#### Fuel 163 (2016) 1-7

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Fuel

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fuel

# Homogeneous and heterogeneous contributions of CO<sub>2</sub> and recycled NO to NO emission difference between air and oxy-coal combustion



### Xiaowei Hu, Xian Li, Guangqian Luo, Hong Yao\*

State Key Laboratory of Coal Combustion, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 12 May 2015 Received in revised form 27 August 2015 Accepted 13 September 2015 Available online 25 September 2015

*Keywords:* Oxy-coal combustion NO reduction Recycled flue gas Contribution

#### ABSTRACT

High concentration of  $CO_2$  and recycled NO-containing flue gas are known to affect the total NO emission during oxy-coal combustion through both homogeneous and heterogeneous reactions. To evaluate each contribution, this work investigated the NO emission behavior in air and oxy-coal combustion atmosphere ( $O_2/CO_2$  and  $O_2$ /recycled flue gas) with three different oxygen concentrations (21%, 27% and 32%). Three typical Chinese coals and their derived chars were employed and all of the experiments were conducted in a drop tube furnace at a temperature of 1373 K. The results show that the conversion ratio of coal nitrogen (coal-N) to NO increased with decreasing coal rank in all atmospheres. The NO emission rate in oxy-coal was lower than that in air combustion. The heterogeneous effect of  $CO_2$  mitigated NO emission in oxy-coal combustion, whereas homogeneous contribution of  $CO_2$  may have increased NO formation due to a great amount of coal-N was released in the form of volatile nitrogen (volatile-N) at a high concentration of  $CO_2$ . The reduction of recycled NO was the major reason for the lower NO emission in oxy-coal combustion, but the main removal route differed. The reduction of recycled NO through homogeneous reactions was more important for both the lignite and the high volatile content bituminous coal, whereas the heterogeneous reactions were more important for the anthracite NO removal.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Coal-fired power plants provide more than 70% of the electricity in China [1] but cause serious environmental issues such as global warming. Oxy-coal combustion technology, which uses pure  $O_2$ and recycled flue gas (RFG) (mainly composed of  $CO_2$ ) as a substitute for air, has been proven to be a promising way to control  $CO_2$ emission from coal fired plants. The  $CO_2$  may account for 95% (vol/ vol) of flue gas during oxy-coal combustion, which afterwards can be captured economically and effectively [2–4]. It is worth noting that the pollutant emissions, e.g.,  $NO_x$ , in oxy-coal combustion may differ from those in air combustion because recycled flue gas is used instead of  $N_2$ . Compared with air combustion, the NO emission in oxy-coal combustion with flue gas recycling is affected by the high concentration of  $CO_2$  and recycled NO in the furnace [5,6].

Compared with the case of air combustion, the amount and composition of volatile nitrogen (volatile-N) would change in oxy-coal atmosphere due to the high concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>. According to Sowa et al.'s experimental results ranged from 1600

\* Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +86 27 87545526 (O). E-mail address: hyao@mail.hust.edu.cn (H. Yao). to 1900 K, an American sub-bituminous coal released more volatile-N at a resident time of 15 ms in pure CO<sub>2</sub> than that in N<sub>2</sub> [7]. Duan et al. [8,9] found that during long residence time devolatilization (>150 s), CO<sub>2</sub> enhanced the conversion rate of coal nitrogen (coal-N) to volatile-N by releasing more HCN and less NH<sub>3</sub>. Various possible reaction schematics are summarized in Table 1 from the reported literatures [5,8,10–14]. In regards to the influence of homogeneous impact of CO<sub>2</sub>, Eq. (1) is thought to be the key factor because it changes the compositions of the O/H/OH radical pool. As a result, these composition changes could weaken NO formation from volatile-N [11,12]. The heterogeneous reactions between char nitrogen (char-N) and CO<sub>2</sub> could generate N<sub>2</sub> [14] or HCN [8] rather than NO in oxidation atmosphere. These reactions may decrease the amount of direct NO generation from char-N. It is not difficult to find that CO<sub>2</sub> could influence coal-N transformation through both homogeneous and heterogeneous reactions. However, the specific contribution of them is unknown.

Furthermore, recycled NO could also be reduced in the furnace by reacting with different reactants through homogeneous and heterogeneous reactions [5,13] (Table 1). NO reacts with hydrocarbons (CH<sub>i</sub>), which are released at the beginning of devolatilization to form cyanide and amine intermediates (XN) through Eq. (2). NO converts into N<sub>2</sub> by reacting with XN as well, which is shown in



etaneu innuence or	CO <sub>2</sub> and recycled	NO oli lilliogen transformation [5,6,10–14].		
		High concentration of CO <sub>2</sub>	Recycled NO	
Homogeneous	Direct	$N + CO_2 \rightarrow NO + CO$ $NH_2 + CO_2 \rightarrow products$	$\begin{array}{l} NO+CH_{i} \ (hydrocarbon \ radicals) \rightarrow XN(HCN \ or \ NH_{3})+CO/CO_{2} \\ NO+XN \rightarrow N_{2}+CO/CO_{2}+H_{2}O \ \ (3) \end{array}$	(2)
	Indirect	O/H/OH radical pool is affected by $CO_2 + H \iff CO + OH$ (1) Influence the following fate of HCN and $NH_3$		
	Direct	Char-N + $CO_2 \rightarrow N_2/HCN + CO$	$NO + char - N \rightarrow N_2 + CO/CO_2$ (4)	

 $2NO + 2CO \rightarrow N_2 + 2CO_2$  on the char surface

 Table 1

 Detailed influence of CO2 and recycled NO on nitrogen transformation [5,8,10–14].

