



Erwinia amylovora-induced defense mechanisms of two apple species that differ in susceptibility to fire blight

Renata Milčevićová^a, Christian Gosch^b, Heidrun Halbwrith^b, Karl Stich^b, Magda-Viola Hanke^c, Andreas Peil^c, Henryk Flachowsky^c, Wilfried Rozhon^{d,e}, Claudia Jonak^e, Mouhssin Oufir^f, Jean Francois Hausman^f, Ildikó Matušíková^{a,g,*}, Silvia Fluch^a, Eva Wilhelm^a

^a Austrian Research Centers GmbH - ARC, Department of Health/Environment - Biogenetics, A-2444 Seibersdorf, Austria

^b Vienna University of Technology, Institute of Chemical Engineering, Getreidemarkt 9, A-1060 Vienna, Austria

^c Federal Research Centre for Cultivated Plants – Julius Kuehn Institute, Pillnitzer Platz 3a, D-01326 Dresden, Germany

^d Max F. Perutz Laboratories, University of Vienna, Dr. Bohrgasse 9, 1030 Vienna, Austria

^e Gregor Mendel Institute of Molecular Plant Biology, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Dr. Bohrgasse 3, 1030 Vienna, Austria

^f Public Research Centre-Gabriel Lippmann, EVA Department 41, rue du Brill L-4422 Belvaux, Luxembourg

^g Institute of Plant Genetics and Biotechnology, SAS, Akademická 2, P.O. BOX 39A, Nitra, 950 07, Slovakia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 12 April 2010

Received in revised form 27 April 2010

Accepted 29 April 2010

Available online 6 May 2010

Keywords:

Carbohydrates

Cyclitols

Isochorismate synthase

Phenylammonium lyase

Malus

pr-1

ABSTRACT

The bacteria *Erwinia amylovora*, the causal agent of fire blight, infects most members of the *Maloideae* including pear and apple. In this work the defense responses against this pathogen were monitored in two apple species grown *in vitro*, in the susceptible *Malus domestica* Borkh. cv. 'Idared' (later on 'Idared') and the resistant *Malus x robusta* (Carrière) Rehder var. *persicifolia* Rehder (*Mrp*). Our results indicate that the resistant plants might represent a less favorable environment for bacterial growth. At the same time, in these plants higher basic levels for some defense-related compounds such as salicylic acid or their activities such as the PAL enzyme activity can be found. In fire blight infected plants both the known pathways for SA synthesis as well as most of the phenylpropanoid genes examined were repressed due to disease, but apparently could be compensated by complex regulatory mechanisms. Not only the nature but also the quantity of defense-related compounds is likely to influence the outcome of plant–pathogen interactions.

© 2010 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Fire blight is a disease of *Maloideae* of the family *Rosaceae*, e.g. apple (*Malus* spp.), pear (*Pyrus* spp.), and ornamentals such as *Cotoneaster* and *Pyracantha* spp. Infection occurs via natural plant openings such as nectaries in flowers and wounds on leaves or on succulent shoots. The causal bacterium *Erwinia amylovora* (*Ea*) multiplies and rapidly spreads through the plant *via* vascular tissues and can either cause cell death (tissue necrosis) or can reside in symptomless tissue [1]. *Erwinia* harbors a pathogenesis mechanism known as the Type III secretion system [2,3] required for both hypersensitive resistance in non-host plants and pathogenicity (Hrp-TTSS). In addition, it carries genes for enzymes involved in the synthesis of capsular exopolysaccharide (EPS) - amylovan and genes facilitating the growth in host plants. The Hrp secretory operon of *E. amylovora* is involved in translocating virulence effec-

tor proteins into host cells where it interferes with regulation of many resistance genes following invasion. Although several of the 12 TTSS secreted proteins identified so far shares homologies with avirulence proteins [4], no race-cultivar interaction that involves matching of a bacterial avirulence (*avr*) gene and the corresponding plant resistance (*R*) gene has been reported in this pathosystem.

