



Review

The VHL/HIF axis in clear cell renal carcinoma

Chuan Shen, William G. Kaelin Jr. *

Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute and Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02215, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Kidney cancer
von Hippel-Lindau
Hypoxia
Angiogenesis
VEGF

ABSTRACT

Inactivation of the VHL tumor suppressor protein (pVHL) is a common event in clear cell renal carcinoma, which is the most common form of kidney cancer. pVHL performs many functions, including serving as the substrate recognition module of an ubiquitin ligase complex that targets the alpha subunits of the heterodimeric HIF transcription factor for proteasomal degradation. Deregulation of HIF2 α appears to be a driving force in pVHL-defective clear cell renal carcinomas. In contrast, genetic and functional studies suggest that HIF1 α serves as a tumor suppressor and is a likely target of the 14q deletions that are characteristic of this tumor type. Drugs that inhibit HIF2 α , or its downstream targets such as VEGF, are in various stages of clinical testing. Indeed, clear cell renal carcinomas are exquisitely sensitive to VEGF deprivation and four VEGF inhibitors have now been approved for the treatment of this disease.

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. The VHL tumor suppressor

People who harbor a defective VHL tumor suppressor gene, which is located on chromosome 3p25, are predisposed to clear cell renal carcinoma, central nervous system hemangioblastomas, and pheochromocytomas (VHL disease) [1]. Tumor development in this setting is caused by somatic inactivation of the remaining wild-type allele in a susceptible cell. In keeping with this knowledge, biallelic VHL inactivation, either due to somatic mutations or hypermethylation, is also common in sporadic clear cell renal carcinoma, which is the most common form of kidney cancer [2]. In many studies the frequency of VHL mutations in sporadic clear cell renal carcinoma is approximately 50%. This figure might move higher with the increased use of newer, more sensitive, sequencing methodologies. In this regard, some clear cell renal carcinomas that lack detectable VHL mutations or hypermethylation nonetheless display mRNA profiles consistent with VHL inactivation, suggesting that these tumors harbor genetic or epigenetic changes that directly target the VHL locus or indirectly compromise the function of the VHL gene product (pVHL) [3,4].

Studies of kidney cancers arising in VHL patients suggest that VHL inactivation in human kidneys leads to preneoplastic cysts but is not sufficient for malignant transformation [5,6]. The latter appears to require the accumulation of additional genetic, and perhaps epigenetic, changes. Many non-random genomic abnormalities have been described in clear cell renal carcinoma

including amplification of chromosome 5q and loss of 14q. These chromosomes are therefore suspected of harboring one or more oncoproteins and tumor suppressor proteins, respectively (see also below). In addition, genomic sequence analysis has revealed a number of genes that, similar to VHL, are recurrently mutated in clear cell renal carcinomas including PBRM1, SETD2, and JARID1C [7,8]. Interestingly, PBRM1 and SETD2 reside on chromosome 3p and are therefore potentially codeleted with VHL in tumors that have sustained large losses of chromosome 3p. The PBRM1 gene product, BAF180, is part of a chromatin remodeling complex that affects gene expression by repositioning nucleosomes [9,10]. Loss of BAF180 blunts the induction of the canonical p53 target p21, which acts as a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor, in response to certain forms of stress. SETD2 and JARID1C are a histone methylase and demethylase, respectively. Histone methylation marks are recognized by specific reader proteins that control chromatin structure and transcription [11,12]. It is likely that PBRM1, SETD2, and JARID1C will operate in pathways that would otherwise constrain transformation driven by VHL loss. In this regard, acute VHL loss leads to senescence in many cell types [13,14]. Conceivably this phenotype requires the action of a protein such as BAF180, SETD2, or JARID1C. Consistent with this idea, Yang and coworkers showed that pVHL inactivation leads to the induction of JARID1C and that JARID1C in this setting acts to block proliferation [15].

