



journal homepage: www.FEBSLetters.org



Aromatic interactions at the catalytic subsite of sucrose phosphorylase: Their roles in enzymatic glucosyl transfer probed with $Phe^{52} \rightarrow Ala$ and $Phe^{52} \rightarrow Asn$ mutants

Patricia Wildberger, Christiane Luley-Goedl, Bernd Nidetzky*

Institute of Biotechnology and Biochemical Engineering, Graz University of Technology, Petersgasse 12/1, A-8010 Graz, Austria

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 November 2010 Revised 23 December 2010 Accepted 29 December 2010 Available online 8 January 2011

Edited by Judit Ovádi

Keywords: Sucrose phosphorylase GH-13 Cation-π interaction Oxocarbenium ion Aromatic stacking Glycoside hydrolase Glycosyltransferase

1. Introduction

ABSTRACT

Mutants of *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* sucrose phosphorylase having active-site Phe⁵² replaced by Ala (F52A) or Asn (F52N) were characterized by free energy profile analysis for catalytic glucosyl transfer from sucrose to phosphate. Despite large destabilization (\geq 3.5 kcal/mol) of the transition states for enzyme glucosylation and deglucosylation in both mutants as compared to wild-type, the relative stability of the glucosyl enzyme intermediate was weakly affected by substitution of Phe⁵². In reverse reaction where fructose becomes glucocylated, "error hydrolysis" was the preponderant path of breakdown of the covalent intermediate of F52A and F52N. It is proposed, therefore, that Phe⁵² facilitates reaction of the phosphorylase through (1) positioning of the transferred glucosyl moiety at the catalytic subsite and (2) strong cation- π stabilization of the oxocarbenium ion-like transition states flanking the covalent enzyme intermediate.

© 2011 Federation of European Biochemical Societies. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Recognition of carbohydrates by proteins often involves π -interactions from aromatic side chains of Phe, Tyr, and Trp. Aromatic rings undergo stacking with pyranoses and furanoses whereby their π -electron cloud interacts with aliphatic protons of the sugar ring [1–4]. The resulting interaction energies were reported to vary between -0.5 and -0.8 kcal/mol, depending on the nature of the aromatic ring and the carbohydrate [5]. Not surprisingly, therefore, carbohydrate- π interactions are widely utilized by glycoside hydrolases (e.g. [6,7]), glycosyltransferases (e.g. [8–10]) and carbohydrate-binding modules [11,12] to achieve recognition and specificity for their natural substrates. A striking commonality among the diverse families of glycoside hydrolases is the occurrence of a family-specific hydrophobic motif in their catalytic subsites. This motif usually consists of one or more aromatic residues and is found irrespective of whether the glycoside

* Corresponding author. Fax: +43 316 873 8434.

hydrolase utilizes an α or β -configured pyranosyl substrate and hydrolyzes it with retention or inversion of anomeric configuration [13]. Its proposed role in catalysis is to aid in the conformational itinerary of the glycopyranoside upon moving from the ground state (e.g. ⁴C₁ chair) to the oxocarbenium ion-like transition state (e.g. ⁴H₃ half-chair). Non-polar contacts with the hydrophobic C₄–C₅-hydroxymethyl moiety of the glycopyranoside that become tightened in the transition state are believed to be the source of the catalytic facilitation provided. In addition to this *conformational* stabilization derived from carbohydrate- π interactions, there is the interesting question if aromatic side chains contributed to the catalytic subsite might also be important for *electrostatic* stabilization of the transition state of the glycoside hydrolase reaction.

Family GH-13 constitutes a large group of retaining hydrolases and transglycosidases that act on α -O-glycosidic substrates such as starch and sucrose [14]. Its members contain a special aromatic motif (Fig. 1; [15–21]) where a highly conserved Phe/Tyr is positioned at the B-face of the glucopyranosyl ring bound in the catalytic subsite. Sucrose phosphorylase (from *Leuconostoc mesenteroides*; LmSPase) was chosen for examining with site-directed mutagenesis the function of the relevant aromatic residue (Phe⁵²) in the catalytic mechanism. Note: the aromatic motif in Fig. 1 must be distinguished from the likewise present hydrophobic

Abbreviations: Glc1P, α-D-glucopyranosyl phosphate; Glc1F, α-D-glucopyranosyl fluoride; SPase, sucrose phosphorylase (EC 2.4.1.7); BaSPase, Bifidobacterium adolescentis SPase; LmSPase, Leuconostoc mesenteroides SPase

E-mail address: bernd.nidetzky@tugraz.at (B. Nidetzky).

