Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

BioSystems

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/biosystems

A model integration approach linking signalling and gene-regulatory logic with kinetic metabolic models

A. Ryll^{a,*}, J. Bucher^b, A. Bonin^b, S. Bongard^b, E. Gonçalves^c, J. Saez-Rodriguez^c, J. Niklas^b, S. Klamt^{a,*}

^a Max Planck Institute for Dynamics of Complex Technical Systems, Sandtorstraße 1, D-39106 Magdeburg, Germany

^b Insilico Biotechnology AG, Meitnerstraße 8, D-70563 Stuttgart, Germany

^c European Molecular Biology Laboratory, European Bioinformatics Institute, Wellcome Trust Genome Campus, Hinxton CB10 1SD, Cambridge, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 7 May 2014 Received in revised form 11 July 2014 Accepted 18 July 2014 Available online 23 July 2014

Keywords: Model integration Kinetic models Logical networks Insulin and glucagon signalling Gene regulation Hepatic metabolism

ABSTRACT

Systems biology has to increasingly cope with large- and multi-scale biological systems. Many successful in silico representations and simulations of various cellular modules proved mathematical modelling to be an important tool in gaining a solid understanding of biological phenomena. However, models spanning different functional layers (e.g. metabolism, signalling and gene regulation) are still scarce. Consequently, model integration methods capable of fusing different types of biological networks and various model formalisms become a key methodology to increase the scope of cellular processes covered by mathematical models. Here we propose a new integration approach to couple logical models of signalling or/and gene-regulatory networks with kinetic models of metabolic processes. The procedure ends up with an integrated dynamic model of both layers relying on differential equations. The feasibility of the approach is shown in an illustrative case study integrating a kinetic model of central metabolic pathways in hepatocytes with a Boolean logical network depicting the hormonally induced signal transduction and gene regulation events involved. In silico simulations demonstrate the integrated model to qualitatively describe the physiological switch-like behaviour of hepatocytes in response to nutritionally regulated changes in extracellular glucagon and insulin levels. A simulated failure mode scenario addressing insulin resistance furthermore illustrates the pharmacological potential of a model covering interactions between signalling, gene regulation and metabolism.

© 2014 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Model integration is emerging as a key methodology in modern systems biology since mathematical modelling frameworks are increasingly forced to cope with complex physiological and phenotype-driven issues spanning a multitude of intra- and intercellular organisation scales as well as environmental conditions (Dada and Mendes, 2011; Goncalves et al., 2013; Karr et al., 2012). According to a natural "intracellular task sharing" concept, three major cellular process layers can be distinguished with each featuring distinct physiological and functional characteristics: (i) cell signalling is essentially mediated by interacting proteins generating and transducing flows of information from the cell

* Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: ryll@mpi-magdeburg.mpg.de (A. Ryll), klamt@mpi-magdeburg.mpg.de (S. Klamt).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystems.2014.07.002 0303-2647/© 2014 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved. surface to the nucleus; (ii) gene regulation, by contrast, comprises transcriptional regulation of gene expression and post-transcriptional modification mechanisms that further control and fine-tune protein abundances, whereas (iii) metabolism comprises enzyme-catalysed reactions, transforming substrates into biomass and product metabolites. A cell's phenotype results from the interplay of all these processes described above – a fact stressing the layers' interdependencies.

Integrative models linking the associated information and material flows across all three cellular process layers will therefore provide a more complete representation with increased predictive power. Such models are, nevertheless, an exception as most modelling studies in systems biology focus on single layers only. Presumably, this could, on the one hand, be caused by the complexity of integrated models implying a potentially high number of parameters to be measured or estimated and, on the other hand, by the large variety of different (quantitative vs. qualitative) modelling concepts and implementation strategies





CrossMark

Abbreviations and symbols	
АКТ	Protein kinase B (also PKB)
CAMP	Cyclic adenosine monophosphate
CBP	CRFB binding protein
ChRFBP	Carbohydrate response element binding protein
CRFB	cAMP responsive element binding protein
FNO1	Enolase 1
F-16-P2	Fructose-1 6-bisphosphate
F-2 6-P2	Fructose-2 6-bisphosphate
G6P	Glucose-6-phosphate
GSK3	Glycogen synthase kinase 3
GYS2	Glycogen synthase 2
HNF4α	Hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 α
IR	Insulin receptor
IRS1	Insulin receptor substrate 1
ITT	Incomplete truth table (operator)
LIH	Logical interaction hypergraph
LSS	Logical steady-state
LXRα	Liver X receptor α (also NR1H3)
ODE	Ordinary differential equation
PDHK2	Pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase isoform 2
PDHP2	Pyruvate dehydrogenase phosphatase 2
PDK1	3-Phosphoinositide-dependent kinase 1
PFK1	Phosphofructokinase 1 (also PFKL)
PFK2	Phosphotructokinase 2
	Protein kinase A Dumunate kinase (liver tuner also LDK)
PKLK DD24	Pyruvale Killase (livel-type, also LPK) Protein phosphatase 24
PPARa	Perovisome proliferator-activated recentor α (also
117 ma	NR1C1)
SOP	Sum of products (notation)
SREBP1c	Sterol-regulatory-element-binding protein 1c
TORC2	Transducer of regulated CREB protein 2 (also
	CRTC2)
TRB3	Tribbles homolog 3 (also neuronal cell death-
	inducible putative kinase / NIPK)
V	Index set of all species in the integrated model
Metabolic model context	
c Ve	ector of metabolite concentrations [µM] (cf. Step 1)
c _{norm} No	ormalised metabolite concentration [n.u.] (cf. Eq. (4)/
St	ep 4)
е То	tal number of metabolites (<i>cf.</i> Step 1)
K No	ormalisation parameter defining normalisation
th	reshold [µM] (<i>cf.</i> Eq. (4)/Step 4)
l To	tal number of metabolites in subset M^{s} (cf. Step 1)
M In	dex set of metabolites ($M \subset V$; cf. Step 1)
M ^s In sp	dex subset of metabolites regulating signalling ecies $(M^S = S^M = S \cap M; cf. \text{ Step 1})$
N St	oichiometric matrix (<i>cf.</i> Step 1)
N No	ormalisation parameter defining normalisation
sh	arpness [n.u.] (<i>cf.</i> Eq. (4)/Step 4)
Q M	etabolic network (<i>cf.</i> Step 1)
р То	tal number of reactions (cf. Step 1)
q To	tal number of reactions in subset R^{S} (cf. Step 1)
R In	dex set of reactions (cf. Step 1)

