



# Analytical evaluation of the epoc® point-of-care blood analysis system in cardiopulmonary bypass patients



Jianing Chen <sup>a,b</sup>, Monique Gorman <sup>c</sup>, Bill O'Reilly <sup>d</sup>, Yu Chen <sup>a,e,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Laboratory Medicine, Dr. Everett Chalmers Regional Hospital, Horizon Health Network, Fredericton, NB, Canada

<sup>b</sup> Faculty of Medicine, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

<sup>c</sup> Department of Laboratory Medicine, Saint John Regional Hospital, Horizon Health Network, Saint John, NB, Canada

<sup>d</sup> Division of Cardiac Perfusion, Saint John Regional Hospital, Horizon Health Network, Saint John, NB, Canada

<sup>e</sup> Department of Pathology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 15 September 2015

Received in revised form 14 December 2015

Accepted 15 December 2015

Available online 20 February 2016

### Keywords:

Point-of-care testing

Blood gas analysis

epoc®

Method evaluation

Comparison study

Cardiac surgery

## ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** The aim of this study was to evaluate the analytical performance of the new epoc® point-of-care blood analysis system in cardiopulmonary bypass patients.

**Design and methods:** The precision study was conducted on 3 epoc® blood analysis systems using 5 levels of quality control materials twice per day for 5 days. The blood specimen was collected in blood gas syringes from 40 cardiac perfusion patients for the comparison study on epoc® (all 3 meters), Instrumentation Laboratory GEM4000, Abbott iSTAT, Nova CCX, and Roche Accu-Chek Inform II and Performa glucose meters.

**Results:** The epoc® blood analysis systems demonstrated clinically acceptable precision for all analytes (from 0.07%, 0.07%, and 0.13% for pH 7.6, 7.4, and 7.0 levels; to 3.87%, 3.74%, and 7.56% for pO<sub>2</sub> 197, 103, and 56 mm Hg levels). Comparison studies yielded a correlation coefficient R from 0.9201 (sodium) to 0.9969 (pO<sub>2</sub>) with the GEM4000; from 0.9071 (sodium) to 0.9965 (potassium) with the iSTAT; from 0.8793 (sodium) to 0.9957 (pO<sub>2</sub>) with the CCX, and 0.9850 and 0.9904 with Roche Inform II and Performa meters respectively. Average biases for all analytes were within the total allowable error limits.

**Conclusion:** The epoc® blood analysis system is acceptable for point-of-care testing in the cardiovascular surgery setting.

© 2016 The Canadian Society of Clinical Chemists. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The availability of point-of-care (POC) analyzers allows for diagnostic and monitoring processes in different clinical settings to become easier and more efficient. Market surveys have consistently demonstrated a significant increase in utilization of point-of-care testing (POCT) in hospitals, physician's offices, and locations as diverse as ambulances or remote community health centers where laboratory testing is not accessible immediately. The US revenue forecast for POCT market is likely to increase from \$1500 million to \$3800 million in the decade from 2006 to 2016 [1,2]. As oppose to traditional central laboratory blood testing, the POC devices take the advantage of a faster turnaround time of results at the operational convenience with improved quality of medical service, although the cost per test is usually higher.

Laboratory testing is essential for the blood gas, acid/base, and glucose management, as well as making critical decisions on transfusion during cardiopulmonary bypass surgery [3–5]. The use of desktop POC blood gas analyzer in the cardiovascular operating room (CVOR) has

been on the rise over the past decade. It eliminates the process of transporting blood specimen to the core laboratory then allows physicians and perfusionists to better manage their bypass patients during surgery. However, the demand on more portable POC blood gas devices still exists for continuous monitoring the critical cardiac patients in other areas such as post-operative intensive care units and catheterization laboratories in addition to the CVORs for the cell salvage, left ventricular assist device and transcatheter aortic valve implantation procedures. Analytically, the cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) cases can be challenging for POCT devices since (1) analytes such as pO<sub>2</sub> and hematocrit/hemoglobin have bigger ranges than most other clinical situations; (2) hematocrit/calculated hemoglobin based on conductivity may be underestimated compared to that measured by co-oximetry or Coulter counter methods in hemodilution (hematocrit less than 30%) [6].

The epoc® blood analysis system (Epocal Inc., Ottawa, Ontario, Canada) is a newly developed in vitro diagnostic hand-held analyzer (8.5 × 3.4 × 2 inch) smaller than the Abbott iSTAT for testing whole blood samples at POC which provides blood gas, electrolytes, ionized calcium, glucose, lactate, and hematocrit/calculated hemoglobin results in 30 second and is able to communicate wirelessly inside hospital network. Furthermore, the operating cost of epoc® testing is economically

\* Corresponding author at: Department of Laboratory Medicine, Dr. Everett Chalmers Regional Hospital, Horizon Health Network, Fredericton, NB E3B 5N5, Canada.  
E-mail address: [yu.chen@horizonNB.ca](mailto:yu.chen@horizonNB.ca) (Y. Chen).

