



The litter layer acts as a moisture-induced bidirectional buffer for atmospheric methane uptake by soil of a subtropical pine plantation



Yidong Wang^{a,b}, Huimin Wang^{a,*}, Zeqing Ma^a, Xiaoqin Dai^a, Xuefa Wen^a, Yunfen Liu^a, Zhong-Liang Wang^b

^a Key Laboratory of Ecosystem Network Observation and Modeling, Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

^b Tianjin Key Laboratory of Water Resources and Environment, Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin 300387, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 10 January 2013

Received in revised form

7 June 2013

Accepted 27 June 2013

Available online 10 July 2013

Keywords:

Bidirectional buffer

Methane oxidation

Organic horizon

Slash pine

Soil-atmosphere CH₄ flux

Subtropical forest

ABSTRACT

Forest soils are well known sinks for atmospheric methane (CH₄), but how the surface litter layer controls gas diffusion into the mineral soil is still unclear. Seasonal rainfall in the humid climate provides a unique opportunity to examine uptake of atmospheric CH₄ under a wide range of soil water content (SWC). We studied this question using a litter removal method in a 20-year-old slash pine (*Pinus elliottii*) plantation in subtropical China during 2005–2007. Soil-atmosphere CH₄ fluxes of the control (F_{CK}) and litter-free (F_{LF}) treatments and their differences (litter-affected CH₄ flux, F_{CK-LF} = F_{CK} - F_{LF}) were all significantly influenced by SWC and not by soil temperature. Litter layer reduced atmospheric CH₄ uptake by soil when SWC was below 15.8 vol%, and increased atmospheric CH₄ consumption by soil when SWC was above this value. We concluded that the litter layer acts as a moisture-induced bidirectional buffer for atmospheric CH₄ uptake by soils in a subtropical humid pine plantation. However, the removal of the litter layer had a minimal effect (+0.7%) on annual atmospheric CH₄ uptake by soil, through compensating effects during the wet and dry seasons. Therefore, in the context of climate change, future changes in SWC will alter the strength of atmospheric CH₄ uptake by soils of subtropical pine plantations.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Methane (CH₄) is the second most important anthropogenic greenhouse gas, contributing approximately 14% to global warming (IPCC, 2007). Moreover, methane plays an important role in changing the chemical composition of the atmosphere (Cicerone and Oremland, 1988). The main route for removing atmospheric CH₄ is through reactions with hydroxyl radicals in the troposphere. Atmospheric CH₄ uptake by aerobic soils through microbial oxidation is a secondary sink and is large enough (about 30 Tg year⁻¹) to influence the global CH₄ budget, and this uptake is likely to shift with changes associated with climate change and human activities (Smith et al., 2000; Le Mer and Roger, 2001; IPCC, 2007).

Forested soils are the largest active biotic sinks for atmospheric CH₄ on an areal basis (Smith et al., 2000; Le Mer and Roger, 2001). Atmospheric CH₄ uptake strength by aerated soils is usually controlled by methanotrophs (CH₄ oxidizing microbes) and gas

diffusivity. Methanotrophs generally have their highest activity in the uppermost mineral layers (Bender and Conrad, 1994; Price et al., 2003; Wolf et al., 2011) due to lower amounts of ammonium (Schnell and King, 1994) and more favorable soil water content (SWC) (Schnell and King, 1996). Because of the high potential of CH₄ oxidation by methanotrophs (Saari et al., 1998), gas diffusivity is generally the primary factor in regulating atmospheric CH₄ consumption in forest soils (Striegl, 1993; Brumme and Borken, 1999). Gas diffusivity is greatly affected by SWC, as CH₄ diffuses 10⁴ times slower in water than in air (Marrero and Mason, 1972). Litter layers of boreal and temperate well drained forests have generally been reported to have little CH₄ oxidation capacities (Saari et al., 1998; Brumme and Borken, 1999; Steinkamp et al., 2001). Thus, litter layers are primarily considered as physical barriers against atmospheric CH₄ diffusion to mineral soils. Reductions in atmospheric CH₄ uptake by soil (Brumme and Borken, 1999), especially under dry soil conditions, have been shown in litter removal studies (Borken and Brumme, 1997; Dong et al., 1998; Saari et al., 1998; Steinkamp et al., 2001; Price et al., 2003; Yan et al., 2008; Peichl et al., 2010). In support of this concept, Brumme and Borken (1999) found a negative relationship between CH₄ uptake rates and thickness of organic horizons. However, Borken and Beese

* Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +86 10 64888896.

E-mail address: wanghm@igsnr.ac.cn (H. Wang).

(2006) suggested that litter layer removal decreased CH₄ uptake by German forest soils because the litter horizon may hold partial rainfall and maintain gas diffusivity of the mineral soil. Furthermore, in contrast to boreal and temperate forests, litter layers of tropical montane forests have high CH₄ methane oxidation rate, which can influence CH₄ exchange between the soil and atmosphere (Wolf et al., 2011). Thus, under wet soil conditions, litter layers may also mitigate mineral soil water saturation and increase atmospheric CH₄ uptake by soils and litter layers.

Subtropical forests of southern China are characterized by a humid climate with high temperatures and precipitation rates. Even though annual rainfall is relatively high, seasonal wet (January–June) and dry (July–December) conditions alternate over an annual cycle. It is not known how this precipitation regime affects atmospheric CH₄ consumption by subtropical forest soils. With climate change induced changes in precipitation amount and patterns, we need a better understanding of the potential bidirectional buffering roles of litter layer on CH₄ uptake by forest soils. Furthermore, subtropical forests are widely distributed and currently encompass a total area of approximately 53 million hectares. By the late 1970s, however, the majority of natural forests, primarily composed of evergreen broad-leaved species, were heavily destroyed. To prevent environmental degradation, forest restoration campaigns were extensively launched in the 1980s (Wang et al., 2011, 2012b). These forest plantations, which accounted for 41% of the total subtropical forested area, were mainly established by coniferous species. Slash pine (*Pinus elliottii*) is an important member of the conifers due to its fast growth characteristic (Wang et al., 2009, 2012a). Nevertheless, it is not clear how litter layer affects atmospheric CH₄ uptake by soils of subtropical humid slash pine plantations in southern China.

The objectives of this study were to test the following hypotheses: (i) the litter layer will reduce atmospheric CH₄ uptake by soil under dry conditions, (ii) the litter layer may promote atmospheric CH₄ consumption by soil under wet conditions, (iii) the bidirectional buffering effects will be regulated by soil moisture, and (iv) the balance of the bidirectional buffering effects determine the annual role of litter layer on atmospheric CH₄ uptake by soil of slash pine plantations in subtropical China.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Site description

This research was carried out in an evergreen slash pine (*P. elliottii* Englem.) plantation (26°44'39" N, 115°03'33" E, elevation 102 m) at Qianyanzhou Ecological Research Station in subtropical China. This even-aged pine plantation was established in 1985. There were also a few Masson pine (*Pinus massoniana*) in this stand. Mean tree height was 15 m; mean diameter at breast height was 16.1 cm; mean stand basal area was 35 m² ha⁻¹; and mean leaf area index was 4.5 m² m⁻². The main understory and midstory species were *Woodwardia japonica* (L. f.) Sm., *Dicranopteris dichotoma* (Thunb.) Bernh., *Loropetalum chinense* (R. Br.) Oliver., and *Quercus fabric* Hance. Prior to being a pine plantation, the vegetation was dominated by shrubs and grasses. The soil, weathered from red sandstone and mud stone, is common for this region and classified as a Typic Dystrudepts using the soil taxonomy of United States Department of Agriculture. Soil texture was sandy loam with 68% sand and 15% clay (Wen et al., 2010). Surface (0–20 cm) bulk density was 1.51 g cm⁻³; porosity was 43%; organic carbon was 9.4 g kg⁻¹; total N content was 0.66 g kg⁻¹; and pH was 4.5. This area was characterized by a humid monsoon climate, with a mean air temperature of 17.9 °C, rainfall amounts of 1469 mm year⁻¹ and rainfall frequency of 75–100 days year⁻¹ (1985–2008). Annual

