### 2.3. Behavioral data acquisition and analysis

Task performance was monitored on-line during fMRI as previously described [6]. Response times were defined as the time between cue presentation and the fingertip touching the thumb. Mean response times were entered into repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the between-groups factor: 'group' (three levels; *Parkin*-associated PD, sporadic PD and healthy controls) and the within-subject factor 'task' (two levels; INT task, EXT task). *P*-values of <0.05 were considered significant.

#### 2.4. MRI data acquisition and analyses

The scanning procedures and technical features of MRI data acquisition were identical to those previously used [6]. Images were preprocessed and analyzed using SPM2 software (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm). The images were spatially realigned, normalized and smoothed with a Gaussian kernel of 12 mm at full-width halfmaximum. Within-subject analysis of task-related blood-oxygen-level dependent (BOLD) signal changes used a general linear model with separate regressors for the INT and EXT task [6].

In a first set of analyses, we focused on regional BOLD signal changes that were influenced by the mode of movement selection and group affiliation. To this end, within-subject contrast images were entered into a repeated-measures ANOVA with the factor 'group' (three levels; *Parkin*-associated PD, sporadic PD and healthy controls) and the factor 'task' (two levels; INT task, EXT task). If the ANOVA demonstrated a significant main effect, we performed follow-up *t*-tests to explore the pattern of between-groups differences. To identify movement-related brain activation patterns that were consistently present in all three groups, we applied a step-wise inclusive masking procedure [7]. To avoid any bias towards a positive finding, we report the results for the masking order that gave the lowest *T*-score.

We were particularly interested in factors, that potentially distinguish Parkin-associated and sporadic PD. Therefore, we additionally computed three separate multiple regression models using the individual contrast images for either the INT task, the EXT task or the contrast images comparing both tasks (INT vs. EXT task) of all patients as dependent variable. The multiple regression model consisted of four regressors: 'group' [1 = Parkin-associated, -1 = sporadic], 'age' (Table 1), 'duration' (Table 1: age - age of onset), 'LED' (Table 1). Contrast images were computed for each covariate, using the appropriate linear contrasts reflecting a positive or negative linear relationship. In addition, we tested for between-group differences in regression (i.e., group-by-covariate interaction).

A statistical threshold of p < 0.05 was applied for these analyses. P-values were corrected for multiple non-independent comparisons across the entire brain volume using the false discovery rate method as implemented in SPM2. We report the T-value and the location of the regional maxima in Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) stereotactic space.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Behavior

Patients showed an excellent long-term response to dopaminergic medication with total motor UPDRS scores ranging from 3 to 8 before the fMRI session. All participants reported that they performed both thumb-to-finger opposition tasks without any problems. Mean response time was 64 ms shorter when movements were internally selected compared to externally cued movements (F[5,47)] = 5.82; p = 0.0003). Response times were similar among groups irrespective of the type of task. This was confirmed by the ANOVA which showed no differences in mean response time among groups and no task-by-group interaction (p > 0.1).

## Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1921416

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1921416

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>