



Lung cancer RT

Relation between elective nodal failure and irradiated volume in non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) treated with radiotherapy using conventional fields and doses

Naoko Sanuki-Fujimoto^{a,*}, Minako Sumi^a, Yoshinori Ito^a, Atsushi Imai^a, Yoshikazu Kagami^a, Ikuo Sekine^b, Hideo Kunitoh^b, Yuichiro Ohe^b, Tomohide Tamura^b, Hiroshi Ikeda^a^a Department of Radiation Oncology, National Cancer Center Hospital, Japan^b Department of Thoracic Oncology and Internal Medicine, National Cancer Center Hospital, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 3 October 2008

Received in revised form 29 December 2008

Accepted 30 December 2008

Available online 21 January 2009

Keywords:

Chemoradiotherapy

Elective nodal failure

Elective nodal irradiation

Non-small-cell lung carcinoma

Radiotherapy

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The role of elective nodal irradiation of non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients treated with radiotherapy remains unclear. We investigated the significance of treating clinically uninvolved lymph nodes by retrospectively analyzing the relationship between loco-regional failure and the irradiated volume.

Methods: Between 1998 and 2003, patients with IA–IIIB NSCLC were treated with radiotherapy. The eligibility criteria for this study were an irradiation dose of 60 Gy or more and a clinical response better than stable disease. Typical radiotherapy consisted of 40 Gy/20 fr to the tumor volumes (clinical target volume of the primary tumor [CTVp], of the metastatic lymph nodes [CTVn], and of the subclinical nodal region [CTVs]), followed by off-cord boost to CTVp+n to a total dose 60–68 Gy/30–34 fr. The relationship between the sites of recurrence and irradiated volumes was analyzed.

Results: A total of 127 patients fulfilled the eligibility criteria. Their median overall and progression-free survival times were 23.5 (range, 4.2–109.7) and 9.0 months (2.2–109.7), respectively. At a median follow-up time of 50.5 months (range, 14.2–83.0) for the surviving patients, the first treatment failure was observed in 95 patients (loco-regional; 41, distant; 42, both; 12). Among the patients with loco-regional failure, in-field recurrence occurred in 38 patients, and four CTVs recurrences associated with CTVp+n failure were observed. No isolated recurrence in CTVs was observed.

Conclusions: In-field loco-regional failure, as well as distant metastasis, was a major type of failure, and there was no isolated elective nodal failure. Radiation volume adequacy did not seem to affect elective nodal failure.

© 2009 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved. Radiotherapy and Oncology 91 (2009) 433–437

Radiation therapy is an integral component of the multi-modal treatment of non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Recent phase III studies have demonstrated that concomitant chemoradiotherapy improves survival, and this has resulted in the general acceptance of concurrent chemoradiotherapy as one of the standard treatments for locally advanced NSCLC [1]. Despite the improved survival, however, most patients die from their disease as a result of local or distant failure.

Local failure remains a major challenge when treating NSCLC with radiotherapy. A number of studies of dose escalation to the gross tumor volume (GTV) have been conducted as a means of improving local control [2–5]. The conventional radiation fields for NSCLC typically encompass the entire mediastinum and ipsilateral hilum (elective nodal region) to deliver a dose of 40 Gy, even without evidence of disease in these areas, followed by a 20 Gy boost to the GTV. However, the conventional treatment has added

considerable morbidity and can limit the dose escalation. In phase I–II dose escalation studies, there is a trend toward omitting the practice of elective nodal irradiation (ENI) after their experiences with toxicity, which is not based on direct evidence [2–5]. According to those studies, omitting ENI has not sacrificed treatment outcomes so far. They also analyzed patterns of recurrence in relation to irradiated volume in a dose escalation setting [6].

By contrast, the current literature provides limited information regarding patterns of failure when conventional fields and doses are used [7,8]. Since it is important to know whether loco-regional failure is within or outside the irradiation field, we retrospectively analyzed patterns of failure after radiation therapy for NSCLC, especially in regard to the relationship between local failure and irradiated volume.

Methods and materials

Patients

Between January 1998 and March 2003, 263 patients with newly diagnosed NSCLC were treated with thoracic radiation therapy,

* Corresponding author. Address: Department of Radiation Oncology, National Cancer Center Hospital, 1-1, Tsukiji 5-chome, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0045, Japan.

E-mail address: nao5-tyk@umin.ac.jp (N. Sanuki-Fujimoto).

with or without chemotherapy, at the National Cancer Center Hospital. All tumors were cytologically or histologically confirmed NSCLC. Patients' disease was staged by the tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) staging system (UICC, version 6, 2002). The diagnostic workup included a bone scan, brain scan by computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging, CT scan of the chest, and CT or ultrasound imaging of the abdomen. The criteria for inclusion in this study were irradiation with a dose of 60 Gy or more as a part of the initial treatment and a clinical response better than stable disease. After excluding patients with metastatic disease, whose primary tumor was located in the apex of the lung (superior sulcus), and whose post-treatment evaluation was inadequate, the remaining 127 patients served as the subjects of the analysis.

