



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijppaw

Gray fox (*Urocyon cinereoargenteus*) parasite diversity in central Mexico



Norma Hernández-Camacho ^{a,*}, Raúl Francisco Pineda-López ^a,
María de Jesús Guerrero-Carrillo ^b, Germinal Jorge Cantó-Alarcón ^b,
Robert Wallace Jones ^a, Marco Antonio Moreno-Pérez ^a, Juan Joel Mosqueda-Gualito ^b,
Salvador Zamora-Ledesma ^a, Brenda Camacho-Macías ^a

^a Cuerpo Académico de Ecología y Diversidad Faunística, Universidad Autónoma de Querétaro, Mexico

^b Licenciatura en Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales, Universidad Autónoma de Querétaro, Mexico

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 20 October 2015

Received in revised form

12 February 2016

Accepted 17 June 2016

Keywords:

Fleas
Gray fox
Helminthes
Mexico
Parasites

ABSTRACT

Mexico has a long history of parasitological studies in communities of vertebrates. However, the mega diversity of the country makes fauna inventories an ongoing priority. Presently, there is little published on the parasite fauna of gray foxes (*Urocyon cinereoargenteus* Schreber, 1775) and this study provides new records of parasites for gray foxes in central Mexico. It is a continuation of a series of previous parasitological studies conducted with this carnivore in Mexico from 2003 to the present. A total of 24 foxes in the Parque Nacional El Cimatario (PANEC) were trapped, anaesthetized, and parasites recovered. The species found were *Dirofilaria immitis*, *Ctenocephalides canis*, *C. felis*, *Euhoplopsillus glacialis affinis* (first report for gray foxes in Mexico) *Pulex simulants*, and *Ixodes* sp. Three additional gray fox carcasses were necropsied and the parasites collected were adult nematodes *Physaloptera praeputialis* and *Toxocara canis*. The intensive study of the gray fox population selected for the 2013–2015 recent period allowed for a two-fold increase in the number of parasite species recorded for this carnivore since 2003 (nine to 18 parasite species), mainly recording parasitic arthropods, *Dirofilaria immitis* filariae and adult nematodes. The parasite species recorded are generalists that can survive in anthropic environments; which is characteristic of the present ecological scenario in central Mexico. The close proximity of the PANEC to the city of Santiago de Queretaro suggests possible parasite transmission between the foxes and domestic and feral dogs. Furthermore, packs of feral dogs in the PANEC might have altered habitat use by foxes, with possible impacts on transmission.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Australian Society for Parasitology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

The study of the parasite fauna in terrestrial vertebrates of Mexico has a history of over 80 years, but it is estimated that the parasites of only 21% of the total number of vertebrate species in

the country have been studied (1145 of 5488 recorded species). Of these, parasites of mammals are one of the least-studied groups, with 121 host species studied of 535 recorded (Pérez-Ponce de León, 2001; Pérez-Ponce de León and García-Prieto, 2001; Pérez-Ponce de León et al., 2011). This situation is a reflection of the general lack of information of the global parasite fauna of terrestrial vertebrates, in part as a consequence of the biological properties of each host taxon (e.g., abundance, distribution, location). Additionally, legal issues related to conservation (Poulin and Morand, 2000; Pérez-Ponce de León and García-Prieto, 2001, Sarukhán et al., 2009) are sometimes an issue, such as is the safety of field researchers in areas where there is criminal activity.

The generation of new basic information on parasite species richness, including new species, in Mexico is vital for biodiversity information but also for the application of such information in the

* Corresponding author. Cuerpo Académico de Ecología y Diversidad Faunística, Universidad Autónoma de Querétaro, Av. De las Ciencias s/n, Juriquilla, Delegación Santa Rosa Jáuregui. Querétaro, Qro., C. P. 76230, Mexico.

E-mail addresses: norma.hernandez@uaq.mx (N. Hernández-Camacho), rfpineda@uaq.mx (R.F. Pineda-López), marigro@uaq.mx (M. de Jesús Guerrero-Carrillo), gcanto07@uaq.mx (G.J. Cantó-Alarcón), rjones@uaq.mx (R.W. Jones), biomamp1988@gmail.com (M.A. Moreno-Pérez), rnaguy@hotmail.com (J.J. Mosqueda-Gualito), szamora1405@gmail.com (S. Zamora-Ledesma), camachomab@gmail.com (B. Camacho-Macías).

development of new methodological tools within the conceptual framework of ecosystem health (Karr, 1996; Rapport et al., 1998a, 1998b; Lafferty, 2012). This paper is an analysis of previous and new data obtained during twelve years of study of a gray fox (*Urocyon cinereoargenteus* Schreber, 1775) population in a protected natural area located to the South of the city of Santiago de Querétaro, in central Mexico. This protected natural area is a remaining fragment of tropical deciduous forest, surrounded by an anthropic environment. There are few studies focused on the parasites of the gray fox in México (Pineda-López, 1984; Hernández-Camacho et al., 2010, 2011, 2012a and 2015), and the majority of the information available for this carnivore covers traditional aspects concerning feeding habits (Guerrero et al., 2002), spatial ecology (González-Pérez et al., 1992; Hernández-Camacho and López-González, 2009), or evolution (Arroyo-Cabrales and Carranza-Castañeda, 2009). In the United States, however, there is considerable information on the parasites of this host (Buechner, 1944; Simmons et al., 1980; Dyer, 1984; Rogers, 1984; Davidson et al., 1992a and b; Steelman et al., 1998, 2000; Allen et al., 2011; Oates et al., 2012; Rosypal et al., 2013, and Ubelaker et al., 2015). The objective of our study was to generate basic information of the diversity of the parasite community of *Urocyon cinereoargenteus* in a remaining fragment of tropical highland deciduous forest in the state of Querétaro.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study area

The study was conducted in the Parque Nacional El Cimatario (PANEC) (20° 28' 30" and 20° 33' 23" N and 100° 19' 37" and 100° 23' 12" W), located south of the city of Santiago de Querétaro. The park has an area of 25 km² with thornscrub as the most abundant vegetation in the area, mixed scrubland, dry tropical deciduous forest and portions with two human-induced grasslands and reforested areas with introduced tree species (Baltasar et al., 2004; Hernández-Camacho et al., 2011).

