Plant Physiology and Biochemistry 52 (2012) 112-118

ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Plant Physiology and Biochemistry



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/plaphy

Research article

Expression of arabinogalactan proteins during tomato fruit ripening and in response to mechanical wounding, hypoxia and anoxia

Sotirios Fragkostefanakis^{a,b}, Faten Dandachi^a, Panagiotis Kalaitzis^{a,*}

^a Department of Horticultural Genetics & Biotechnology, Mediterranean Agronomic Institute at Chania, P.O. Box 85, Chania 73100, Greece ^b Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki 54124, Greece

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 August 2011 Accepted 2 December 2011 Available online 8 December 2011

Keywords: Anoxia Arabinogalactan proteins Fruit Hypoxia Ripening Solanum lycopersicum Wounding

ABSTRACT

Arabinogalactan proteins (AGPs) are highly glycosylated members of the superfamily of hydroxyprolinerich glycoproteins (HRGPs). Despite their implication in many aspects of plant growth and development little is known about their role in tomato fruit ripening (Solanum lycopersicum) and their response to abiotic stress in tomato fruits. A search of the currently available tomato genome database resulted in the identification of 34 genes encoding putative AGPs, with at least 20 of them being expressed in fruit. We monitored the abundance of AGPs bound by JIM8 and JIM13 monoclonal antibodies as well as the gene expression profiles of the Lys-rich LeAGP1 and two classical AGPs, SIAGP2 and SIAGP4. The JIM8- and IIM13-bound AGPs showed constitutive expression during fruit ripening and under hypoxic conditions, slight up-regulation to mechanical wounding in excised tomato fruit pericarp discs and up-regulation under anoxia indicating functional roles for these proteins in the developmental program of ripening and in response to abiotic stresses. Moreover, the SIAGP2 mRNA was significantly up-regulated during fruit ripening following the climacteric ethylene production, a pattern of expression similar to that of tomato fruit PG. The SIAGP4 and LeAGP1 mRNAs were up-regulated in response to mechanical wounding while under anoxia only the SIAGP4 transcript was induced. The protein and mRNA levels of these AGPs were induced under mechanical wounding while only JIM8-bound AGPs and SIAGP4 expression were induced under anoxic conditions. Our results indicate that selected tomato AGPs seem to play a role in fruit ripening as well as in response to mechanical wounding and anoxia.

© 2011 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Arabinogalactan proteins (AGPs) are highly glycosylated members of the superfamily of hydroxyproline-rich glycoproteins (HRGPs) found in cells throughout the plant kingdom [1]. AGPs are present on the plasma membrane, cell wall, apoplastic space and in secretions [2].

Their protein moiety is rich in proline/hydroxyproline, alanine, serine and threonine and accounts for the 1-10% of total mass of the proteoglycan while more than 90% consists of arabinogalactan polysaccharides [3]. Most of the proline residues are hydroxylated

^c Corresponding author. Tel.: +30 28210 35030; fax: +30 28210 35001.

E-mail address: panagiot@maich.gr (P. Kalaitzis).

by prolyl 4-hydroxylases in the endoplasmic reticulum [4] and subsequently are *O*-glycosylated in the Golgi by glycosyltransferases [5]. Both proline hydroxylation and hydroxyproline arabinogalactosylation motifs have been experimentally determined [6].

AGPs are classified based on amino acid sequence analysis as classical, Lys-rich, Asn-rich, fasciclin-like (FLAs), chimeric plastocyanin (PAGs) and chimeric with extensins, while AG peptides are AGPs with shorter, less than 90 amino acids, sequences [7–9]. Many AGPs are predicted to have secretory signal sequence at their N-terminus and hydrophobic domain at the C-terminus which directs the addition of glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor allowing the transmission of information between the intracellular and extracellular space [10,11].