The VHL gene encodes two different protein by virtue of alternative, in-frame, start codons [16]. For simplicity both proteins are referred to generically as pVHL because they behave similarly in many biochemical and cell-based assays. pVHL is a multifunctional protein. The pVHL function that has been most thoroughly studied, and most clearly linked to kidney carcinogenesis, relates to its role in polyubiquitination. Specifically, pVHL is the substrate recognition subunit of a ubiquitin ligase complex that also contains

* Corresponding author at: Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, 450 Brookline Avenue, Mayer 457, Boston, MA 02215, United States. Tel.: +1 617 632 3975; fax: +1 617 632 4760.

E-mail address: William.Kaelin@dfci.harvard.edu (W.G. Kaelin Jr.).

elongin B, elongin C, Cul2, and Rbx1 [16]. Under well-oxygenated conditions this complex binds directly to the alpha subunit of the heterodimeric transcription factor HIF (hypoxia-inducible factor) and targets it for proteasomal degradation. Under low oxygen conditions (or in cells with defective pVHL) HIF α escapes recognition by pVHL, dimerizes with HIF β , and transcriptionally activates 100–200 genes, many of which are believed to promote adaptation to a low oxygen environment (see also below) [17]. pVHL contains two hot-spots for missense mutations, called the alpha domain and the beta domain. The alpha domain is critical for binding to elongin C and hence the remainder of the ubiquitin conjugating machinery while the beta domain binds directly to HIF α [18]. The risk of developing kidney cancer associated with different germline *VHL* alleles correlates well with the degree to which their protein products are impaired with respect to HIF regulation [19–21]. Moreover, all *VHL* mutations detected in hereditary and sporadic clear cell carcinomas severely compromise pVHL's ability to suppress HIF. This, together with the preclinical studies outlined below, underscores the importance of HIF in pVHL-defective kidney cancers.

2. The HIF transcription factor

There are three HIF α family members (HIF1 α , HIF2 α , HIF3 α) and two HIF β family members (HIF1 β and HIF2 β) [22]. HIF β is often referred to as ARNT (aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator). HIF1 α is ubiquitously expressed and is the canonical HIF α family member. The expression of HIF2 α is more restricted.

The HIF proteins are members of the basic helix-loop-helix PAS family of DNA-binding transcription factors and recognize the core sequence 5'-RCGTG-3' where R=purine. Both HIF1 α and HIF2 α have two dedicated transcriptional activation domains [the N-terminal transactivation domain (NTAD) and C-terminal transactivation domain (CTAD)] and can activate transcription when bound to DNA [22]. HIF3 α undergoes extensive mRNA splicing and many of the resulting splice variants lack a transactivation domain and can competitively inhibit transcriptional activation by transactivation-competent HIF α protein isoforms [23–26].

Recognition of HIF α by pVHL requires that HIF α be hydroxylated on one (or both) of two conserved prolyl residues within the NTAD by members of the EglN (also called PHD) family of prolyl hydroxylases [27–32]. This posttranslational modification is oxygen-dependent, thereby coupling HIF α ubiquitination by pVHL (and hence stability) to oxygen availability. It appears that HIF1 α , but not HIF2 α , can also be recognized by at least one hydroxylation-insensitive ubiquitin ligase complex that does not contain pVHL [33–36].

HIF α can also be hydroxylated on a conserved asparaginyl residue within the CTAD by the asparaginyl hydroxylase FIH1, which results in impaired CTAD activity [37–39]. The asparaginyl hydroxylation reaction, like the prolyl hydroxylation reaction, requires molecular oxygen although the oxygen K_m for FIH1 is below the oxygen K_m for the EglN family members [40]. Thus, FIH1 remains active at intermediate levels of hypoxia that are sufficient to partially stabilize HIF α and thereby tunes the hypoxic response [41,42]. Of note, the HIF2 α CTAD is relatively resistant to FIH1 relative to the HIF1 α CTAD [43,44]. Different HIF target genes display different sensitivities to FIH1 inhibition, presumably reflecting their differential dependencies on NTAD vs CTAD activity and/or on HIF1 α vs HIF2 α (see also below).

