

Impact of Donor and Recipient Sex and Parity on Outcomes of HLA-Identical Sibling Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation

Alison W. Loren,^{1,2} Greta R. Bunin,^{2,3} Christian Boudreau,^{4,7} Richard E. Champlin,⁵ Avital Cnaan,^{2,6} Mary M. Horowitz,⁴ Fausto R. Loberiza,^{4,8} David L. Porter¹

¹Stem Cell Transplant Program, Abramson Cancer Center, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; ²Center for Clinical Epidemiology & Biostatistics, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; ³Division of Oncology, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; ⁴Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research (CIBMTR), Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin; ⁵Department of Blood and Marrow Transplantation, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas; ⁶Division of Biostatistics & Epidemiology, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; ⁷Department of Statistics & Actuarial Science, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada; ⁸Section of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, Nebraska

Correspondence and reprint requests: Alison W. Loren, MD, MS, Stem Cell Transplant Program, Abramson Cancer Center, University of Pennsylvania, 16 Penn Tower, 3400 Spruce Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104 (e-mail: awakoff@mail.med.upenn.edu).

Received January 19, 2006; accepted March 27, 2006

ABSTRACT

Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (SCT) may cure patients with hematologic malignancies, but it carries significant risks. Careful donor selection is an important component of the clinical transplantation decision-making process and includes evaluation of HLA typing and other criteria, the most controversial of which is parity. We examined the effect of donor sex and parity on outcomes of HLA-identical sibling SCT. Because the effect of recipient sex/parity has never been explicitly evaluated, we also analyzed the effect of recipient sex/parity on outcomes of transplantation. We found that (1) parous female donors result in an increased risk of chronic graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) in all recipients, (2) the magnitude of this increased risk is similar in male and female recipients, and (3) nulliparous female donors increase the risk of chronic GVHD in male recipients to a degree comparable to that from parous donors. A decrease in the risk of relapse was not observed, and there was no effect on any endpoint. Until the effects of pregnancy on the maternal immune system are better understood, it is appropriate whenever possible to avoid parous female donors and to choose male donors for male recipients in HLA-identical related donor SCT.

© 2006 American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation

KEY WORDS

Allogeneic stem cell transplantation • Graft-versus-host disease • Pregnancy

INTRODUCTION

Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (SCT) is a curative therapy for patients with hematologic malignancies but results in significant morbidity and mortality. Donor selection is an important way that the risks may be decreased and is therefore a key component of the clinical practice of transplantation. In general, HLA-identical siblings are the preferred donors, but some patients have more than one HLA-matched sibling. Thus, it is important to understand the contribution of donor factors other than HLA matching to outcomes after SCT. Criteria proved or hypothesized to affect outcomes after SCT include age, cytomegalovirus (CMV) serostatus, ABO compatibility, and sex and parity. Of these, sex/parity is the most controversial, and it is not clear which of these factors should outweigh the others. Some investigators have found an increased risk of acute or

| Study | Year | n | Sex/Parity Combinations Considered in Study | GVHD Endpoint | Results† |
|----------------------|------|------|--|-------------------|---|
| Gale et al [1] | 1987 | 2036 | Sex mismatching | Acute | Increased risk of aGVHD in female - |
| | | | Alloimmunized* female donor \rightarrow male vs female recipients | | male transplants vs all other combinations |
| | | | | | Increased risk of aGVHD in alloimmunized female \rightarrow male vs female recipients |
| | | | | | Increased risk of aGVHD in non- alloimmunized female → male vs female recipients |
| | | | | | No increased risk of aGVHD in alloimmunized vs non- alloimmunized female → female recipients |
| Flowers et al [2] | 1990 | 136 | Donor sex/parity \rightarrow any recipient Parous female donor \rightarrow male vs female recipients | Acute | Increased risk of aGVHD in recipient of parous vs nulliparous female donor grafts |
| | | | | | No increased risk of aGVHD in recipients of parous female vs male donor grafts |
| | | | | | No increased risk of aGVHD in recipients of parous female donor → male vs female recipients |
| Atkinson et al [3] | 1986 | 2534 | Sex mismatching Alloimmunized donor | Chronic | Increased risk of cGVHD in alloimmunized female donors → male vs female recipients |
| Weisdorf et al [4] | 1991 | 469 | Sex mismatching Alloimmunized donor | Acute | Increased risk of aGVHD in all combinations other than female donor/female recipient |
| | | | | | Increased risk of aGVHD in alloimmunized vs non- alloimmunized donor into all recipients |
| Nash et al [5] | 1992 | 446 | Sex mismatching Donor parity/recipient sex | Acute | Increased risk of aGVHD in female recipients of male grafts and in male recipients of parous female grafts |
| Carlens et al [6] | 1998 | 451 | Female donor → male recipient vs all others Alloimmunized female donor vs | Chronic | Increased risk of cGVHD in alloimmunized vs non- alloimmunized donor into all |
| Remberger et al [7] | 2002 | 679 | all other combinations Alloimmunized female donor → male recipient vs all other combinations | Chronic | recipients Increased risk of cGVHD in male recipients of alloimmunized female donor transplants |
| Przepiorka et al [8] | 1999 | 160 | Donor sex Female donor → male recipient vs all other combinations Alloimmunized donor Alloimmunized donor → male | Acute | No effect of donor sex or parity on aGVHD |
| | | | recipient vs all other combinationss | | |
| Bross et al [9] | 1984 | 136 | Sex mismatching | Acute | Sex mismatching (either direction) increases risk of aGVHD |
| Randolph et al [10] | 2004 | 3238 | Sex mismatching | Acute and chronic | Increased risk of aGVHD and cGVHE in male recipients of female grafts |

Table I. Summary of Previous Studies of Sex and/or Parity in Allogeneic SCT

*Alloimmunized refers to previous pregnancy or transfusion.

†aGVHD indicates acute graft-versus-host disease; cGVHD, chronic GVHD.

chronic graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) associated with donor parity [1-7], although it is uncertain whether this risk applies just to male recipients or to all patients. Conversely, in other studies, parity was not a risk factor for GVHD [8]. Further, some studies have focused on sex mismatching only, without incorporating parity [9,10]. These results, summarized in Table 1, are generally from single centers, contain small Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2105175

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/2105175

Daneshyari.com