- *R^S* Index subset of reactions involving enzymes under signalling control (*cf.* Step 1)
- **r** Vector of kinetic rates $[\mu M/h]$ (*cf.* Step 1)
- *t* Time [h] (*cf.* Step 1)

Signalling model context

- *B* Set of *Boolean* functions (*cf.* Step 2)
- \tilde{B} Set of continuous function homologues (*cf.* Step 3)

- *b* Boolean function (*cf.* Eq. (2)/Step 2)
- \tilde{b} Continuous function homologue of a *Boolean* function (*cf.* Eq. (3)/Step 3)
- *d* Confidence level of a logical transition (*cf.* Step 2 and Table S6)
- *j* Running index for signalling effectors affecting species *i* $(j \in [0;z_i])$; see Step 3 and Supplementary Table S4)
- *k* Transformation parameter defining the normalised signalling species activation threshold [n.u.] (*cf.* Step 3)
 L Logical network (*cf.* Step 2)
- \tilde{L} Transformed logical network (*cf.* Step 2)
- *n* Transformation parameter defining the normalised transformation sharpness [n.u.] (*cf.* Step 3)
- S Index set of signalling species ($S \subset V$; cf. Step 2)
- S^A Index subset of signalling species reflecting effector activation levels
- S^E Index subset of signalling species reflecting signalling outputs to metabolism and therefore metabolic keyenzyme activation or gene expression levels ($S^E \subset S^A \cup$ S^G) with $S^E \cap S^M = \emptyset$ (cf. Step 2)
- S^G Index subset of signalling species reflecting effector gene expression levels ($S^G \subset S$; *cf.* Step 2)
- S^H Index subset of signalling species reflecting levels of external hormonal inputs to signalling ($S^H \subset S$; *cf.* Step 2)
- S^M Index subset of signalling species reflecting levels of metabolic inputs to signalling $(S^M = M^S = S \cap M; cf. \text{ Step 2})$
- S^P Index subset of signalling species reflecting effector modification levels ($S^P \subset S$; *cf.* Step 2)
- S^U Index subset of signalling species reflecting basal effector activity or gene expression level ($S^U \subset S$; *cf.* Step 2)
- *w* Total number of signalling species (*cf.* Step 2)
- X Vector of continuous state variables (defining the normalised species activation levels) [n.u.] (cf. Eq. (3)/ Step 3)
- *y* Relevance parameter of a logical transition (*cf.* Table S6)
- *z* Total number of upstream effector species (*cf.* Eqs. (2) and (3)/Steps 2 and 3)
- τ Time constant (defining signalling species response rate) [h] (*cf.* Eq. (3)/Step 3)

independently developed and tailored to the nature of the respective biological process under investigation (Goncalves et al., 2013).

Adequate model integration, moreover, exceeds pure coupling, as dimensions of the integrated model must not exceed a tractable scale whereas individual sub-model features might be worth being preserved in the course of model reduction. Karr et al. achieved a recent breakthrough by assembling a whole-cell model of Mycoplasma genitalium (Karr et al., 2012) which integrates different biological processes by means of mathematical formalisms specifically adapted to each of the 28 sub-modules considered. The metabolism was, for instance, represented using a genome-scale metabolic model and simulated via flux balance analysis (FBA), whereas RNA and protein degradation were modelled by Poisson equations. The sub-modules work autonomously for a small time scale (e.g. one second), subsequently exchanging information according to predefined rules. Related methods such as integrated (iFBA) (Covert et al., 2008) or integrated dynamic FBA (idFBA) (Lee et al., 2008) have been described within the context of linking metabolic models with gene regulatory networks. Simeonidis et al. (Simeonidis et al., 2013) presented another FBA-based concept with focus on Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2075933

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/2075933

Daneshyari.com