**Table 1**  
Linearity study of three epoc® blood analysis systems. R, correlation coefficient.

Analyte	Range	epoc® no. 1			epoc® no. 2			epoc® no. 3		
		Slope	Intercept	R	Slope	Intercept	R	Slope	Intercept	R
pH (pH unit)	7.029 to 7.903	1.0508	−0.3562	0.9997	1.038	−0.2685	0.9998	1.0459	−0.3229	0.9997
pCO <sub>2</sub> (mmHg)	13.1 to 121.2	1.0611	−2.372	0.9995	1.0652	−1.2034	1	1.0659	−2.16	0.9981
pO <sub>2</sub> (mmHg)	28.1 to 603.2	1.0713	−6.4583	0.9998	1.0983	−8.0461	0.9998	1.0681	−2.0518	0.9999
Sodium (mmol/L)	82 to 186	1.029	−4.1055	0.9999	1.0246	−3.8304	0.9999	1.033	−4.8439	1
Potassium (mmol/L)	2.1 to 12.4	1.0383	−0.1448	0.9999	1.0375	−0.16	1	1.0352	−0.1384	1
Ionized calcium (mmol/L)	0.29 to 3.57	1.0477	−0.0338	0.9999	1.0362	−0.0234	1	1.0425	−0.0343	0.9999
Glucose (mmol/L)	1.0 to 38.6	1.079	−0.3781	0.9999	1.1122	−0.5152	0.9998	1.0498	−0.2103	1
Lactate (mmol/L)	0.59 to 18.53	1.0009	−0.0321	1	0.9761	0.0098	1	1	−0.0326	1
Hematocrit (%)	10 to 64	1.0011	0.7624	0.9998	1	1	1	0.9855	1.107	0.9998

close to that of testings on core laboratory blood gas analyzer. Recently, the epoc analyzer has been demonstrated good correlation with Abbott iSTAT and Nova CCX analyzers [7,8]. However, its performance has not been evaluated in a CVOR setting on CPB patients.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the analytical performance of the new epoc® point-of-care blood analysis system on CPB patients, with a head-to-head comparison against Instrumentation Laboratory GEM4000, Abbott iSTAT, Nova CCX blood gas analyzers, and Roche Accu-Chek Inform II and Performa glucose meters.

## 2. Materials and method

The epoc® point-of-care blood analysis system was evaluated using several Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) evaluation protocols for testing precision (EP5) [9], accuracy (EP15) [10], linearity (EP6) [11], and bias (EP9) [12].

### 2.1. The epoc® analysis system

The epoc® blood analysis system contains a test card, a wireless card reader, and a host mobile computer. Each test card, can be stored at room temperature, contains an array of sensors and calibration fluid to generate electrical signals proportional to analyte concentrations in the sample. The card reader scans the barcode and reads the test card by measuring electrical signals. pH, pCO<sub>2</sub>, sodium, potassium, and ionized calcium are measured potentiometrically; pO<sub>2</sub>, glucose, and lactate are measured amperometrically, whereas hematocrit is determined conductometrically [13]. Hemoglobin is calculated from the measured hematocrit using the formula: Hemoglobin (g/L) = Hematocrit (decimal fraction) × 340 [14,15]. It communicates the result with the host mobile computer wirelessly via Bluetooth. From the host mobile computer the result displayed can also be wirelessly transmitted to laboratory computers, hospital information systems, or patient electronic

**Table 2**  
Precision study (Each level of quality control material was run on 3 epoc® analyzer twice per day for 5 days.) CV, coefficient of variation.

Analyte	Quality control material	Mean	CV (%)	Manufacturer's specifications for CV at comparable level analyte (%) [13]
pH (pH unit)	Eurotrol GAS level 1	7.012	0.13	0.1
	Eurotrol GAS level 2	7.379	0.07	
	Eurotrol GAS level 3	7.595	0.07	
pCO <sub>2</sub> (mmHg)	Eurotrol GAS level 1	71.9	3.27	3.7
	Eurotrol GAS level 2	37.3	1.45	
	Eurotrol GAS level 3	22.7	1.22	
pO <sub>2</sub> (mmHg)	Eurotrol GAS level 1	55.7	7.56	6.4
	Eurotrol GAS level 2	102.8	3.74	
	Eurotrol GAS level 3	197.1	3.87	
Sodium (mmol/L)	Eurotrol GAS level 1	109.7	1.07	0.7
	Eurotrol GAS level 2	139.5	0.45	
	Eurotrol GAS level 3	165.3	0.37	
Potassium (mmol/L)	Eurotrol GAS level 1	2.0	2.64	1.9
	Eurotrol GAS level 2	4.0	1.04	
	Eurotrol GAS level 3	6.2	0.29	
Ionized calcium (mmol/L)	Eurotrol GAS level 1	1.5	1.54	1.2
	Eurotrol GAS level 2	1.2	1.85	
	Eurotrol GAS level 3	0.7	1.28	
Glucose (mmol/L)	Eurotrol GAS level 1	1.8	3.66	3.4
	Eurotrol GAS level 2	6.0	1.37	
	Eurotrol GAS level 3	15.0	1.52	
Lactate (mmol/L)	Eurotrol GAS level 1	0.9	3.36	6.3
	Eurotrol GAS level 2	2.6	1.80	
	Eurotrol GAS level 3	6.0	2.00	
Hematocrit (%)	Eurotrol Hct level A	20	1.66	2.2
	Eurotrol Hct level B	50	1.29	

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1968556>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1968556>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)