2.2. Capture and handling of hosts

Due to the logistical difficulties of trapping during the rainy season, all foxes were captured during the dry seasons (December to May) of 2003, 2005, 2011, 2013, 2014, and 2015, with coil spring traps Duke traps #3 (Duke Company, West Point, MS, USA) and Victor soft catch #3 (Oneida Victor Inc. Ltd., Euclid, OH, USA) for medium-sized canids. Each captured individual was immobilized using zolazepam hydrochloride and tiletamine hydrochloride. The dosage depended on the weight of the animal (10 mg/kg), and administration was intramuscular, in the hindquarters. The animal was released from the trap as soon as the anesthetic took effect and placed in a recumbent position to be externally examined and its physical condition assessed. Meristic data were obtained including total length measured from the nostrils to the last caudal vertebrae, weight, sex, and estimation of age through tooth enamel color and gum recession. The animal's temperature and respiration were monitored constantly to detect any negative responses to the anesthetic. Once the animal recovered from the anesthesia, it was released in the same capture area. During 2013–2015, we also had access to three gray fox carcasses, which were processed by specialized veterinary staff from the Department of Natural Sciences at the Universidad Autónoma de Querétaro.

The procedure for capture, handling, and chemical restraint was carried out in accordance with the guidelines of the American Society of Mammalogists for the handling and restraint of wild mammals (Kreeger and Arnemo, 2007; Hernández-Camacho and

López-González, 2009; Gannon et al., 2011) and with permits by Secretaria of Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales (SEMARNAT) for the corresponding years.

2.3. Sample processing

Parasites were processed according to the traditional techniques described by Lamothe (1997). Adult nematodes were fixated with warm ethanol 70% and processed in lactic acid for identification. Ecto parasites, such as fleas and ticks, were collected with forceps and fixated in ethanol 70%, fleas were processed with potassium hydroxide 10% and lactic acid and mounted in Canada Balsam. Blood smears were fixated with methanol 100% and dyed using Giemsa protocol (Lamothe, 1997). The parasites collected were deposited in the Colección Nacional de Helminthos [CNHE] at the Instituto de Biología and the Colección de Siphonaptera [SIPHO] at the Museo de Zoología, both of the Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. A Box-Cox transformation was used to normalize parasite abundance data (MINITAB® 17 Statistical Software, 2010; Wang et al., 2008; Osborne, 2010), chosen for its robustness to stabilize variance and bearing in mind potential differences in the various types of sampling (feces, trappings and necropsies) during a decade of field work. Following the transformation, we further analyzed the data for parasite diversity (PAST statistical software version 2.17c; Hammer et al., 2001) using species richness indices Menhinick (D_{Mn}) and Margalef (D_{Mg}), Shannon's diversity index (H'), Simpson's diversity index (D) and Berger-Parker dominance index (d). These indices were selected for their varied and widely used role in biological diversity analysis (Magurran, 2004; Magurran and McGill, 2011).

3. Results

From December 2003 to February 2015, 24 gray foxes (14 females, 10 males) were caught in the PANEC and necropsies were performed on three additional animals (one female, two males) killed by feral dogs. All animals were within the range of weight and height for the species as described by Fritzell and Haroldson (1982) (mean weight and SD of 3.8 ± 0.27 kg and mean length and SD of 1020.62 ± 35.7 mm for females and a mean weight and SD of 4.23 ± 0.25 kg and mean length and SD of 1063 ± 11.54 mm for males). All individuals were considered as adults.

A total of six species of parasite arthropods and 11 species of endo parasites were found in the foxes. The species richness indices were $D_{Mn} = 1.137$ and $D_{Mg} = 2.836$, the diversity indices were $H' = 1.78$ and $D = 0.7398$, but with a dominance Berger-Parker index of $d = 0.4161$. These results reflect the dominance of *Uncinaria stenocephala* as the most abundant parasite found in these foxes including parasites species previously recorded to 2013 (Hernández-Camacho et al. (2011)). From 2013 to 2015, the number of parasite species in gray foxes increased almost two-fold with the detection of two additional species of nematode: *Dirofilaria immitis* filariae (first detected in 2014; CNHE access number 9905), *Toxocara canis* adult nematodes (CNHE access number 9906) previously recorded in feces analysis in Hernández-Camacho et al. (2011) and *Physaloptera praeputialis* adult nematodes (CNHE access number 9907), and six species of arthropods: *Pulex simulans* (first detected 2013, SIPHO access number 8832), *Echidnophaga gallinacea* (2014, SIPHO 8825, 8826), *Ctenocephalides canis* (2014; SIPHO 8828, 8829), *C. felis* (2014; 8830, 8831), and *Euhoplopsyllus glacialis affinis* (2015, SIPHO 8824); and *Ixodes* sp. (2014, species identification in progress) possibly representing a new record for Mexico.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2055233>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2055233>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)