



Competing invaders: Performance of two *Anguillicola* species in Lake Bracciano



K.C. Dangel^{1,*}, M. Keppel¹, T.T.Y. Le, D. Grabner, B. Sures

Department of Aquatic Ecology and Centre for Water and Environmental Research, University of Duisburg-Essen, Universitaetsstrasse 5, 45141 Essen, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 30 October 2014

Revised 20 December 2014

Accepted 23 December 2014

Keywords:

Anguillicola crassus

Anguillicola novaehollandiae

Anguilla anguilla

Invasive species

ABSTRACT

Anguillicola crassus is one of the most successful parasitic invasive species as it has spread from its original habitat in East Asia throughout the world and has acquired five new eel host species in the course of its invasion within the last three decades. Records from an Italian lake indicate that this species has even displaced an established population of its close relative *A. novaehollandiae* originating from New Zealand. In order to analyze the reasons for its high invasive potential, this review highlights recent studies, which substantiate the selective advantages of *A. crassus* over *A. novaehollandiae*.

Laboratory infection experiments revealed that *A. crassus* features a less synchronized development compared to *A. novaehollandiae* in the European eel, which enables this species to emit eggs over a longer period of time. Differences in the time period required for first egg output and in the maturation process of second stage larvae in intermediate hosts could also be detected, which may lead to differences in infection potential. Finally, microsatellite analyses have shown that hybridization processes are possible, but might only occur between *A. crassus* males and *A. novaehollandiae* females. Taken as a whole, the sum of minor selective advantages and differences in life cycle traits could have considerably contributed to a replacement of one species by the other.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Australian Society for Parasitology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

As a most obvious sign of globalization, worldwide trade of goods and of live organisms has expanded appreciably. These activities often entail an intended or unintended introduction of non-endemic species into new habitats. Once introduced, just a few of these nonindigenous species (NIS) are capable of completing a successful invasion by establishing a stable population in the new habitat and spreading even further (Kolar and Lodge, 2001). The establishment of invasive species may have a considerable impact on the fitness of indigenous populations and even on biodiversity in general by causing major changes in the composition of species (McGeoch et al., 2010; Hatcher and Dunn, 2011). Invasive species comprise free-living organisms as well as parasites. Free-living invasive species serving as hosts can influence the new environment by either bringing in new parasite species, which are able to infect endemic free-living species (spillover; Strauss et al., 2012), by serving as a new host to endemic parasites (spillback) (Kelly et al., 2009), or by gaining

an advantage over endemic species when losing originally associated parasites (enemy release) (Torchin et al., 2003; Hatcher and Dunn, 2011). Since both the affected ecosystem and the invader are influenced by one another, changes do not only apply to the new habitat, but also to the invasive organism. While free-living species have to meet the challenge of coping with unfamiliar environmental conditions, newly introduced parasites must find new intermediate and/or final hosts suitable for maintaining their life cycle. Additionally, they are involved in competitive interactions with both the already established parasite community of the new host as well as with the physiological (i.e. immune) responses of the hosts. Accordingly, one may assume that invasive parasites should have an advantage over other invasive parasite species if they feature a simple (monoxenic) life cycle with low host specificity, so that they can potentially infect a wide range of new available hosts.

In contrast, one of the most successful parasitic invasive species world-wide is the heteroxenic swim bladder nematode *Anguillicola crassus* (synonym *Anguillicoloides crassus*, see Laetsch et al., 2012), which is an indigenous parasite of the Japanese eel (*Anguilla japonica*) in Asia, and was introduced to Germany in the 1980s (Neumann, 1985) from where it spread throughout the population of the European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*).

To this day, this parasite was recorded as a nonindigenous species on three continents and in five eel species (Neumann, 1985; Johnson et al., 1995; Moravec, 2006; Sasal et al., 2008). It even seemed to

* Corresponding author. Department of Aquatic Ecology and Centre for Water and Environmental Research, University of Duisburg-Essen, Universitaetsstrasse 5, 45141 Essen, Germany. Tel.: +49 201 183 4695; fax: +49 201 183 4442.

