Management and decision-making   http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apjtb.2015.10.008

Prevalence of refractive errors among primary school children in a tropical area, Southeastern Iran

Monireh Mahjoob[1], Samira Heydarian[1*], Jalil Nejati[2], Alireza Ansari-Moghaddam[2], Nahid Ravandeh[2]

[1]*Department of Optometry, School of Paramedical Science, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran*

[2]*Health Promotion Research Center, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran*

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the prevalence of refractive errors among primary school children in Zahedan District, Southeastern Iran, as a tropical area.
Methods: In this cross sectional study, a total of 400 students were selected randomly using multi-stage sampling technique. Myopia was defined as spherical equivalent (SE) of −0.5 diopter (D) or more, hyperopia was defined as SE of +2.00 D or more and a cylinder refraction greater than 0.75 D was considered astigmatism. Anisometropia was defined as a difference of 1 D or more between two eyes. Cycloplegic refractive status was measured using auto-refractometer (Topcon 8800). Data were analyzed using SPSS, version 22 software program.
Results: Mean ± SD of SE was (1.71 ± 1.16) D. A total of 20 students [6.3%, 95% confidence interval (*CI*): 3.96%–9.64%] were myopic ($\leq$ −0.5 D), 186 students (58.1%, 95% *CI*: 52.50%–63.56%) were hyperopic ($\geq$ +2.00 D) and 114 students (35.6%, 95% *CI*: 30.43%–41.18%) were emmetropic. The prevalence of astigmatism ($\geq$ 0.75 D) among students was 3.4% (95% *CI*: 1.82%–6.25%). Anisometropia of 1 D or more was found in 21.3% (95% *CI*: 16.98%–26.23%) of the studied population. The prevalence of refractive errors was higher among girls than boys (73.1% *vs.* 55.6%, *P* = 0.001), but it was not significantly different among different age groups (*P* = 0.790).
Conclusions: Refractive errors affect a sizable portion of students in Zahedan. Although myopia is not very prevalent, the high rate of hyperopia in the studied population emphasizes its need for attention.

1. Introduction

Refractive errors affect a large proportion of world's population, regardless of sex, age and ethnic group [1]. We can easily diagnose, measure and correct these refractive errors with spectacles or other refractive corrections to achieve normal vision. If, however, refractive errors are not corrected or the correction be inappropriate, they may become a major cause of visual impairment and even blindness [2]. The estimate of visual disability due to uncorrected refractive errors is a public health concern. It is reported that more than 12 million children in the age group 5–15 years are visually impaired due to uncorrected or inadequately corrected refractive errors [3].

Refractive errors can impose a heavy financial burden on the society [4]. School children are considered a high risk group because uncorrected refractive errors can negatively affect their learning abilities and their mental and physical health [5,6].

To address the issue of visual impairment in children, the World Health Organization recently launched a global initiative, VISION 2020-The Right to Sight. Their strategy for the elimination of avoidable visual disability and blindness includes the correction of refractive errors [7]. So, in order to provide an early detection and initiate early treatment, a professional based screening program for all school-aged children is recommended. In recent years, a number of surveys have been done among students and elderly subjects in Iran [8–14]. These studies

suggest that the prevalence of hyperopia in Iran is high, so further studies in different parts of the country should be done to determine the role of factors such as race, genetics and even environment.

The objective of this study was to determine the prevalence and pattern of refractive errors among primary school children in the age group of 7–12 years of both sexes in Zahedan District, Southeastern Iran.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study area

This cross sectional study was carried out among primary school children of Zahedan District in 2012. Zahedan is the capital of Sistan and Baluchestan Province, located in south east of Iran and known as a tropical area (Figure 1) [15].

2.2. Optometric research

We conducted this study based on Refractive Error Study in Children protocol [5]. To maintain the comparability of our results with other studies, consistent definitions for refractive errors were used. However, there were some differences in methods. One of these differences was that the target population in our study was school children who greatly affected the generalizability of the results, because it failed to cover school age children who not attended school. However, it seemed that in Zahedan, the majority of primary school-age children were in school. The other difference was that our sample was drawn from children between 7 and 12 years, rather than 5–15 years of age.

