



GDP-bound G α i2 regulates spinal motor neuron differentiation through interaction with GDE2

Goran Periz, Ye Yan, Zachary T. Bitzer, Shanthini Sockanathan*

The Solomon Snyder Department of Neuroscience, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, 725 North Wolfe Street, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received for publication 30 October 2009

Revised 19 February 2010

Accepted 22 February 2010

Available online 1 March 2010

Keywords:

Motor neuron

Neuronal differentiation

G α i2

GDE2

Spinal cord

Development

ABSTRACT

G α i proteins play major roles in the developing and mature nervous system, ranging from the control of cellular proliferation to modulating synaptic plasticity. Although best known for transducing signals from activated seven transmembrane G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) when bound to GTP, key cellular functions for G α i-GDP are beginning to emerge. Here, we show that G α i2 is expressed in motor neuron progenitors that are differentiating to form postmitotic motor neurons in the developing spinal cord. Ablation of G α i2 causes deficits in motor neuron generation but no changes in motor neuron progenitor patterning or specification, consistent with a function for G α i2 in regulating motor neuron differentiation. We show that G α i2 function is mediated in part by its interaction with GDE2, a known regulator of motor neuron differentiation, and that disruption of the GDE2/G α i2 complex in vivo causes motor neuron deficits analogous to G α i2 ablation. G α i2 preferentially associates with GDE2 when bound to GDP, invoking GPCR-independent functions for G α i2 in the control of spinal motor neuron differentiation.

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

The generation of neuronal diversity in the central nervous system is critical for the formation of functional neural circuits. This complex process involves the integration of signals that trigger neuronal differentiation with those specifying neuronal fates to regulate the timely differentiation of distinct neuronal subtypes (Jessell, 2000; Kintner, 2002). Perturbation of the regulatory networks that control the transition from cell proliferation to differentiation can have serious consequences such as the depletion of progenitor pools, imbalances in neuronal components, tumor formation and ultimately, the disruption of neural networks (Bertram, 2000; Kintner, 2002). However, the molecular mechanisms that regulate the process of neuronal differentiation are still not well understood.

The G-protein subunit, G α plays diverse roles in the central and peripheral nervous system that include controlling key cognitive and sensory processes such as synaptic plasticity, pain, taste, and olfaction (Wettschurek et al., 2004; Malbon, 2005). These functions primarily involve their ability to mediate signals from a varied and large number of seven transmembrane G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) (Neer, 1995; Neves et al., 2002). G α proteins bind quiescent GPCRs in an inactive GDP-bound form that associates in a complex with G β and G γ subunits. However, upon activation of GPCRs through chemical or physical stimulation, the G α subunit undergoes a GDP to GTP exchange

that causes the G α /G β /G γ heterotrimer to release from the GPCR, and dissociate into an active G α -GTP monomer and a G β /G γ dimer. The G α -GTP subunit subsequently binds to downstream targets or effector proteins, thereby functioning as a central component in GPCR signaling pathways (Neer, 1995; Neves et al., 2002). The G α subunit also controls the duration of the GPCR-mediated signal by its intrinsic GTPase activity. Cleavage of GTP to the GDP form inactivates G α , and results in the reassociation of the G α /G β /G γ heterotrimer with the GPCR. Although initially thought to facilitate G α binding to GPCRs, G β /G γ heterodimers also mediate GPCR signaling, albeit to a lesser extent than G α (Neer, 1995; Neves et al., 2002).

Interestingly, emerging studies provide evidence that G α i proteins have additional functions that are distinct from their roles in transducing GPCR signals. In *C. elegans*, *Drosophila* and vertebrates, G α i proteins function as important regulators of asymmetric cell division through their ability to orientate and position the mitotic spindle (Gotta and Ahringer 2001; Schaefer et al., 2001; Hampoelz and Knoblich, 2004; Afshar et al., 2004; Du and Macara, 2004). They mediate this function by associating with Pins (LGN in vertebrates) through the Pins GoLoco domain. In contrast to its function in GPCR signaling, the active form of G α i in spindle positioning is G α i-GDP, which binds to Pins/LGN instead of G α i-GTP (Schaefer et al., 2001; Hampoelz and Knoblich, 2004; Du and Macara, 2004). Pins/G α i complexes are also implicated in vesicle trafficking and localizing NMDA receptors to the cell membrane, a process central to synaptic plasticity (Sans et al., 2005; Knoblich, 2005). In this paradigm, G α i-GDP elevates the levels of the NMDA receptor subunit NR2B on the membrane in the presence of Pins, thereby increasing synaptic

* Corresponding author. Fax: +1 410 614 8423.