E-mail address: kerstin.dangel@uni-due.de (K.C. Dangel).

¹ Kerstin C. Dangel and Michelle Keppel contributed equally to this work.

have outcompeted the closely related species *Anguillicola novaezelandiae* (a native parasite to *Anguilla australis* in Australia and New Zealand) in an isolated lake in Italy. *A. novaezelandiae* was introduced in the 1970s into Lake Bracciano and established a stable population in the European eels of the lake. After *A. crassus* had been introduced into the lake, both parasite species were recorded simultaneously in 1993, but no mixed infections of European eels were found. In 2004, *A. crassus* was the only *Anguillicola* species found in the lake (Paggi et al., 1982; Moravec et al., 1994a; Munderle, 2005).

According to the limited number of studies about *A. novaezelandiae*, it does not cause any swim bladder damage in the European eel, in contrast to *A. crassus*, which is more virulent and reaches higher infection intensities in the new eel host (Paggi et al., 1982; Moravec et al., 1994a; Munderle, 2005; Moravec, 2006) with severe effects on swim bladder histology and function (Molnár et al., 1993; Molnár, 1994; Würtz et al., 1996; Würtz and Taraschewski, 2000; Barry et al., 2014). However, it remains unclear why the less pathogenic nematode disappeared, whereas its conspecific establishes at every new location. This leads to the question why *A. crassus* is a more successful invader and what are its advantages compared to its close relative, which was not able to establish as an invasive species. The present article gives detailed insights into this unique situation and points out possible reasons allowing *A. crassus* to outcompete its close relative.

2. *Anguillicola* in Lake Bracciano

Lake Bracciano is an almost round lake northwest of Rome, Italy, without in- or outlet. European eels are regularly introduced into the lake to maintain supplies for fishing (Moriarty and Dekker, 1997). Swim bladder parasites of the genus *Anguillicola* were first recorded in Lake Bracciano by Paggi et al. (1982). The authors originally identified the species as *Anguillicola australiensis*, but Moravec and Taraschewski (1988) identified the species as *A. novaezelandiae* in their review on the genus *Anguillicola*. The nematodes were introduced to the lake in 1975 along with their original host species *A. australis* from New Zealand (Welcomme, 1981; Paggi et al., 1982). While *A. novaezelandiae* established as a non-indigenous parasite of the indigenous European eel (*A. anguilla*) in Lake Bracciano and never spread outside the lake, a closely related species was introduced to Europe. In spring of 1982, *A. crassus*, an indigenous parasite of the Japanese eel (*A. japonica*) in Asia, was first recorded in European eels of the Weser-Ems-Region in Germany (Neumann, 1985). Soon after this first record, the parasite began its rapid spread throughout the population of the European eel on the European continent as well as in North Africa as a consequence of natural eel movements and especially eel trade (Kennedy and Fitch, 1990; Kirk, 2003; Moravec, 2006; Taraschewski, 2006; Jakob et al., 2009). But the triumph of *A. crassus* continued when the parasite was recorded in American eels (*Anguilla rostrata*) in North America in 1995 (Johnson et al., 1995) and in three African eel species on the Island of Reunion in 2005 (Sasal et al., 2008). The parasite raised attention as early as 1979, when Egusa (1979) noted that naïve European eels suffer more from an infection with *A. crassus* in Asian aquaculture than the Japanese eel as the original host. But the warnings of the author to prevent the introduction of the parasite to Europe went unheard and still the worldwide trade with live eels is going on.

In October 1993, *A. crassus* and *A. novaezelandiae* were both recorded in European eels of Lake Bracciano (Moravec et al., 1994a). This was a very unique finding of two *Anguillicola* species in a single eel species, though no mixed infections in individual eels were found. Similarly, this was the last record of *A. novaezelandiae* in the lake. Between November 2002 and September 2004, Munderle (2005) sampled European eels of Lake Bracciano, but identified all *Anguillicola* individuals as *A. crassus* based on morphological features as well as

on allozyme analyses, which suggests that *A. novaezelandiae* became extinct from the lake.