After recognition of the bacteria, plants induce a range of defense responses. In primarily infected cells are generated different reactive oxygen molecules, lipid peroxidation is induced and ion fluxes are also affected [5]. These changes often lead to hypersensitive response (HR) expressed as necrotic lesions of plant tissue. Adjacent cells surrounding the infection site are associated with localized acquired resistance that involves e.g. cell wall reinforcement, accumulation of phytoalexins and activation of different defense proteins such as antioxidant enzymes and pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins [6,7]. PR proteins can also be synthesized throughout the plant and lead to systemic acquired resistance (SAR), which represents the third line of defense mediated by salicylic acid [8,9]. Several authors have reported accumulation of different PR transcripts upon *E. amylovora* attack [9–11]. Many immune responses in

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +421 37 6943 110; fax: +421 37 7336 660.
E-mail address: ildiko.matusikova@savba.sk (I. Matušíková).

plants to microbial pathogens (e.g. basal resistance and even gene-for-gene resistance) are associated with accumulation of salicylic acid (SA) that functions as a signaling molecule. SA is synthesized in plants either via isochorismate synthase [12] or through shikimate-phenylpropanoid pathway. However, *Erwinia* was shown to delay the expression of phenylpropanoid genes [9] to overcome the plant defense. It is assumed that the phenylpropanoid pathway leads to the synthesis of defense-related compounds such as lignin and flavonoid phytoalexins as well as SA [12,13]. The importance of the key enzyme of this pathway, the phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL), in disease resistance has been demonstrated e.g. by increased disease susceptibility of tobacco plants in which PAL activity is suppressed [14].

In this work we used *in vitro* grown plants to study the molecular host responses induced by *Ea* in two apple species differing in susceptibility to this pathogen. We focused on changes in contents of salicylic acid and studied the possible ways of its synthesis. Further, changes in the phenylpropanoid pathway were monitored on the both transcriptional and protein level to evaluate its involvement in plant defense against *E. amylovora*. Levels of different carbohydrates were also analyzed to reveal the effect of fire blight bacteria on sugar metabolite contents.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant material

In vitro apple shoot cultures of *Malus domestica* Borkh. cv. 'Idared' (later on 'Idared') and *Malus x robusta* (Carrière) Rehder var. *persicifolia* Rehder (*Mrp*) were micropropagated via shoot tip and nodal cuttings on MS-medium [15] with 6-benzylaminopurine (BAP) and indole-3-butyric acid (IBA) (both 1 mg l⁻¹). Plants were cultivated in plastic containers with 40 ml media supplemented with 3% sorbitol and 0.8% Daishin agar (Duchefa, Netherlands) at a temperature of 18 °C with a 16-h photoperiod. Plants were transferred to fresh medium 1 week before start of each experiment.

2.2. Inoculation

The *E. amylovora* strain 295/93 (Austrian Agency for Health and Food Safety) was grown overnight in King's B medium broth at 28 °C in a rotary shaker. Stems above growth media were inoculated using a sterile toothpick dipped into the bacterial suspension (~10⁸ cfu ml⁻¹), while a single wound was applied by shoot. Control plants were mock treated with sterile King's B medium. Microshoots were sampled after 6 h, 1, 2 and 3 days and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until analysed.

The experiments were repeated three times and included five plants for each time point.

2.3. RNA isolation and cDNA transcription

From three *in vitro* grown plants (leaves and stems) for both conditions the total RNA was isolated according to the method of Chang et al. [16]. Contaminating DNA was removed from RNA samples using DNA-free kit (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA). Total RNA (5 µg) were reverse transcribed with Super Script TM II Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) using oligo dT primer (Invitrogen) in a total volume of 20 µl as recommended by the manufacturer. The cDNAs were diluted 1:5 with nuclease-free water. Aliquots of the same cDNA sample were used for real-time PCR.

