



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apjtb



Document heading

©2015 by the Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine. All rights reserved.

In vivo sedative and muscle relaxants activity of *Diospyros lotus* LAbdur Rauf^{1*}, Ghias Uddin¹, Bina Shaheen Siddiqui², Haroon Khan³¹Institute of Chemical Sciences, University of Peshawar, Peshawar-25120, KPK, Pakistan²H.E.J. Research Institute of Chemistry, International Center for Chemical and Biological Sciences, University of Karachi, Karachi-75270, Pakistan³Department of Pharmacy, Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan 23200, Pakistan

PEER REVIEW

Peer reviewer

Habib Ullah, Ph.D, Environment and Sustainability Institute, College of Engineering, Mathematics and Physical Science, University of Exeter, Penryn Campus, Penryn, TR10 9FE, UK.

Tel: +44(0)1326259320, +44 (0) 7540652183

E-mail: hu203@exeter.ac.uk

Comments

This is a valuable research work for investigation of safe, effective and potent sedative, and muscle relaxant phytomedicines. In the present research work, the authors reported the sedative, and muscle relaxant effect of the said plant. The sedative effect has been tested using phenobarbitone-induced sleeping time while Rota rod model is used for relaxant activity.

Details on Page 280

ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the sedative effect of *Diospyros lotus* L (*D. lotus*) extract in mice using the open field and Rota rod tests.

Methods: For the sedative and muscle relaxants activities of extract/fractions of the plant, *in-vivo* open field and phenobarbitone-induced sleeping time were used, while the Rota rod test was employed in animals for the assessment of muscle relaxant activity.

Results: Results from this investigation revealed that the extracts of *D. lotus* have exhibited significant sedative effect in mice (45.98%) at 100 mg/kg *i.p.* When the extract was partitioned with different solvents, the *n*-hexane fraction was inactive whereas the chloroform fraction was the most active with 82.67% sedative effect at 50 and 100 mg/kg *i.p.* On the other hand, the ethyl acetate and *n*-butanol fractions displayed significant sedative effects (55.65% and 40.87%, respectively) at 100 mg/kg *i.p.* Among the tested extract/fractions, only chloroform and ethyl acetate fractions showed significant ($P < 0.05$) muscle relaxant activity in the Rota rod test.

Conclusions: In short, our study provided scientific background to the traditional uses of *D. lotus* as sedative.

KEYWORDS

Diospyros lotus, Ebenaceae, Sedative, Muscle relaxants activity

1. Introduction

Medicinal plants are a rich source of bioactive molecules which are used approximately 80% of the world population for their basic health needs[1]. The genus *Diospyros* (Ebenaceae) consists of woody shrubs and trees distributed in the tropical and subtropical regions of the world. Around 500 species are known worldwide, 24 species of which are native to India[2]. Among *Diospyros* species, *Diospyros dendo*, *Diospyros mespiliformis*, *Diospyros crassiflora*, *Diospyros ebenum*, *Diospyros melanoxylon*, *Diospyros perrieri* and

Diospyros haplostylis are used to provide good ebonies. Moreover, the heartwoods of certain *Diospyros* species provide interesting colors, for example, *Diospyros chloroxylon*, *Diospyros rubra* and *Diospyros chrysophyllus* produce green, red, and white colors, respectively[3,4]. Additional constituents found in *Diospyros* are anthraquinones and lignans; these metabolites do not accumulate to a significant extent[4].

Diospyros lotus L. (*D. lotus*) is a deciduous tree that grows in China and Asia and is cultivated for its edible fruits. The fruits of *D. lotus* are used as sedative, astringent, nutritive, antiseptic,

*Corresponding author: Abdur Rauf, Institute of Chemical Sciences, University of Peshawar, Peshawar-25120, KPK, Pakistan.

E-mail: mashaljc@yahoo.com, mashalics@gmail.com

Foundation Project: The work was supported by HEC, Pakistan with grant number 112-26510-2PS1-258.

Article history:

Received 16 Nov 2014

Received in revised form 21 Nov, 2nd revised form 26 Nov 2014, 3rd revised form 14 Jan 2015

Accepted 15 Feb 2015

Available online 11 Mar 2015

antidiabetic, antitumor, astringent, laxative, nutritive and as a febrifuge and for the treatment of constipation[5]. In addition, fruits of *D. lotus* have been used to for the treatment of diarrhea, dry coughs, and hypertension, whereas *D. lotus* fruits aqueous extracts have been used to treat streptozotocin-induced diabetes[6,7]. Moreover, the fruit extract of *D. lotus* has also been reported to protect glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase-deficient erythrocytes of hemolytic injury in both *in vitro* and *in vivo*[8].

