Contents lists available at ScienceDirect







journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fuproc

# Extraction of calcium from red gypsum for calcium carbonate production



Amin Azdarpour <sup>a,b</sup>, Mohammad Asadullah <sup>b,\*</sup>, Radzuan Junin <sup>a</sup>, Erfan Mohammadian <sup>b</sup>, Hossein Hamidi <sup>b</sup>, Ahmad Rafizan Mohamad Daud <sup>b</sup>, Muhammad Manan <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Faculty of Petroleum and Renewable Energy Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), 81310 Johor, Malaysia
<sup>b</sup> Faculty of Chemical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi MARA, 40450 Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 29 June 2014 Received in revised form 23 September 2014 Accepted 25 September 2014 Available online 12 October 2014

Keywords: Red gypsum Mineral carbonation Dissolution rate Extraction efficiency Kinetic analysis Activation energy

## ABSTRACT

Red gypsum can be considered as a long term storage of carbon dioxide through mineral carbonation. However, for the efficient carbonation, the calcium ions need to be extracted in the solution phase, followed by the carbonation reaction. Therefore, the extraction step is the key of successful mineral carbonation. In this study, solvent extraction of calcium and iron ions has been carried out using different types of acids and bases. In addition, the kinetic study of the extraction has also been performed. The study showed that the base solution was not capable of extracting significant amounts of calcium and iron, while acid solution was very efficient in extracting the ions. However,  $H_2SO_4$  resulted in higher calcium extraction greaction time from 5 to 120 min were found to be effective in enhancing the degree of extraction for all the three acids used. Kinetic analyses found that the dissolution rate of red gypsum is controlled by the combination of product layer diffusion and chemical reaction control. The calculated activation energy of calcium extraction was 44.02, 37.68, and 42.73 kJ/mol for  $H_2SO_4$ , HCI and HNO<sub>3</sub>, respectively.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Increasing atmospheric carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  concentration due to excessive fossil fuel consumption has led to various investigations in order to find a suitable way of reducing atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration. The  $CO_2$  is mostly emitted into the atmosphere from industries such as steel and iron manufacturing industry, cement industry, coal-fired power plants, and oil refineries. Capturing the generated  $CO_2$  followed by long-term storage is called carbon capture and storage (CCS). The basic steps of CCS method consist of  $CO_2$  capture; transportation to the sites; and finally  $CO_2$  storage and sequestration [1–6].

Captured  $CO_2$  from oxy-fuel combustion or from industrial process streams can be stored and sequestered in geological storage, ocean storage, storage below seabed and using mineral sequestration. Geological storage seems to be favorable and feasible for large scale applications and thus it is already practiced due to its minimal operational cost. However, the lack of permanency and continuous site monitoring required are the two major disadvantages of this method. Ocean carbon sequestration has large storage capacity; however, negative impacts on living organisms and  $CO_2$  transport (through pipelines or tankers) to the sites are the major challenges in this method. The  $CO_2$  storage below seabed is still a new idea and requires more study and understanding before implementation on industrial scales. Mineral

\* Corresponding author. E-mail address: asadullah@salam.uitm.edu.my (M. Asadullah). carbonation is the only known permanent CO<sub>2</sub> storage and seems to be practically feasible [7–10].

The mineral carbon dioxide sequestration is an exothermic chemical reaction of a metal-bearing oxide (usually calcium, magnesium, or iron) with  $CO_2$  to form stable solid carbonates. Carbonation can take place either in-situ or ex-situ. The in-situ carbonation is the reaction of  $CO_2$  with magnesium and calcium mineral which occurs underground where the  $CO_2$  is being injected while the ex-situ carbonation represents the same reaction above ground in a chemical processing plant. Mineral carbonation involves exothermic reaction of metal oxide with  $CO_2$  to form magnesium and calcium carbonates [10–13].

Natural minerals together with industrial by-products and wastes rich in calcium and magnesium are the two main raw materials suitable for mineral carbonation process. Of all natural minerals, olivine, wollastonite, and serpentine are the most widely used minerals. In addition to natural minerals, some industrial wastes such as asbestos-mining tailings, electric arc furnace (EAF) dust, steel-making slag, cement-kiln dust, waste concrete, coal fly ash, air pollution control (APC) residues, pulverized fuel firing (PF) and circulating fluidized bed combustion (CFBC) ashes as well as ash transportation waters have received lots of attention for CO<sub>2</sub> fixation through mineral carbonation. Utilization of these industrial wastes as calcium and magnesium sources for CO<sub>2</sub> storage through mineral carbonation is also environmentally beneficial considering the hazardous nature of these materials [10,14–18].