Some HIF target genes are induced by HIF in a wide variety of cells and tissues while others are more restricted. A striking example of the latter is erythropoietin, which in adults is largely confined to dedicated cells in the kidney. Additional layers of complexity stem from the facts that the sets of genes regulated by HIF1 α and HIF2 α are overlapping, but not identical, and the relative

contributions of the two paralogs to the control of specific HIF target genes can differ in different cellular contexts [45]. For example, many genes linked to glycolysis are driven primarily by HIF1 α [46] while HIF2 α is the primary regulator of the abovementioned erythropoietin, the stem cell factor Oct4 [47], and, at least in kidney cancer cells, Cyclin D1 [48–51]. VEGF is primarily regulated by HIF2 α in pVHL-defective renal carcinoma cells but by HIF1 α in breast cancer cells [45]. Differential control of genes by HIF1 α and HIF2 α presumably reflects a variety of factors including differential engagement with cis-acting non-HIF transcription factors.

3. HIF2 α is a renal oncoprotein

Multiple lines of evidence underscore the importance of HIF, and particularly HIF2 α , in pVHL-defective clear cell renal carcinoma. For example, all *VHL*^{-/-} clear cell renal carcinoma lines examined to date express HIF2 α whereas many do not express HIF1 α [52–54] (see also below). Elimination of HIF2 α in *VHL*^{-/-} clear cell lines can, like restoration of pVHL function itself, suppress their ability to form tumors in nude mice [55,56]. Conversely, overproduction of HIF2 α , but not HIF1 α , can override pVHL's tumor suppressor activity in such xenograft assays [48,57,58]. HIF2 α appears to be both necessary and sufficient for much of the pathology that has been described in genetically engineered mouse models in which *VHL* has been inactivated in specific tissues [33,59–62]. Interestingly, the appearance of HIF2 α in preneoplastic renal lesions in *VHL* patients heralds incipient transformation [5] and HIF2 α single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) have been linked to the risk of developing kidney cancer in the general population [63].

The importance of HIF2 α in the pathogenesis of *VHL*^{-/-} clear cell renal carcinomas might stem, at least partly, from its ability to escape from proteins such as FIH1 that would be predicted to blunt HIF1 α activity in cells lacking pVHL. In addition, it is possible that some genes that are preferentially activated by HIF2 α relative to HIF1 α are particularly oncogenic.

4. HIF1 α is a renal tumor suppressor

HIF1 α resides on chromosome 14q, which as noted above is frequently deleted in clear cell renal carcinomas [52]. Loss of 14q in this setting is associated with a poor prognosis [64]. Many clear cell renal carcinoma lines harbor focal, homozygous, *HIF1 α* deletions leading to absent protein production [52]. In other cases alternative mRNA splicing around deleted *HIF1 α* exonic sequences leads to the production of aberrant HIF1 α isoforms [52]. Reintroduction of wild-type HIF1 α , but not these aberrant HIF1 α species, in clear cell renal carcinoma lines that lack endogenous, wild-type, HIF1 α , suppresses their proliferation in vitro and in vivo [48,52]. Conversely, shRNA-mediated downregulation of HIF1 α in clear cell renal carcinoma lines that retain endogenous, wild-type, HIF1 α , stimulates their proliferation in vitro and in vivo [52,53].

Consistent with these observations, many clear cell renal carcinomas produce no, or very low, levels of HIF1 α and 14q deleted tumors display a transcriptional signature indicative of decreased HIF1 α activity [52–54,65]. Clear cell renal carcinoma tumors, in contrast to lines, however, frequently appear to retain a wild-type *HIF1 α* allele [52]. Although the failure to document biallelic *HIF1 α* inactivation in tumors could be technical (for example, due to difficulties stemming from the contamination of tumor DNA with host DNA) it raises the possibility that *HIF1 α* haploinsufficiency is sufficient to promote tumorigenesis in vivo and that reduction to nullizygosity occurs during the generation and propagation of cell lines. It should also be borne in mind, however, that cell lines are frequently established from metastatic lesions. It is possible that reduction to nullizygosity is a late event in renal carcinoma

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2023740>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2023740>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)