E-mail address: ssockan1@jhmi.edu (S. Sockanathan).

transmission. These two examples thus indicate that GDP-bound forms of G α i have important regulatory functions in the nervous system that are distinct from their direct roles in GPCR-mediated signaling.

Given the importance of G α proteins in nervous system function, we considered the possibility that G α i proteins may be required for regulating neuronal diversity. One model system where the molecular pathways that regulate neuronal differentiation and subtype specification are relatively well characterized is in developing spinal motor neurons (Jessell, 2000; Price and Briscoe, 2004). Spinal progenitors located in the ventricular zone (VZ) of the spinal cord are patterned into discrete dorsal–ventral domains through integrating sonic hedgehog (shh), fibroblast growth factor (FGF), and retinoic acid signals (RA) (Díez del Corral et al., 2003; Novitsch et al., 2003). Each progenitor domain expresses a unique profile of transcription factors that ultimately regulates their capacity to generate a particular neuronal subtype (Jessell, 2000; Price and Briscoe, 2004). In the case of motor neurons, RA signals induce the bHLH protein Olig2 in ventral progenitors, which acts as a key determinant of motor neuron identity by priming cells to implement motor neuron fate specification programs (Mizuguchi et al., 2001; Novitsch et al., 2001; Novitsch et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2005). RA subsequently initiates the differentiation of Olig2⁺ progenitors into postmitotic motor neurons through upregulating the expression of GDE2, a six transmembrane protein containing an extracellular glycerophosphodiester phosphodiesterase (GDPD) domain (Nogusa et al., 2004; Rao and Sockanathan, 2005; Yanaka, 2007; Yan et al., 2009). GDE2 GDPD activity triggers Olig2 downregulation, and synchronizes Ngn2-dependent neurogenic pathways and motor neuron fate specification networks to drive the differentiation of postmitotic motor neurons (Rao and Sockanathan, 2005; Yan et al., 2009).

Here, we investigate the expression of G α i proteins in spinal motor neurons and the function of G α i2 in motor neuron differentiation with respect to known regulators of motor neuron differentiation. We find that different members of the G α i family are expressed in differentiating and postmitotic motor neurons, suggesting sequential roles for G α i proteins in motor neuron development. Using loss of function and overexpression assays in the chick spinal cord, we show that G α i2 plays roles in regulating motor neuron differentiation, and that its function is mediated in part through its interaction with GDE2. Strikingly, G α i2 preferentially interacts with GDE2 when bound to GDP. These findings identify a role for G α i2 in spinal motor neuron development, and invoke GPCR-independent functions for G α i2 in regulating motor neuron differentiation.

Materials and methods

In situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry

Embryos were prepared for immunohistochemistry and *in situ* hybridization as described (Sockanathan and Jessell, 1998). Tissues were embedded in Tissue-Tek O.C.T. (Sakura Finetek) and 12- μ m serial sections were obtained. Primary antibodies used are as follows: K5 (rabbit anti-Isl1/2, 1:2500; guinea pig anti-Isl1/2, 1:10,000 (provided by T.M. Jessell); 4H9 (anti-Isl2, 1:100; 81.5C10 (anti-HB9/MNR2), 1:100 (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, [DHSB]); rabbit anti-MNR2, 1:8000 (provided by B. Novitsch); goat anti- β Gal, 1:3000 (Arnel); rabbit anti-GFP, 1:2000 (Molecular Probes); mouse anti-PCNA, 1:2000 (SIGMA); rat anti-BrdU 1:100 (Abcam); rabbit anti-phospho-Histone H3(Ser10), 1:200 (Milipore); mouse anti-Nkx6.1 F55A10, 1:50 (DHSB); mouse anti-Pax6 1:250 (DHSB); rabbit anti-Nkx2.2, 1:4000 (provided by T.M. Jessell); rabbit anti-Irx3, 1:8000 (provided by T.M. Jessell); mouse anti-Lim1/2 (1:1; DHSB). Images were captured using a Zeiss LSM 5 Pascal confocal microscope. *In situ* hybridization was performed as described (Shaeren-Wiemers and Gerfin-Moser, 1993). Quantitation of neuronal numbers was carried out using 5–10 sections/embryo from 5 embryos.