Red gypsum ( $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ ) is one of the most important industrial wastes produced from titanium dioxide manufacturing industry using

Ilmenite as raw material. Ilmenite which contains approximately 43-65% titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) was widely used as raw material. The TiO<sub>2</sub> is extracted through stepwise processes whereby the acidic byproduct usually sulfuric acid is produced at the final step. The neutralization of the spent sulfuric acid during TiO<sub>2</sub> extraction with limestone and lime produces a by-product named red gypsum. The titanium dioxide industry in Malaysia produces about 400,000 tonnes of red gypsum annually that could be utilized for CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration [17,19].

The present study was aimed to find a suitable solvent for efficient extraction of calcium from red gypsum. The potential of calcium and iron extraction (the main constituents of red gypsum) from red gypsum using several acids and bases was investigated. In addition, the dissolution kinetic study was performed using H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, HCl, and HNO<sub>3</sub> as solvent.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Material preparation

In the laboratory, red gypsum samples were oven-dried (45 °C) until complete dryness, ground and homogenized. Subsequently, it was sieved and categorized in terms of average particle size of 100–212  $\mu$ m. The samples were stored under vacuum condition in a desiccator prior to analysis. In this study, three different acids including H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, HCl, and HNO<sub>3</sub> and three bases including NaOH, NH<sub>4</sub>OH and KOH were tested for calcium and iron extraction from red gypsum.

# 2.2. Characterization of red gypsum

The major elements in the red gypsum were quantified using X-ray fluorescence (XRF). Particle size of red gypsum was analyzed using particle analyzer (Malvern MASTERSIZER 2000). Crystalline phases of the samples and mineral composition were determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) in a diffractometer with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation source in a 2 $\theta$  range of 5–70° at a scanning rate of 1°/min.

#### 2.3. Dissolution experiments

In the present study, the dissolution efficiency of calcium and iron in different acidic and basic solutions was determined. Generally, two sets of dissolution experiments were conducted in this study. The first set of experiments involves the dissolution of metal ions in all six solvents (acids and bases). In this experiment, 200 mL solvent of different concentrations ranging from 0.1 M to 4 M was used under constant reaction temperature of 25 °C and time of 60 min. The second set of experiments was conducted with 200 mL of 2 M acid solutions as the selected solvent. In this set, the reaction temperature from 30 °C to



Fig. 1. Red gypsum dissolution experiment set up.

### Table 1

Kinetic models used in this study.	
------------------------------------	--

Kinetic model	Equation
Product layer diffusion	$kt = 1 - 3(1 - x)^{\frac{2}{3}} + 2(1 - x)$
Chemical reaction control	$kt = 1 - 3(1 - x)^{\frac{1}{3}}$
Film diffusion	kt = x
Combination of product layer	$kt = \left(1 - 3(1 - x)^{\frac{2}{3}} + 2(1 - x)\right) + \left(1 - 3(1 - x)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)$
diffusion and chemical reaction control	
First-order pseudo-homogenous	$kt = -\ln(1-x)$
Second-order pseudo-	$kt = (1 - x)^{-1} - 1$
homogenous	
Arrhenius law equation	$k = k_o e^{-E/RT}$

70 °C and the reaction time from 5 min to 120 min were employed. A fixed 10 g of red gypsum with average particle size of 100–212  $\mu$ m was used constantly in all experiments.

The dissolution studies were conducted in a 500 mL open spherical glass batch reactor using the experimental set up as described by Teir et al. [20] as shown in Fig. 1. A batch of 2 M acid was prepared and poured into the reactor. A temperature controlled water bath was used for adjusting the reaction temperature at desired temperature. A magnetic stirrer was used for providing 1000 rpm agitation. During reaction, the acid was vaporized and to reflux the acid, a tap water cooled condenser was used. When the reaction conditions were stabilized, 10 g of red gypsum was added into the solution. The reaction was continued until the desired time and then the reactor was kept for a while at room temperature to be stabilized under ambient condition. The mixture was then filtered and the solution phase was sent to analyze by ICP-OES for the determination of dissolution efficiency.

#### 2.4. FT-IR analysis of solid residues after filtration

After dissolution experiments and filtration, the solid residues were collected, dried over night at 105 °C and used to analyze by FT-IR spectrometer. The FT-IR analysis was carried out in the mid-infrared region from 4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 500 cm<sup>-1</sup> using a Bruker Tensor 27 spectrometer. Each analysis was carried out under ambient air condition using KBr as a diluent.

#### 2.5. Kinetic analysis

Performing an effective mineral carbonation process requires comprehensive understating of the dissolution kinetics. In a solid–liquid reaction, the reaction rate is controlled by one of the following steps: diffusion through the fluid film, diffusion through the ash (or solid



Fig. 2. X-ray diffraction of bulk red gypsum.

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/209532

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/209532

Daneshyari.com