The sample size was calculated using a single proportion formula. Assuming an estimated refractive error prevalence rate of 24.6%, a precision of 0.05 diopter (D) and 95% confidence interval (*CI*) (Z1-α/2 = 1.96), the total sample size was calculated as 288 students [8]. Due to the possibility of loss of samples, we increased the sample size to 400 students. The multi-stage sampling technique was used to select random samples of school children. Zahedan has two administrative districts. In each district, schools were listed according to public and private ownership. Four private and four public schools were randomly selected (2 boys' centers and 2 girls' centers, from each group). Lists of Grade 1 to Grade 5 students from each selected school were obtained and then random selection of the students was carried out by using a simple table of randomization. A total of 25 students were selected from each

school, based on their academic grade. A total of 400 students were selected, among which 320 students (80%) participated in the study, including 160 boys and 160 girls with age ranging from 7 to 12 years.

The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee of Zahedan University of Medical Sciences. Our study was conducted according to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed written consent was obtained from the parents/legal guardians after a detailed explanation of the study. Assents from selected school children were also obtained. After acquiring their consent, they completed a questionnaire on personal information and family history. Students who refused visual acuity assessment or eye examination and with any previous ocular surgery or ocular pathology including any strabismus, corneal opacity, cataract and retinal pathology which were recognized in initial ophthalmic examination, were excluded from the study.

Those students included in the study underwent a complete initial ophthalmic examination. Slit lamp biomicroscopy (Model BQ 900: Haag Streit, Bern, Switzerland) and ophthalmoscopy (Ophthalmoscope, Heine Beta 200, Germany) were done for all the students. Then, an optometrist began optometric examinations with testing visual acuity at 6 m in good lighting conditions using Snellen eye chart for each eye separately, according to standard protocol. Cycloplegic refractive status was measured for all the participants using auto-refractometer (Topcon 8800), 45 min after instilling 2 drops of 1% cyclopentolate with 5 min apart.

Spherical equivalent (SE) was used for calculations of refractive error. The SE was derived by adding the spherical component of refraction to half of the cylindrical component. Myopia was defined as an SE of at least −0.5 D and hyperopia was defined as an SE of +2.00 D or more. Emmetropia was defined if neither eye was myopic or hyperopic. Astigmatic students were a cylinder refraction of 0.75 D or more in at least one eye, which was recorded with a negative sign. Anisometropia was defined as a difference in SE of at least 1.0 D between right and left eyes. As SEs in the right and left eyes were highly correlated (Spearman correlation: $r = 0.719$, $P = 0.000$), we presented data for only the right eye.

Data were analyzed using SPSS, version 22 software program. Percentage and 95% *CI* were used to describe the prevalence of refractive errors. Spearman *Chi*-squared test was applied for qualitative data. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

Of the 400 primary school children approximately, 320 were included with approved consent forms from their parents/guardians with a response rate of 80%, from which 50% were girl and 50% were boy. The age of the included school children ranged from 7 to 12 years with a mean ± SD of (9.11 ± 1.62) years and there was no significant differences between the mean ± SD age of girls (9.06 ± 1.59) and boys (9.16 ± 1.64) ($P = 0.606$).

Mean ± SD of SE was 1.71 ± 1.16 ranged from −2.5 to +8 D overall, 1.68 ± 1.19 ranged from −2.25 to +8 D in boys and 1.75 ± 1.13 ranged from −2.5 to +4 D in girls ($P = 0.181$). The overall prevalence of refractive errors among school children was 64.4% (95% *CI*: 58.83%–69.58%), higher among girls than boys (73.1% *vs.* 55.6%, $P = 0.001$), but it was not significantly different among different age groups ($P = 0.790$). Tables 1 and 2 show the prevalence of different types of refractive errors based


Figure 1. Map of Sistan–Baluchestan Province, located in Zahedan District, Southeastern Iran [16].