



Review

Ionic liquids in separations of azeotropic systems – A review

A.B. Pereiro^{*}, J.M.M. Araújo, J.M.S.S. Esperança, I.M. Marrucho, L.P.N. Rebelo^{*}*Instituto de Tecnologia Química e Biológica, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Apartado 127, 2780-901 Oeiras, Portugal*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Available online 30 May 2011

Keywords:

Ionic liquids
Azeotropes
Separation
Extraction
Distillation
Membranes

ABSTRACT

Efforts to make existing separation methods more efficient and eco-friendly may get a boost from the use of a relatively new class of compounds known as ionic liquids (ILs). The separation of azeotropic mixtures has conventionally been one of the most challenging tasks in industrial processes due to the fact that their separation by simple distillation is basically impossible.

This paper provides a critical review of methods using ILs as azeotrope breakers. Three separation processes were addressed: liquid–liquid extraction, extractive distillation, and supported liquid membranes. We examine the azeotrope breaking potential of ILs and compare their performance to that of conventional solvents. A systematic analysis of the influence of the structure of ILs on their azeotrope breaking capacity contributes to the establishment of guidelines for selecting the most suitable ILs for the separation of specific azeotropic mixtures.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	03
1.1. Azeotropes	03
1.2. Ionic liquids	04
1.3. Energy and economic costs	05
1.4. Separation process	06
1.4.1. Extractive or azeotropic distillation	06
1.4.2. Liquid–Liquid extraction	07
1.4.3. Supported liquid membranes	07
2. Azeotrope separation	07
2.1. Aqueous systems	07
2.1.1. (Water + ethanol) system	07
2.1.1.1. Separation by extractive distillation	07
2.1.1.2. Separation by other methods	10
2.1.2. (Water + other alcohols) systems	10
2.1.2.1. Separation by extractive distillation	10
2.1.2.2. Separation by other methods	13
2.1.3. (Water + tetrahydrofuran) system	13
2.1.3.1. Separation by extractive distillation	13
2.1.3.2. Separation by liquid–liquid extraction	15
2.2. Alcoholic systems	15
2.2.1. (Alcohols + ester) systems	15
2.2.2. (Alcohols + ketones) systems	17
2.2.3. (Alcohols + aliphatic hydrocarbons) systems	18
2.2.4. (Alcohols + halogenated) systems	19
2.3. (Aromatic + aliphatic hydrocarbons) systems	20
2.3.1. (Benzene + hexane) system	22

^{*} Corresponding authors. Tel.: +351 214469414; fax: +351 214411277.

E-mail addresses: anab@itqb.unl.pt (A.B. Pereiro), luis.rebelo@itqb.unl.pt (L.P.N. Rebelo).

URL: www.itqb.unl.pt (A.B. Pereiro)

2.3.1.1.	Effect of the alkyl chain length	22
2.3.1.2.	Effect of the nature of the anion	23
2.3.1.3.	Effect of the nature of the cation	23
2.3.2.	(Benzene + heptane) system	23
2.3.3.	Other (aromatic + aliphatic hydrocarbons) systems	24
2.4.	Other azeotropes	24
2.4.1.	(Methanol + dimethyl carbonate) system	24
2.4.2.	(Ethanol + ethyl tert-butyl ether) system	25
2.4.3.	(Hexane + ethyl acetate) and (cyclohexane + 2-butanone) systems	25
2.5.	Final remarks	25
3.	Conclusions and future work	26
	Acknowledgements	26
	Appendix A. Supplementary data	26
	References	26

