Soil Biology & Biochemistry 43 (2011) 174-178

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Soil Biology & Biochemistry

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/soilbio

Nitrifier denitrification as a distinct and significant source of nitrous oxide from soil

Dorien M. Kool^{a,b,*}, Jan Dolfing^c, Nicole Wrage^d, Jan Willem Van Groenigen^a

^a Department of Soil Quality, Wageningen University and Research Centre, Wageningen, The Netherlands

^bAlterra, Wageningen University and Research Centre, Wageningen, The Netherlands

^c School of Civil Engineering and Geosciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom

^d Institute of Grassland Science, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 22 June 2010 Received in revised form 19 August 2010 Accepted 24 September 2010 Available online 8 October 2010

Keywords: N₂O production Nitrifier denitrification Oxygen stable isotopes Nitrogen stable isotopes Moisture content Greenhouse gas

1. Introduction

Nitrous oxide has become the third most important anthropogenic greenhouse gas (IPCC, 2007), and is today's single most important ozone-depleting emission (Ravishankara et al., 2009). When aiming to mitigate N₂O emissions, accurate understanding of the biochemical processes responsible for N₂O production is crucial (Baggs, 2008). Although a wide range of processes has the potential to produce N₂O, its production in soil is generally primarily attributed to nitrification and denitrification. Semantics may confuse this apparently simple paradigm, since various nitrifiers are able to denitrify as well. This nitrifier denitrification (ND) by ammonia oxidizing bacteria (AOB) has long been acknowledged in pure cultures (Hooper, 1968; Ritchie and Nicholas, 1972), and it has been suggested that ND could be a universal trait in beta-proteobacterial ammonium oxidizers, which are thought to be the dominant ammonium oxidizing bacteria in soil (Shaw et al., 2006). As it is well established that nitrifying micro-organisms contribute

* Corresponding author. Radboud University Nijmegen, IWWR Department of Microbiology, Heyendaalseweg 135, 6525 AJ, Nijmegen, The Netherlands. Tel.: +31 317 484 438; fax: +31 317 419 000.

E-mail address: d.kool@science.ru.nl (D.M. Kool).

ABSTRACT

Soils are the major source of the greenhouse gas nitrous oxide (N₂O) to our atmosphere. A thorough understanding of terrestrial N₂O production is therefore essential. N₂O can be produced by nitrifiers, denitrifiers, and by nitrifiers paradoxically denitrifying. The latter pathway, though well-known in pure culture, has only recently been demonstrated in soils. Moreover, nitrifier denitrification appeared to be much less important than classical nitrate-driven denitrification. Here we studied a poor sandy soil, and show that when moisture conditions are sub-optimal for denitrification, nitrifier denitrification can be a major contributor to N₂O emission from this soil. We conclude that the relative importance of classical and nitrifier denitrification in N₂O emitted from soil is a function of the soil moisture content, and likely of other environmental conditions as well. Accordingly, we suggest that nitrifier denitrification should be routinely considered as a major source of N₂O from soil.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

significantly to N₂O emission from soils (Bremner, 1997), and as soils are the major source of N_2O to the atmosphere (IPCC, 2007), insight in the potential of ND in soils is of global environmental interest. An increasing number of studies suggests that ND may contribute significantly to N2O production in soil (Webster and Hopkins, 1996; McLain and Martens, 2005; Wrage et al., 2005; Venterea, 2007), but definite proof has remained elusive due to methodological constraints (Wrage et al., 2001, 2005; Kool et al., 2007). Only recently a novel multi-isotope tracing approach was presented (Kool et al., 2010) that accounts for the potential exchange of O between water and intermediate compounds of N₂O production (Kool et al., 2007, 2009a). This enabled further discrimination of nitrifier denitrification (ND) as an N₂O production pathway that is distinct from conventional nitrification (NN) and denitrification (FD and NCD: denitrification of applied -fertilizer- NO_3^- and nitrification-coupled denitrification, respectively) (Fig. 1). It provided best proof to date in soil-based experiments that ND can indeed produce N₂O in soil. However, the relative contribution of ND to total N₂O emission was minor in this set-up, as production was dominated by FD (Kool et al., 2010). This may be explained by experimental conditions, which at 80% water-filled pore space (WFPS) were optimal for denitrification. To study the significance of ND under conditions less optimal for denitrification and more representative soil conditions we here incubated soil under