AGPs are involved in a plethora of developmental processes such as seedling growth [12], cell expansion [13,14] and division [15,16], wounding [17], programmed cell death [18] and biotic and abiotic stresses such as *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated infection and salt tolerance [19,20]. Moreover, AGPs interact with plant growth regulators [21] as was demonstrated for the tomato LeAGP1 mRNA which is differentially regulated by auxin and

Abbreviations: AGP, arabinogalactan protein; BR, breaker; FLA, fasciclin-like AGP; GPI, glycosylphosphatidylinositol; HAE, hybrid arabinogalactan and extensin; HRGP, hydroxyproline-rich glycoprotein; IG, immature green; MG, mature green; NFDM, non fat dry milk; PAG, chimeric plastocyanin AGP; PAST, proline, alanine, serine and threonine; PK, pink; RR, red ripe; RT-PCR, reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction; SDS, sodiumdodecyl sulfate; SDS-PAGE, sodiumdodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; TBS, tris buffered saline; TU, turning.

^{0981-9428/\$ –} see front matter @ 2011 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.plaphy.2011.12.001

cytokinin, while its overexpression results in plants phenotypically similar to cytokinin overproducing [22].

AGPs are bound by certain synthetic phenylglycosides, such as β -D-glucose or β -D-galactose Yariv reagents [23]. The use of Yariv reagents has allowed the purification, quantification and localization of AGPs, while Yariv reagents have also been used as probes for the functional characterization of AGPs *in vivo* [24]. Yariv induced a gene expression profile which was most similar to that of wound-like responses indicating the involvement of AGPs in this abiotic stress [17]. Although AGPs were found in different plant organs including fruits [3] little is known about their role in fruit ripening with the exception of the well-studied Lys-rich LeAGP1 protein which was found to be more abundant in young fruits than roots, young stems, flowers and leaves [25].

We identified 34 putative AGPs with 20 of them being expressed in fruit tissue using the currently available annotated tomato genome database (http://solgenomics.net) and initiated a preliminary study on the role of selected AGPs on fruit ripening as well as abiotic stresses such as oxygen deficiency and mechanical wounding.

2. Results

2.1. Identification and classification of tomato AGPs

The search of the annotated tomato genome led to the identification of 34 putative AGPs comprising two classical, two Asn-rich and one Lys-rich AGPs, four AG peptides, 22 fasciclin-like AGPs (FLAs), three chimeric plastocyanin AGPs (PAGs) and two hybrid proteins having arabinogalactan and extensin modules (HAEs).

Table 1

Identification, characterization, and classification of putative tomato AGP genes.ND, not determined.