Details of treatment

Radiotherapy

Gross tumor volume (GTV) was defined as the demonstrable extent of the primary tumor and the metastatic lymph nodes, GTVp and GTVn, respectively. GTVn was defined as abnormally enlarged regional lymph nodes measuring over 1.0 cm along their short axis. Clinical target volume (CTV) consisted of the adjacent mediastinum and ipsilateral hilum (CTV of the subclinical nodal region, CTVs) as well as CTVp and CTVn which were assumed to be equal to GTVp and GTVn, respectively. A planning target volume (PTV) margin of 1–1.5 cm was drawn around each CTV.

External-beam radiotherapy with a 6, 10, or 15 MV photon beam was delivered using a linear accelerator. A majority of the patients were treated with anteroposterior opposing fields encompassing CTV to a dose of 40 Gy/20 fractions (2 Gy per fraction, 5 days per week), followed by an off-cord boost to the GTV by oblique opposing fields, to a total dose of 60–68 Gy/30–34 fractions. No attempt was made to encompass the supraclavicular areas in most patients; the supraclavicular areas were treated only electively. Initially, treatment planning was performed by using an X-ray simulator for the anteroposterior fields and a CT-port for the oblique opposing fields, but after the end of 1999, most treatment planning, especially to define the off-cord boost, was performed using a CT-based planning system (FOCUS, Computed Medical Systems).

The dose to the spinal cord was limited to 45–50 Gy. The size of the treatment fields was adjusted so that it did not exceed half of the hemithorax before introducing CT-based planning system, or so that the volume of normal lung tissue receiving a dose over 20 Gy would be less than 40%.

Chemotherapy

Systemic chemotherapy was used in 87 patients (68.5%), and the majority of the patients received platinum-based chemotherapy sequentially or concurrently with the radiation therapy. One of the representative regimens was 2–3 cycles of cisplatin 80 mg/sqm on day 1 and vinorelbine 25 mg/sqm on days 1 and 8 (or vindesine 3 mg/sqm on days 1, 8, and 15) in 21–28 days. The second most common regimen was cisplatin 80 mg/sqm on day 1, vindesine 3 mg/sqm on days 1 and 8, and mitomycin C 8 mg/sqm on day 1, in 21–28 days. The other regimens are summarized in Table 1.

Evaluation

Patients were followed at 4- to 6-week intervals for 6 months after treatment and at 3- to 6-month intervals thereafter. Chest X-ray and laboratory workups were performed at each post-treatment visit. Unless there were changes in the chest X-ray or in symptoms, a CT scan was performed about 2–3 months after the treatment for the assessment of the treatment response, and every

Table 1
Baseline patient characteristics.

Characteristics	Patients	(%)
Median age (yr)	65 (36–83)	
Gender		
Male	106	83
Female	21	17
Performance status (WHO)		
0	12	9
1	109	86
2	6	5
Stage		
I (A/B)	5(1/4)	4
II (A/B)	12(3/9)	9
III (A/B)	110(59/51)	87
Histology		
Adenocarcinoma	64	50
Squamous cell carcinoma	39	31
Large cell carcinoma	4	3
NSCLC (not otherwise specified)	20	16
Chemotherapy (concurrent/sequential)	87(63/24)	69
Chemotherapy regimens		
Cisplatin + vindesine or vinorelbine	48	55
Carboplatin + paclitaxel	12	14
MVP (cisplatin + vindesine + mitomycin)	12	14
Nedaplatin or nedaplatin + paclitaxel	11	13
Others	4	5

6–12 months thereafter. Follow-up information was obtained from the medical charts and death certificates.

When evaluating overall survival, an event was defined as death from any cause. When evaluating progression-free survival, an event was defined as documented tumor progression (loco-regional or distant) or death from any cause. Local or loco-regional failure was judged to have occurred if there was radiographic evidence of progressive disease. Absence of progression of residual disease for more than 6 months following treatment was considered evidence of loco-regional control. A recurrence in supraclavicular nodes was considered regional failure, not an elective nodal failure, because the supraclavicular regions are not routinely included within the radiation fields in our practice. Treatment failure was not always confirmed histologically. Elective nodal failure (ENF) was defined as recurrence in CTVs without evidence of local failure, as the first event or even after distant metastasis.

The adequacy of field borders was assessed in terms of CTVs coverage and PTV margin in patients with loco-regional failure. The failure patterns were analyzed to distinguish in-field recurrence from out-of-field recurrence; "in-field" included CTVs as well as CTVp and CTVn.

The Kaplan–Meier method was used from the start of the treatment to calculate the overall survival and progression-free survival of all the 127 patients.

Results

A total of 127 patients, median age 65 years (range, 36–83), met the criteria for evaluation in this study. The majority of patients had stage IIIA ($n = 59$) or IIIB ($n = 51$) disease. Other baseline characteristics of the patients and details of their treatment are summarized in Table 1.

At a median follow-up time of 50.5 months (range, 14.2–83.0) of the surviving patients, 95 had experienced treatment failure. Median survival time was 23.5 months (range, 4.2–109.7), and median time to progression was 9.0 months (range, 2.2–109.7). The 2-year cumulative survival rate and 2-year progression-free survival rate were 51.4% and 27.6%, respectively. The survival

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2159128>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2159128>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)