Indirect

Eq. (3). Meanwhile, NO is reduced to  $N_2$  by the residual char, as shown in Eq. (4). Okazaki and Ando [5] found homogeneous NO removal reductions are more important than heterogeneous reactions for reducing NO exhaust during their experiment. In contrast, Hashemi et al. proposed a conclusion that heterogeneous NO removal reactions are more important [15]. Thus, the major recycled NO reduction route is still under debate. It is also necessary to determine the proportions of recycled NO homogeneous and heterogeneous removal for further NO controlling.

To solve the problems mentioned above, the detailed contributions of homogeneous and heterogeneous effects of high concentration of  $CO_2$  and recycled NO were classified in the present work. Three types of Chinese coals were used as raw samples. Devolatilization tests in both air and oxy-coal conditions were performed first. Based on the combustion of coal and derived char at 1373 K, the homogeneous and heterogeneous contributions of  $CO_2$  and recycled NO were quantified, respectively.

#### 2. Experimental

Heterogeneous

Three types of Chinese coals (lignite, bituminous and anthracite) with a grain diameter of 45–100  $\mu$ m were used for this investigation through a drop-tube furnace (DTF). The ultimate and proximate analysis of parent coals and derived chars are presented in Table 2. To simulate the devolatilization in air and oxy-coal conditions, the coal samples were first devolatilized in pure N<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>, respectively, at 1373 K, and the solid products were labeled as N<sub>2</sub>-char and CO<sub>2</sub>-char. Each coal sample was combusted in the same DTF in O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> or oxy-coal atmosphere (O<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>/RFG) at 1373 K with three different O<sub>2</sub> fractions (OF = 21%, 27% and 32% by volume). O<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> was used as one-through oxy-coal combustion atmosphere to distinguish the impact of the CO<sub>2</sub> and the recycled NO. The method from Yoshiie et al. [16] was employed to simulate the recriculated flue gas in O<sub>2</sub>/RFG atmosphere.

Properties of coals and the derived chars.

Whereas the effect of N<sub>2</sub>O was ignored and only NO was recycled in the present study. N<sub>2</sub>-char was combusted only in air condition, and CO<sub>2</sub>-char was combusted in oxy-coal condition to study char-N evolution. The coal feeding rate was 0.3 g/min. The char feeding rate was determined based on its Al element content to ensure the Al element feeding rate was equivalent to the parent coal feeding rate. The feeding rates of N<sub>2</sub>-char and CO<sub>2</sub>-char for the lignite, bituminous coal and anthracite were 0.13, 0.05, 0.14, 0.05, 0.27 and 0.26 g/min, respectively. The detailed parameters of the DTF can be found in our previous study [17]. The total inlet gas flow rate in the DTF was 5000 mL/min in both combustion and devolatilization processes to ensure the consistent residence time (approximately 2 s).

The conversion of char-N to NO is suppressed in the presence of NO

Gas samples were monitored by two gas analyzers following removal of dust and water. A Madur gas analyzer was used to detect CO and CO<sub>2</sub> by the non-dispersed infra-red (NDIR) method and O<sub>2</sub> by the electrochemical method. The other gas analyzer was used to detect NO by an electrochemical sensor over a range of 0–5000 ppmv (parts per million by volume). Nearly all of the coal-N was released due to the burnt out ratio of the samples was generally higher than 97% in the study. Since no HCN or NH<sub>3</sub> has been detected, the other major form of product containing N (except NO) during the combustion is N<sub>2</sub>.

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Influence of CO<sub>2</sub> on char yield and nitrogen distribution

To verify the influence of  $CO_2$  on coal-N evolution, the char yield and nitrogen distribution during devolatilization should be addressed first. Char yields were determined by mass conservation of elemental aluminum during the experiment [18]. Al contents of coal and char were tested by X-ray fluorescence (XRF).

	Lignite	Lignite N <sub>2</sub> -char	Lignite CO <sub>2</sub> -char	Bituminous	Bituminous N <sub>2</sub> -char	Bituminous CO <sub>2</sub> -char	Anthracite	Anthracite N <sub>2</sub> -char	Anthracite CO <sub>2</sub> -char			
Proximate analysis (air dried basis, wt.%)												
М	4.30	3.42	1.63	4.44	2.63	2.24	3.86	2.29	1.56			
А	14.43	28.70	67.31	7.46	17.39	40.27	29.26	31.43	33.21			
V	37.18	12.70	11.10	31.70	10.12	8.97	7.68	3.21	2.43			
FC	44.09	55.18	19.96	56.40	69.86	42.31	59.20	62.07	60.80			
Ultimate analysis (air dried basis, wt.%)												
С	56.61	59.28	23.94	67.64	61.80	46.12	59.95	63.94	62.92			
Н	5.42	0.62	0.34	3.65	0.68	0.43	1.20	0.57	0.67			
Ν	0.82	0.63	0.23	0.80	0.56	0.29	0.92	0.91	0.81			
S + O	18.42	7.35	6.55	16.01	16.94	10.65	4.81	0.86	0.83			
Content of Al in ash by XRF (air dried basis, wt $\%$ )												
Al	12.47	14.99	17.32	14.34	13.21	16.6	26.87	27.31	27.50			

M, moisture; A, ash; V, volatile matter; FC, fixed carbon.

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/205480

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/205480

Daneshyari.com