^{0038-0717/\$ -} see front matter @ 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.09.030

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{NH}_4^+ \\ \mathsf{O}_2 \underbrace{\smile} \\ \mathsf{NH}_2 \mathsf{O} \mathsf{H} & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{N}_2 \mathsf{O} \quad (\mathsf{NN}) \\ \mathsf{H}_2 \mathsf{O} & \smile \\ \mathsf{NO}_2^- & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{NO} & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{N}_2 \mathsf{O} \quad (\mathsf{ND}) \\ \mathsf{H}_2 \mathsf{O} & \smile \\ \mathsf{NO}_3^- & \to & \mathsf{NO}_2^- + \mathsf{NO} - \mathsf{N}_2 \mathsf{O} \quad (\mathsf{NCD}) \\ \mathsf{NO}_3^- & \to & \mathsf{NO}_2^- - \mathsf{NO} - \mathsf{N}_2 \mathsf{O} \quad (\mathsf{FD}) \end{array}$$

Fig. 1. Depiction of the major pathways of N₂O formation. We distinguish N₂O production from nitrifiers as by-product of ammonia oxidation, i.e. nitrifier nitrification (NN), and through nitrifier denitrification (ND), and from denitrifiers by reduction of NO₃⁻ produced from nitrification, i.e. nitrification-coupled denitrification (NCD), and by reduction of applied NO₃⁻, i.e. fertilizer denitrification (FD).

a variety of moisture conditions. N_2O production was studied from soil incubated at 50, 70, and 90% WHC using the novel multiisotope tracing approach (Kool et al., 2010).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Incubation experiment

Replicate samples of a poor sandy soil (pH 5.4) were incubated in glass jars for 28 h after application of 50 mg NH₄⁺-N kg⁻¹ and 50 mg NO₃⁻-N kg⁻¹ soil, with treatment-specific labeled compounds: ¹⁸O-labeled H₂O or NO₃⁻, or ¹⁵N-labeled NO₃⁻ or NH₄⁺ (TR1, TR2, TR3 and TR4 respectively). Three moisture treatments were used, i.e. 50, 70, and 90% WFPS. Lids were kept closed (airtight) during the 28 h incubation period. Analyses on a random selection of gas samples confirmed that O₂ concentrations in the headspace had not notably declined during the incubation. More specifics about the incubation set-up and analyses can be found in previous work (Kool et al., 2009a, 2009b, 2010). The soil used here was not under study in these previous experiments. We here provide a summary of the approach and calculations.

At the end of the incubation, N₂O production, soil mineral N content, and their relevant O and N isotopic signatures were determined (Kool et al., 2009b). From the ¹⁵N-enrichment data the relative contributions of NH₄⁺-N and NO₃⁻-N to total N₂O production were derived (Kool et al., 2009a). Analyses of the ¹⁵N–NH₄⁺ in the ¹⁵N–NO₃ labeling treatment confirmed that the contribution of DNRA as potential N₂O producing pathway was negligible. Oxygen exchange during production of N₂O from NO₃ reduction (X_{ERR}) was determined by the ERR method (Kool et al., 2009b)(using the data from the ¹⁸O- and ¹⁵N-NO₃ labeling treatments) and taken into account with further data evaluation. The potential minimum and maximum contribution of the different pathways to total N₂O production was calculated following the combined O- and Nisotope tracing approach presented by Kool et al. (2010). A summary of the main calculations of this approach is provided below (Section 2.2). The main assumptions underlying the approach are that (i) N₂O produced as by-product of ammonia oxidation (NN) obtains all oxygen from O₂ (no O incorporation from H₂O), (ii) O incorporation from H₂O into N₂O from FD, ND and NCD is respectively zero, 1/2nd and 2/3rd through reaction stoichiometry, and can be increased as an effect of O exchange at the level of *X_{ERR}* for all these pathways, and that (iii) nitrate (not only nitrite) is an obligatory intermediate for nitrification-coupled denitrification (NCD).

All treatments were replicated 5 times, which yielded standard errors of the means of isotope enrichment data. The variables X_{ERR} , N₂O(NH₄⁺), and N₂O(NO₃⁻) are defined as ratios of averages of the

replicates, for which standard errors were approximated by a firstorder Taylor linearization (Kool et al., 2010). As X_{ERR} is a key parameter in the analyses to derive the relative pathway contributions, we carried out a sensitivity analysis of this parameter. A full data evaluation was additionally carried out using the X_{ERR} plus or minus its standard error in the calculations. Oxygen exchange was set to zero when X_{ERR} was calculated to be negative in the evaluation.