Phytochemical constituents isolated from the *D. lotus* have been reported in the literature[9]. The fixed oil compositional changes and variations in phenolic substances in fruit growth of *D. lotus* have been studied previously. *D. lotus* has also reported for antiradical activity[10]. Phytochemical studies on many *Diospyros* species have revealed the presence of naphthoquinones and naphthalene derivatives, dimeric naphthoquinones, and lupane triterpenes[11]. Similarly, chemical investigation of the fruits of *D. lotus* led to the identification of some fatty acids, sugars, phenolic compounds, and non-volatile acids[12,13]. In view of the activity profile of *D. lotus*, the current study was undertaken to evaluate the sedative and muscle relaxant effects of crude extract and its fractions in *in-vivo* models with the intention of providing a pharmacological rationale for its use.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant material

Roots of *D. lotus* were collected from Toormang Razagram, Dir, KPK, Pakistan, in May 2009. The sample was authenticated by Dr. Abdur Rashid, a taxonomist and botanist at the Botany Department, University of Peshawar, Pakistan. A voucher specimen (Bot/649) has been deposited at the herbarium located at the Department of Botany, University of Peshawar, Pakistan.

2.2. Extraction and isolation

Shade-dried roots of *D. lotus* (14 kg) were powdered and soaked in MeOH for a period of six days with continuous stirring. Then the solution was filtered and the extract was concentrated and dried by means of rotary evaporation at 55 °C. This process was repeated four times and afforded 202 g of a dark red residue. The MeOH root extract was then suspended in water and successively partitioned with *n*-hexane, CHCl₃, EtOAc and *n*-BuOH according to published procedures[14].

2.3. Sedative profile

The apparatus used in this study consisted of an area of a white wood (150 cm diameter) enclosed by stainless steel walls and divided into 19 squares by black lines. The open field was placed inside a light and sound-attenuated room. BALB/c mice of either sex [(22 ± 2) g] were used in this investigation and were divided into groups of 6 mice each. Animals were adapted to being under red light (40 Watt red bulb) for 60 min prior to the start of experiment and had free access to food and water *ad libitum*. Animals were administered with 50 and 100 mg/kg *i.p.* of

methanolic extract and its various solvent fractions. After 30 min, each animal was placed in the center of the box and the number of lines crossed was counted for each mouse, according to literature procedures[15,16].

2.4. Muscle relaxant

The Rota rod used in this test was a metallic rod (3 cm diameter) coated with rubber and connected to a motor. The rod was rotated at a constant speed *i.e.* 9 r/min and was about 60 cm above the tabletop in order to prevent the mice from jumping off the roller. Mice were exposed to Rota rod as a pretest before the experiment and only those mice that remained on the rod for 5 min at a speed of 9 r/min were included in the study. All the groups ($n = 6$) were treated (*i.p.*) with diazepam (0.20 or 0.25 mg/kg), distilled water (10 mL/kg), and various solvent fractions at the dose of 50 and 100 mg/kg, *i.p.* 30, 60, and 90 min before the experiment. Each mouse was allowed for 5 min on the revolving rod and the time spent on the rod was recorded[17,18].

2.5. Statistical analysis

Results were expressed as mean ± SEM. One-way ANOVA was used for analysis of data followed by Dunnett's multiple comparisons. Differences were considered significant at $P \leq 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1. Effect of extracts in locomotive test

Locomotive activity in mice at test doses of extract/fractions of the plant is depicted in Figure 1. Our findings revealed that extract and its fraction showed significant sedative effect of 40.43% and 45.98% at 50 and 100 mg/kg *i.p.*, respectively as displayed in Figure 1A. When the extract was fractioned with different solvents, the *n*-hexane fraction was inactive whereas the chloroform fraction was the most active with 80.01% and 82.67% sedative action at 50 and 100 mg/kg *i.p.*, respectively (Figure 1B). On the other hand, the ethyl acetate fraction showed significant effect with 48.09% and 55.65% activity at 50 and 100 mg/kg *i.p.*, respectively (Figure 1C), whereas the *n*-butanol fraction, exhibited 33.98% and 40.87% sedative effect at 50 and 100 mg/kg *i.p.*, respectively (Figure 1D); the standard drug exhibited the most dominant effect (Figure 1E).

3.2. Effect of extracts in muscle relaxant activity

When evaluated for muscle relaxant effect using the Rota rod test, only chloroform and ethyl acetate fractions demonstrated some activity. As shown in Figure 2, the chloroform fraction displayed significant ($P < 0.05$) muscle relaxant effect after 60 and 90 min of drug administration at both doses of 50 and 100 mg/kg *i.p.* The ethyl acetate fraction was more effective in its muscle relaxant effect and exhibited significant activity even after 30 min of drug administration at both test doses of 50 and 100 mg/kg *i.p.* (Figure 2).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2032514>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2032514>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)