List of symbols

T	temperature	$V_{\text{Solute}}^{\text{Mix}}$	volume of solute in the initial azeotropic mixture (LLE data)
P	pressure	E^{EP}	extraction efficiency from extraction process data
az	azeotrope	$w_{\text{Inert}}^{\text{Feed}}$	mass fraction of the inert in feed stream (extraction process data)
IL, ILs	ionic liquid, ionic liquids	$w_{\text{Inert}}^{\text{Raffinate}}$	mass fraction of the inert in raffinate stream (extraction process data)
LLE	(liquid + liquid) equilibria	$w_{\text{Inert}}^{\text{Equilibrium}}$	mass fraction of the inert in one equilibrium stage (theoretical data)
VLE	(vapor + liquid) equilibria	SLMs, SILMs	supported liquid membranes, supported ionic liquid membranes
α_{ij}^{VLE}	relative volatility (component i /component j) from (vapor + liquid) equilibria data	S^{MEM}	selectivity from supported liquid membranes data
y_i, y_j	mole fraction of the component i or j in the vapor phase	$\text{Perm}_{\text{Solute}}$	permeability of the solute in supported liquid membranes
x_i, x_j	mole fraction of the component i or j in the liquid phase (for VLE data the mole fraction is ionic liquid free basis)	$\text{Perm}_{\text{Inert}}$	permeability of the inert in supported liquid membranes
$S_{\text{IL}}^{\text{VLE}}$	selectivity from (vapor + liquid) equilibria data	α^{MEM}	separation factor from supported liquid membranes data
$\alpha_{ij}^{\text{with IL}}$	relative volatility from VLE data using as entrainer ionic liquid	$p_{\text{Solute}}^{\text{Permeate}}$	partial pressure of the solute in the permeate
$\alpha_{ij}^{\text{without IL}}$	relative volatility from VLE data without ionic liquid (azeotrope)	$p_{\text{Inert}}^{\text{Permeate}}$	partial pressure of the inert in the permeate
β^{LLE}	distribution coefficient from (liquid + liquid) equilibria data	$p_{\text{Solute}}^{\text{Feed}}$	partial pressure of the solute in the feed
$x_{\text{Solute}}^{\text{IL Phase}}$	mole fraction of the solute in ionic liquid phase (LLE data)	$p_{\text{Inert}}^{\text{Feed}}$	partial pressure of the inert in the feed
$x_{\text{Solute}}^{\text{Organic Phase}}$	mole fraction of the solute in organic phase (LLE data)	w, wt	mass fraction
$S_{\text{Inert}}^{\text{LLE}}$	selectivity from (liquid + liquid) equilibria data	THF	tetrahydrofuran
$x_{\text{Inert}}^{\text{IL Phase}}$	mole fraction of the inert in ionic liquid phase (LLE data)	t	ton
$x_{\text{Inert}}^{\text{Organic Phase}}$	mole fraction of the inert in organic phase (LLE data)	ϵ	euro
E^{LLE}	extraction efficiency from (liquid + liquid) equilibria data	h	hour
$C_{\text{Solute}}^{\text{IL Phase}}$	concentration of solute in ionic liquid phase (LLE data)	mol	mole
$V_{\text{Solute}}^{\text{IL Phase}}$	volume of solute in ionic liquid phase (LLE data)	n	alkyl chain length
$C_{\text{Solute}}^{\text{Mix}}$	concentration of solute in the initial azeotropic mixture (LLE data)	ETBE	ethyl tert-butyl ether
		C_6, C_7	hexane, heptane

1. Introduction

1.1. Azeotropes

Increasing concern about environmental issues, as well as the establishment of new regulations, has recently directed the attention of the scientific community to novel processes based on greener technologies. In many areas of industry, solvent mixtures accumulate due to recycling difficulties. The separation of these mixtures into their pure components is necessary so that they can be reused. However, most of these solvent mixtures contain azeotropes and, thus, their separation by simple distillation becomes impossible. Azeotropes are involved in common chemical processes including the production of methyl acetate, tetrahydrofu-

ran, ter-methyl amyl ether, isopropanol, and vinyl acetate. Additionally, due to their growing popularity, biofuel processes typically produce fermented products that form azeotropes with water which is abundant in the fermentator. Among those products, ethanol is the most important example, due to its excellent properties as alternative fuel. However, compounds such as butanol also form aqueous azeotropic mixtures.

Several potential processes for separating azeotropes have come to light such as azeotropic distillation, extractive distillation, pressure swing distillation, liquid–liquid extraction, adsorption, membranes. Extractive distillation is the most common process for removing one of the components in the azeotropic system. Its greatest constraint is the tremendous energy costs required to achieve a fluid phase system. Therefore, liquid–liquid separation,

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/216011>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/216011>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)