3. Two of a kind?

3.1. *Anguillicola crassus*

After its introduction to Europe and its spread throughout the European eel population, *A. crassus* became of significant interest. Compared to the Japanese eel, the European eel has no effective immune response against the parasite (Knopf and Mahnke, 2004; Knopf, 2006; Knopf and Lucius, 2008). This leads to a high prevalence of up to 100 % as well as a high infection intensity in European eel populations compared to findings in the original habitat in Asia, where recordings indicate a prevalence ranging from 17 to 56 % with relatively low intensities (Nagasawa et al., 1994; Jakob et al., 2009). Combined with other stressors like low oxygen levels in the water, a high fish population density, and the presence of insecticides, *A. crassus* even caused mass mortalities of eels in Lake Balaton (Hungary) and the Morava River system (Czech Republic) (Molnár et al., 1991; Baruš et al., 1999; Nemcsók et al., 1999; Lefebvre et al., 2012). As a result, the parasite was intensively studied both in wild eels (overview see Jakob et al., 2009) and in experimentally infected eels (Haenen et al., 1989, 1991, 1996; De Charleroy et al., 1990a; Moravec et al., 1994b; Knopf et al., 1998; Ashworth and Kennedy, 1999; Knopf and Mahnke, 2004; Fazio et al., 2008; Weclawski et al., 2013).

The basic life cycle of the species is rather simple (see Fig. 1): Adult nematodes of this species settle in the swim bladder of eels, nourish on blood, mate and produce eggs with second stage larvae (L2). Through excretion, L2 are released into the water, where they are ingested by intermediate hosts (mostly copepods). Inside the copepod, larvae develop from the second to the third larval stage (L3). As soon as L3 are ingested by the final host (eel), the larvae penetrate its intestine in order to reach the swim bladder wall, where they molt into the fourth stage larvae (L4). L4 grow further, molt, enter the swim bladder lumen and develop into adult nematodes (Køie, 1991; Kirk, 2003; Moravec, 2006; Kennedy, 2007).

Experimental infection studies of (potential) intermediate hosts revealed almost 20 suitable species in Europe, mainly cyclopoid copepods (overview see Moravec, 2006). Additionally, paratenic hosts were found to be facultatively involved in the life cycle of *A. crassus* in Europe. More than 30 fish species, but also tadpoles, as well as some aquatic invertebrates, have been recorded as paratenic hosts (De Charleroy et al., 1989, 1990b; Thomas and Ollevier, 1992; Haenen et al., 1994; Moravec and Konecny, 1994; Pazooki and Székely, 1994; Székely, 1994; Moravec, 1996; Moravec and Skorikova, 1998). The blood-sucking feeding habit of adult parasites can lead to severe changes of the swim bladder wall tissue and to loss of lumen, both resulting in an impairment of the buoyancy regulation of the host (Molnár et al., 1993; Molnár, 1994; Würtz et al., 1996; Barry et al., 2014). As a consequence of parasite infection, eels with impaired swim bladders may be unable to reach their spawning grounds in the Sargasso Sea (5500 km transoceanic migration) (Sures and Knopf, 2004; Palstra et al., 2007).

3.2. *Anguillicola novaezelandiae*

Even though *A. novaezelandiae* was introduced to Lake Bracciano as early as 1975, it was first recorded seven years later (Paggi et al., 1982). Since data of its occurrence are only sparse, one can only speculate whether the parasite may have been introduced several times or only once (Moravec, 2006). Until the description of the species and the revision of the genus by Moravec and Taraschewski (1988), records of the species were mentioned as *A. australiensis* or maybe even *A. crassus* and a precise species determination is therefore often

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2055175>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2055175>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)