2.4. Real-time PCR

Real-time PCR was carried out using an iCycler iQTM Multicolor Real-Time Detection System (BIO-RAD). For Light Cycler reactions a master mix of following reaction components was prepared: 0.75 µl forward primer (10 µM), 0.75 µl reverse primer (10 µM), 12.5 µl iQ TM SYBR Green Supermix (BIO-RAD), 10 µl water and 1 µl sample. For transcript analysis a 1:5 diluted cDNA was used. The PCR program started with polymerase activation at 95 °C for 3 min followed by 40 cycles of 15 s at 95 °C for denaturation and 45 s at 55 °C for annealing and extension. Design of gene specific primers and optimization in regard to primer dimmer, low self priming formation, low mispriming efficiency and primer melting temperature was done with the Primer 3 software [17]. The primers (Table 1) were purchased from MWG Biotech (Ebersberg, Germany).

2.5. Quantification of bacterial growth in the host tissue via RT-PCR

Inoculated microstems (~9 mm) were cut into three parts of similar sizes and used for detection of bacteria. Tissue was eluted in 100 µl PCR water. Dilutions of 1:10 and 1:50 were subjected to qRT-PCR analyses (primers in Table 1). The PCR program started with an initial step at 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s and 60 °C for 45 s. For each run a dilution series of genomic DNA from *Ea* was included as standard. All samples were measured in triplicates. The products were further analysed with a melting program after an initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 1 min followed by 100 cycles at 95–50 °C for 10 s each. A bacterial culture of measured optical density (OD₆₀₀ = 0.9; 8.9 × 10⁹ cfu ml⁻¹) was used for calibration of the number of bacterial cells by qPCR [19].

Table 1
Primer sequences used for expression analyses in qRT-PCRs.

Gene	GeneBank accession	Forward primer (5'–3')	Reverse primer (5'–3')	Size (bp)
<i>anr</i>	DQ139835	GTTGCAACCCTGTCAACTT	CTTTCACGCACGACTTCAGA	100
<i>ans</i>	DQ156905	TGGTGACATCTCGAACAAGAG	CCCTGCATTTTGCTCTCACT	117
<i>chi</i>	AF494398	CATCGTTACAGGTCCGTTTG	TTTCAATGGCTTTGCCTTCT	152
<i>chs</i>	AF494401	AACGAGGCCTTCAAGCCTAT	GGGTGTGCAATCCAGAAGAG	108
<i>ics1</i>	CN996953	GCATTAGTCAAAAAGGTAAACCA	CGACCGTAGAATCCAGAAGG	114
<i>ics2</i>	CN996091	CGTAAATTCCTCGAGTCCA	TGGAATCCACAACTGCTG	120
<i>dfr</i>	AF494392	TGCCAAAGAAAACAACATTGA	GTGAACATACTGCCCTGCT	160
<i>f3gt</i>	AB 074489	GAAAACATCCGGAGGAGCTT	AACTCGTCAGCCAAGTGGAC	110
<i>fht</i>	AY691918	TGGAGGAGCCGATGACTTAC	AGTTGCTCCTTTGCATGCTT	94
<i>fls</i>	AF494389	CATGTCCTCGCCTGATCT	TGACAAGGGCATTAGGGATG	157
<i>pal</i>	AF494403	TGACAAATGGCGAGAGTGAG	CTCTTCTCGAAAAGCTCAA	79
<i>pEA29^a</i>	-	CACTGATGGTGCCGTTG	CGCCAGGATAGTCGGATA	112
<i>pr-1</i>	A507974	CTTGACGTGGGATGACAATG	AGTGCTCATGGCAAGGTTTT	118
<i>Ubg^b</i>	CX023931	TCTTTGTGAAAACCTCAC	ATCCCTTCTGGTCTGGAT	117

^a Harbours the ESP-encoding region on the bacterial chromosome [18].

^b Housekeeping gene used for normalization [18].

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2017813>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2017813>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)