^{0014-5793/\$36.00 © 2011} Federation of European Biochemical Societies. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2010.12.041

Enzyme	Organism GenBank	Sequence
Amylosucrase [15]	Neisseria polysaccharea AAT15258.1	EGKSDGGY ¹⁵⁴ AVSSYRD
Sucrose hydrolase [16]	Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. Glycines AAQ93678.1	AGDNDGGF ¹³⁹ AVSDYGQ
α-Glucosidase [17]	Geobacillus sp. HTA-462 BAE48285.1	NADNGY ⁶² DISDYYA
Isomaltulose synthase [18]	Klebsiella sp. LX3 AAK82938.1	NTDNGY ¹⁰⁴ DISNYRQ
Cyclodextrin glycosyltransferase [19]	Bacillus circulans CAA48401.1	TNTAYHG <mark>Y¹³³WARDFKK</mark>
α-Amylase [20]	Bacillus licheniformis AAA22226.1	GYGAYDLY ⁹⁰ DLGEFHQ
Sucrose phosphorylase [21]	Bifidobacterium adolescentis AA033821.1	FDGADAGF ⁵³ DPIDHTK



Fig. 1. The aromatic motif at the catalytic subsite of selected glucoside hydrolases and transglucosidases from family GH-13, revealed by Phe/Tyr residue conservation in both sequence (A) and three-dimensional structure (B and C). Panel B shows relevant interactions with the glucopyranosyl moiety of sucrose in the catalytic subsite of *B. adolescentis* sucrose phosphorylase (BaSPase). Note: Phe⁵² of LmSPase is homologous to the shown Phe⁵³ of BaSPase. Panel C is a structural overlay of the corresponding catalytic subsite parts of BaSPase (2GDU; black), *N. polysaccharea* amylosucrase (1]GI; green) and *Klebsiella sp.* isomaltulose synthase (1M53; red).

motif (Phe¹⁶⁰ in LmSPase) of conformational stabilization [13] that is not considered herein. LmSPase belongs to a particular group of transglycosidases within family GH-13 that promote reversible glucosyl transfer from sucrose to phosphate (P_i), yielding α -D-glucopyranosyl phosphate (Glc1P) and D-fructose as products [22]. Like in other GH-13 enzymes [23–26], catalytic reaction of LmSPase involves formation (*glucosylation*) and breakdown (*deglucosylation*) of a covalent β -glucosyl enzyme intermediate [27]. The proposed role of Phe⁵² involves stabilization, by strong cation- π interactions, of the oxocarbenium ion-like transition states leading to and from the glucosylated enzyme.

2. Materials and methods

Materials used have been described elsewhere [22]. α -D-Glucopyranosyl fluoride (Glc1F) was prepared by Zemplen deprotection from 2,3,4,6-tetra-O- α -D-glucopyranosyl fluoride that was obtained from TCI Europe.

2.1. Site-directed mutagenesis and enzyme preparation

Mutations resulting in substitution of Phe⁵² by Ala (F52A) or Asn (F52N) were introduced using a reported two-stage PCR protocol [28] in which a pQE30 expression vector encoding wildtype LmSPase was used as template [22]. Oligonucleotide primers and details of conditions used for PCR are summarized in Supplementary data. For expression, we subcloned native and mutated genes, via *Bam*HI and *PstI* restriction sites, from pQE30 into pASK-IBA7+ (IBA GmbH). The pASK-IBA7+ constructs introduce *Strep*-tag II at the N-terminus of each enzyme. The new tag (8 amino acids) is comparable in length to the previously used His-tag (11 amino acid), which however did not facilitate protein isolation [22]. All inserts were confirmed by sequencing in sense and antisense directions. *Escherichia coli* Top10 cells harboring pASK-IBA7+ construct were cultivated under standard conditions (Supplementary data) using induction with 200 µg/l anhydrotetracycline (6 h, 25 °C). Crude cell extract was prepared with a French press and protein was purified using chromatography on a Strep-Tactin Superflow column (bed volume 5 ml) (for details, see the Supplementary data). Purification was monitored by SDS-PAGE.

2.2. Assays

Enzyme activity in direction of phosphorolysis of sucrose was determined at 30 °C using a continuous coupled enzymatic assay with phosphoglucomutase and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase [22]. Protein concentration was measured with the BioRad dye-binding method referenced against BSA. Glucose was determined using an assay with hexokinase and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase [22]. Glucose in the presence of fructose was determined using an assay with glucose oxidase and peroxidase [29]. Glc1P was assayed using phosphoglucomutase and glucose-6phosphate dehydrogenase [22]. Phosphate was determined colorimetrically at 850 nm [22]. Other analytical methods (NMR, HPLC)

Δ

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2048164

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/2048164

Daneshyari.com