2.2. Data calculations

The actual oxygen incorporation from H₂O into N₂O (AOI) is calculated from the ¹⁸O enrichment of the N₂O and H₂O in treatment TR1, ¹⁸O(N₂O_(TR1)) and ¹⁸O(H₂O_(TR1)) respectively:

$$\text{AOI} \,=\, 100 \cdot \frac{{}^{18}\text{O} \Big(\text{N}_2\text{O}_{(\text{TR1})}\Big)}{{}^{18}\text{O} \Big(\text{H}_2\text{O}_{(\text{TR1})}\Big)}$$

The oxygen exchange (between H₂O and intermediates of the N₂O production pathways) during reduction of NO₃⁻ to N₂O, X_{ERR} , is calculated from the ¹⁸O and ¹⁵N enrichment of the N₂O in treatment TR2 and TR3 respectively, ¹⁸O(N₂O_(TR2)) and ¹⁵N(N₂O_(TR3)), and the imposed ¹⁸O and ¹⁵N enrichment of NO₃⁻ in those treatments, ¹⁸O (NO_{3⁻(TR2)}) and ¹⁵N(NO_{3⁻(TR3)}):

$$X_{ERR} = 100 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{{}^{18}O(N_2O_{(TR2)})}{{}^{15}N(N_2O_{(TR3)})} \cdot \frac{{}^{15}N(NO_{3(TR3)})}{{}^{18}O(NO_{3(TR2)})} \right)$$

The proportions of total N₂O derived from NH⁺₄ and NO⁻₃, N₂O_(NH⁺₄) and N₂O_(NO⁻₃), were calculated from the ¹⁵N–N₂O enrichment in treatment TR3 and TR4, ¹⁵N(N₂O_(TR3)) and ¹⁵N (N₂O_(TR4)) respectively:

$$N_2 O_{(NH_4^+)} = \ 100 \cdot \frac{{}^{15} N \Big(N_2 O_{(TR4)} \Big)}{{}^{15} N \Big(N_2 O_{(TR3)} \Big) + {}^{15} N \Big(N_2 O_{(TR4)} \Big)}$$

$$N_2 O_{(NO_3^-)} = 100 \cdot \frac{{}^{15} N \Big(N_2 O_{(TR3)} \Big)}{{}^{15} N \Big(N_2 O_{(TR3)} \Big) + {}^{15} N \Big(N_2 O_{(TR4)} \Big)}$$

The relative contribution of FD to N_2O production, $N_2O_{FD},$ is defined as $N_2O_{(NO_{\bar{\lambda}})}$:

$$N_2O_{FD} = N_2O_{(NO_3^-)}$$

The maximum proportion of N₂O that could have been derived from NCD, N₂O_{NCD}, was calculated from the ¹⁵N enrichment of the N₂O and NO₃ resulting from treatment TR4, ¹⁵N(N₂O_(TR4)) and ¹⁵N (NO_{3(TR4)}):

$$\begin{split} & \textit{If}^{15}N\Big(N_2O_{(TR4)}\Big) \leq {}^{15}N(NO_{3(TR4)}^-), \ \ then \ \ N_2O_{NCD}^{max} \ = \ N_2O_{(NH_4^+)}; \\ & \textit{If}^{15}N\Big(N_2O_{(TR4)}\Big) > {}^{15}N(NO_{3(TR4)}^-), \ \ then \ \ N_2O_{NCD}^{max} \\ & = N_2O_{(NH_4^+)}\cdot\left(\frac{{}^{15}N(NO_{3(TR4)}^-)}{{}^{15}N(NO_{3(TR4)}^-) + {}^{15}N(NH_{4(TR4)}^+)}\right) \end{split}$$

The NH⁴₄-derived N₂O comprises the contributions of NN, ND and NCD. The N₂O_(NH⁴₄) is the sum of either (A) the maximum contribution of NN (N₂O^{max}_{NN}), the minimum of ND (N₂O^{min}_{ND}), and the maximum of NCD, or (B) the minimum contribution of NN N₂O^{min}_{NN}, the maximum of ND (N₂O^{max}_{ND}), and the minimum of NCD

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2025719

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/2025719

Daneshyari.com