evapotranspiration was 747 mm year⁻¹ (2003–2007; Wen et al., 2010). Even though annual precipitation is high, a seasonal drought (July–December) usually occurs. About 30% of precipitation and 54% of evapotranspiration occurred in the dry season during the period of 2003–2010. Further details can be found in Wang et al. (2011) and Zhang et al. (2011).

2.2. Experimental design

The litter layer of the slash pine plantation was approximately 4–5 cm in thickness, with a carbon density of about 900 g C m⁻². We used a litter layer removal method (Wang et al., 2012b) to investigate the impact of litter layer on soil-atmosphere CH₄ flux. The treatments of soil with and without litter layer were defined as control and litter-free, respectively. Each treatment had six sampling points, located about 3–5 m apart. The two treatments were laid out using a pairwise distribution. All chambers were placed at some distance (>1 m) from the tree stems to avoid cutting coarse roots. In the litter-free treatment, nylon nets (2–mm mesh) were placed on the soil surface in order to conveniently remove fresh litter. Litter was removed one or two days before measurement. The ground shrubs and grasses in both treatments were removed during the whole study period.

2.3. Measurement of soil-atmosphere CH₄ flux

Soil-atmosphere CH₄ fluxes of the control (F_{CK}) and litter-free (F_{LF}) treatments were measured using a closed, static and opaque chamber-gas chromatography system (Wang et al., 2012a, b). Flux measurements were carried out between 9:00–11:00 a.m. approximately twice a week from January 2005 to December 2007. During September–October 2007, flux measurements were intermittent due to an instrument problem. The litter-based CH₄ flux (F_{CK-LF}) was calculated as the difference between F_{CK} and F_{LF} : $F_{CK-LF} = F_{CK} - F_{LF}$. Twelve permanent bases (50 × 50 cm) with troughs made of stainless steel were inserted into the mineral soil to approximately 3 cm deep. Chamber bases were installed more than 3 months prior to the first measurements. The stainless steel chambers (50 × 50 × 50 cm) were covered with cotton pads on the outside to reduce heat exchange between the inside of the chamber and the surrounding environment. The base troughs were filled with water to prevent air exchange between the inside and outside of the chamber. Two small electric fans were fixed at the opposite top corners of each chamber for air mixing. About 100 mL of gas were sampled immediately after the chamber closure using a gas-tight syringe through an F46-tube. Subsequently, four additional samples were collected every 10 min. CH₄ concentration of each sample was measured within two days using a gas chromatography instrument (Agilent 4890D; Agilent Technologies, Inc., Wilmington, Delaware, United States) equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID). Chromatographic separations were run using a stainless steel column (2 m long with a diameter of 2 mm) packed with 13XMS (60–80 mesh). The temperatures of the injection/detection and column oven were 200 and 55 °C, respectively. Ultra pure N₂ was used as the carrier gas at a rate of 30 mL min⁻¹. The limit of methane detection was 0.080 ± 0.008 μL L⁻¹. A certified methane standard with a concentration of 4.81 μL L⁻¹ (China National Research Center for Certified Reference Materials, Beijing, China) was used for calibration. Soil-atmosphere CH₄ fluxes were calculated according to Eq. (1), and further calibrated with changes in temperature and pressure based on Eq. (2).

$$F = \frac{\Delta m}{A \cdot \Delta t} = \frac{V \cdot \Delta c}{A \cdot \Delta t} \quad (1)$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2024680>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2024680>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)