In ovo electroporation and siRNAs

All cDNAs were derived from the chick and subcloned into pCAGGS or a 250 bp fragment from the mouse HB9 promoter-based vector for *in ovo* electroporation (MN₆; Lee et al., 2004). For siRNA experiments, G α i2 siRNA duplexes were electroporated as previously described (Rao et al., 2004). G α i2 siRNA sequences (Dharmacon) are as follows: 5' ACAUCCAGAGCAAGUUUGAUU 3'; 3' UCAAACUUGCUCUGGAU-GUUU 5' Control DsRed siRNA sequences are as published in Rao et al. (2004).

Co-immunoprecipitation assays

Flag or 6XHis epitope tags were fused to the N-terminus of GDE2 or C-terminus of G α i2 and subcloned into pCAGGS or pCS2 vectors. Transiently transfected HEK293T cells were harvested and homogenized in lysis buffer using standard procedures (Yan et al., 2009). Lysates were incubated with anti-Flag M2 (Sigma) antibody and GammaBind G Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) or anti-Flag M2 (Sigma)-bound agarose beads overnight at 4 °C under constant rotation. After centrifugation and extensive washing, the precipitated proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and western blot using rabbit anti-His (Santa Cruz), anti-FLAG M2 or rabbit anti-GDE2 antibodies (Yan et al., 2009). For the GDP/AlF₄⁻ experiments, HEK293T cells were separately transfected with either Flag-GDE2 or G α i2-6xHis plasmids. Cells were lysed, using 0.1% TritonX lysis buffer (0.1% Triton-X100; 25 mM Tris-Cl, pH7.5; 150 mM NaCl; 10 mM MgCl₂; 1/200 SIGMA Proteinase Inhibitors), spun to remove debris, and supernatants transferred to fresh tubes. G α i2 lysates were split into aliquots, and water, GDP (10 μ M final), or NaF (10 mM final) + AlCl₃ (30 μ M final) were added. G α i2 lysates were incubated for 30 min at RT, prior to mixing with GDE2 lysates. Anti-Flag antibody was added to each tube, rotated at 4 °C for 3 h, spun, and supernatants were transferred to fresh tubes containing gamma-bind slurry (pretreated with 2% BSA and washed in lysis buffer). Beads and lysates were rotated 1 h at 4 °C, and washed 4 times with lysis buffer supplemented with appropriate concentrations of GDP or NaF/AlCl₃. Samples were eluted in sample buffer and run on 10% SDS/polyacrylamide gels, transferred to PVDF membranes, and bands visualized with ECL+ chemiluminescence autoradiography (Amersham).

Large scale co-immunoprecipitation experiments and LC-MS/MS analysis were performed as described in Yan et al. (2009). Rabbit anti-mGDE2 CT antibodies were used at dilutions 1:1000.

BrdU labeling

To calculate the proliferative index, electroporated embryos were exposed to BrdU for 30 min before dissection and processing as described above (Yan et al., 2009).

Results

G α s are expressed in developing spinal motor neurons

As a first step to define the function of G α i proteins in neuronal development, we examined the expression of three members of the G α i family in the ventral spinal cord of Hamburger Hamilton stage (St) 20 chick embryos: G α i2, G α i3, and G α i1. At this stage of development, cells at different stages of differentiation are present; progenitor cells are located medially in the VZ, newly differentiating cells are present in the intermediate zone (IZ), and fully differentiated motor neurons are beginning to settle in the lateral marginal zone (MZ) of the ventral horn (Hollyday, 2001). *In situ* hybridization analysis shows that G α i2 is expressed medially in ventral progenitors, newly differentiating and newly born motor neurons (Fig. 1B). In contrast, G α i3 is expressed in newly differentiating and postmitotic motor neurons, while G α i1 is

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2173959>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2173959>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)