Unigene	Name	Class	AP/PA/SP/TP repeats	PAST	Amino acids	Signal peptide	GPI anchor	Expressed in fruit
SGN-U577484	LeAGP1	Lys-rich	21/15/7/7	61%	215	Yes	Yes	Yes
SGN-U577100	SIAGP2	Classical	6/5/5/7	42%	153	Yes	No	Yes
SGN-U581381	SIAGP4	Classical	5/3/8/4	61%	131	Yes	Yes	Yes
SGN-U577101	SIAGP7	Asn-rich AGP	6/5/6/8	33%	253	Yes	No	ND
SGN-U594284	SIAGP8	Asn-rich AGP	6/7/6/8	43%	143	No	No	ND
SGN-U569525	SIAGP5	AG Peptide	2/1/1/0	36%	72	Yes	No	ND
SGN-U575573	SIAGP6	AG Peptide	3/3/0/0	36%	72	Yes	Yes	Yes
SGN-U566489	SIFLA1	Chimeric	0/1/5/0	32%	233	No	Yes	Yes
SGN-U567096	SIFLA2	Chimeric	8/7/5/0	38%	264	Yes	Yes	Yes
SGN-U567097	SIFLA3	Chimeric	5/5/4/0	42%	142	No	Yes	Yes
SGN-U567735	SIFLA4	Chimeric	5/3/7/2	40%	262	Yes	Yes	ND
SGN-U571051	SIFLA5	Chimeric	3/3/0/1	37%	166	No	Yes	ND
SGN-U571367	SIFLA6	Chimeric	2/3/2/0	30%	337	Yes	ND	Yes
SGN-U571368	SIFLA7	Chimeric	4/6/2/2	37%	181	No	Yes	ND
SGN-U573243	SIFLA8	Chimeric	4/6/5/1	39%	249	Yes	Yes	Yes
SGN-U574500	SIFLA9	Chimeric	5/11/8/2	36%	411	Yes	Yes	Yes
SGN-U576059	SIFLA10	Chimeric	6/4/1/0	30%	409	Yes	Yes	Yes
SGN-U579149	SIFLA11	Chimeric	6/9/6/3	36%	312	No	Yes	Yes
SGN-U580259	SIFLA12	Chimeric	11/3/1/1	27%	439	Yes	No	Yes
SGN-U580319	SIFLA13	Chimeric	12/10/8/5	41%	414	Yes	Yes	Yes
SGN-U580810	SIFLA14	Chimeric	10/3/1/0	27%	449	Yes	No	Yes
SGN-U581555	SIFLA15	Chimeric	6/4/2/0	32%	247	Yes	Yes	Yes
SGN-U583299	SIFLA16	Chimeric	4/1/1/2	35%	209	Yes	No	ND
SGN-U583300	SIFLA17	Chimeric	10/4/1/3	40%	206	No	Yes	ND
SGN-U585149	SIFLA18	Chimeric	4/5/1/3	35%	221	Yes	No	ND
SGN-U590038	SIFLA19	Chimeric	6/4/2/0	32%	209	Yes	Yes	Yes
SGN-U592034	SIFLA20	Chimeric	1/2/2/0	38%	130	Yes	No	ND
SGN-U593256	SIFLA21	Chimeric	7/6/6/2	41%	226	No	Yes	Yes
SGN-U598308	SIFLA22	Chimeric	1/1/3/0	32%	199	No	No	ND
SGN-U564300	SIPAG1	Chimeric	3/2/6/1	38%	191	No	No	ND
SGN-U565967	SIPAG2	Chimeric	1/1/2/0	27%	200	Yes	Yes	Yes
SGN-U578700	SIPAG3	Chimeric	10/10/8/11	47%	345	Yes	No	Yes
SGN-U578586	SIHAE1	AGP/EXT hybrid	4/3/9/5	61%	152	Yes	Yes	ND
SGN-U579186	SIHAE2	AGP/EXT hybrid	4/3/6/3	56%	96	No	Yes	ND

Twenty of them were identified from tomato fruit ESTs while sixteen and fifteen were predicted to have signal peptide sequence and glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor sites, respectively (Table 1).

The 34 AGPs were grouped into three clusters according to a dendrogram constructed with their deduced amino acid sequences (Fig. 1). The two classical AGPs, SIAGP2 and SIAGP4, were positioned in different clusters with the SIAGP2 in cluster B comprising the Asn-rich AGPs, SIAGP7 and SIAGP8 and the SIAGP4 in cluster A comprising the Lys-rich LeAGP1, HAEs and PAGs (Fig. 1). The FLAs and the two AG peptides are grouped in cluster C (Fig. 1).

Both classical AGPs, SIAGP2 and SIAGP4, comprise putative signal peptide and several AP, PA, SP and TP repeats while only in SIAGP4 was a GPI-modification site predicted (Fig. 2). This AGP protein structure is similar to the previously identified Lys-rich domain of LeAGP1 [26] (Fig. 2).

2.2. Protein and gene expression profiles during fruit ripening

Antibodies raised against AGP glycan epitopes may provide a means to probe the AGPs profile during fruit ripening. To this direction, soluble AGP levels were monitored at six different stages of tomato fruit ripening using two monoclonal antibodies recognizing AGP glycan epitopes, JIM8 [27] and JIM13 [28]. However, it should be noted that these antibodies may react with carbohydrate epitopes which are displayed on different AGP core proteins, on certain glycoforms of a particular AGP core protein or even in other molecules such as pectins [29].

The soluble AGPs comprising the JIM8 and JIM13 epitopes ranged from 55 to 210 kDa and from 45 to 300 kDa, respectively

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2016280

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/2016280

Daneshyari.com