Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/00121606)

Developmental Biology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/developmentalbiology

C. elegans Brat homologs regulate PAR protein-dependent polarity and asymmetric cell division

Vincent Hyenne ^{a,*}, Marianne Desrosiers ^a, Jean-Claude Labbé ^{a,b}

a Cell Division and Differentiation Laboratory, Institute of Research in Immunology and Cancer, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128, Succ Centre-ville Montréal, QC Canada H3C 3J7 ^b Department of Pathology and Cell Biology, Université de Montréal, Québec, Canada

article info abstract

Article history: Received for publication 9 April 2008 Revised 25 June 2008 Accepted 25 June 2008 Available online 4 July 2008

Keywords: Caenorhabditis elegans PAR proteins Embryonic polarity Asymmetric cell division

The evolutionary conserved PAR proteins control polarization and asymmetric division in many organisms. Recent work in Caenorhabditis elegans demonstrated that nos-3 and fbf-1/2 can suppress par-2(it5ts) lethality, suggesting that they participate in cell polarity by regulating the function of the anterior PAR-3/ PAR-6/PKC-3 proteins. In Drosophila embryos, Nanos and Pumilio are homologous to NOS-3 and FBF-1/2 respectively and control cell polarity by forming a complex with the tumor suppressor Brat to inhibit Hunchback mRNA translation. In this study, we investigated the possibility that Brat could control cell polarity and asymmetric cell division in C. elegans. We found that disrupting four of the five C. elegans Brat homologs (Cebrats) individually results in suppression of par-2(it5ts) lethality, indicating that these genes are involved in embryonic polarity. Two of the Cebrats, ncl-1 and nhl-2, partially restore the localization of PAR proteins at the cortex. While mutations in the four Cebrat genes do not severely impair polarity, they display polarity-associated defects. Surprisingly, these defects are absent from nos-3 mutants. Similarly, while nos-3 controls PAR-6 protein levels, this is not the case for any of the Cebrats. Our results, together with results from Drosophila, indicate that Brat family members function in generating cellular asymmetries and suggest that, in contrast to Drosophila embryos, the C. elegans homologs of Brat and Nanos could participate in embryonic polarity via distinct mechanisms.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

The PAR proteins (PAR-1 to -6 and PKC-3) play a central role during the establishment and maintenance of cell polarity in different cell types of many metazoans ([Macara, 2004; Suzuki and Ohno, 2006](#page--1-0)). Their function is essential in cells where polarization is a prerequisite for asymmetric cell division. In these cells, such as stem cells, PARdependent cell polarization controls the asymmetric localization of the mitotic spindle as well as the asymmetric segregation of cell fate determinants along the polarity axis ([Morrison and Kimble, 2006\)](#page--1-0). In Caenorhabditis elegans, polarization of the zygote along the anteroposterior axis leads to a displacement of the mitotic spindle toward the posterior pole and to the polarized segregation of cell fate determinants, such as the germline markers P granules that localize posteriorly ([Betschinger and Knoblich, 2004; Kemphues and Strome,](#page--1-0) [1997](#page--1-0)). As a consequence, asymmetric division of the C. elegans zygote results in the formation of two daughter cells with different sizes and fates: the larger anterior AB cell which generates most of the ectoderm, and the smaller posterior P_1 cell which gives rise to the endoderm and the germline. Loss of any of the 6 par genes or loss of pkc-3 results in loss of polarity, subsequent abnormal symmetric cell

E-mail address: Vincent.hyenne@umontreal.ca (V. Hyenne).

division and, ultimately, in embryonic lethality [\(Kemphues and](#page--1-0) [Strome, 1997\)](#page--1-0). Although there is clear evidence for the essential requirement for PAR proteins in polarity, their precise mechanism of action remains poorly understood.

Maintenance of polarity in the C. elegans zygote is achieved by the mutual inhibition of two groups of PAR proteins localized at the cortex: The PAR-3/PAR-6/PKC-3 complex at the anterior cortex of the embryo and the PAR-1/PAR-2 group at the posterior cortex ([Cuenca et](#page--1-0) [al., 2003; Munro et al., 2004](#page--1-0)). Equilibrium between these two groups is essential for the maintenance of embryonic polarity. Depletion of par-2 for instance, allows PAR-3/PAR-6/PKC to extend posteriorly and thus disrupts polarity, leading to embryonic lethality [\(Boyd et al.,](#page--1-0) [1996; Cuenca et al., 2003\)](#page--1-0). The lethality of par-2 mutants can be suppressed by depletion of any member of the anterior PAR-3/PAR-6/ PKC complex [\(Labbé et al., 2006; Watts et al., 1996](#page--1-0)), indicating that modulators of this complex can be identified for their capacity to suppress par-2 lethality. Recently, a screen for par-2(it5ts) suppressors identified several new genes involved in PAR-dependent cell polarity ([Labbé et al., 2006](#page--1-0)). This work showed that loss of function of nos-3 or $fbf-1/2$ restores polarity and viability in $par-2(it5ts)$ mutant animals, possibly by regulating the function of the anterior PAR-3/PAR-6/PKC complex. Interestingly, Drosophila homologs of nos-3 (Nanos) and fbf-1/2 (Pumilio) are also involved in the control of cell polarity. Nanos and Pumilio, together with the protein Brain Tumor (Brat), inhibit the

[⁎] Corresponding author. Fax: +1 514 343 6843.

^{0012-1606/\$} – see front matter © 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi[:10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.06.037](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.06.037)

Table 1

The value corresponds to embryonic viability, i.e. the average percentage of embryos that hatched over the total number of embryos ± standard error of the mean over three independent assays.

^a The value is significantly different ($p < 0.01$, Student t-test) from par-2 (it5ts) mutants. ND: not determined. See Materials and methods for details.

translation of Hunchback mRNA exclusively in the posterior part of the Drosophila embryo ([Sonoda and Wharton, 2001](#page--1-0)). Brat has also been identified as a tumor suppressor in Drosophila brains where its depletion disrupts asymmetric neuroblasts division [\(Arama et al.,](#page--1-0) [2000](#page--1-0)). In these stem cells, although Brat is asymmetrically localized, it is not involved in the PAR-dependent polarization process per se, but rather in the cell fate choice of the daughter cell committed to differentiation [\(Betschinger et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2006](#page--1-0)). These observations raised the possibility that a complex homologous to the Drosophila Nanos–Pumilio–Brat complex could control PAR-dependent embryonic polarity in C. elegans by translational inhibition.

Here we studied the involvement of C. elegans Brat homologs in embryonic polarity and their interaction with the nos-3 pathway. Of the five Brat homologs identified in C. elegans, none of them have been implicated in cell polarity so far, and only two of them have previously been characterized: lin-41 is a heterochronic gene involved in the larvaladult transition [\(Slack et al., 2000](#page--1-0)), whereas ncl-1 functions as a cell growth inhibitor and was shown to be the functional ortholog of Drosophila Brat [\(Frank et al., 2002; Frank and Roth, 1998](#page--1-0)). Our results show that four of the five Brat homologs, including ncl-1, are involved in PARdependent embryonic polarity and asymmetric cell division and that they modulate PAR protein cortical localization. We found that embryos mutant for these Brat homologs displayed polarity-associated defects that were absent from nos-3 mutants. Interestingly, we observed that while nos-3 is required to maintain high levels of PAR-6 protein in the embryo, this was not the case for any of the animals mutant for Brat homologs. Taken together, our results indicate that Brat family members play conserved roles in regulating asymmetric cell division and suggest that, in contrast with the situation in Drosophila embryo, C. elegans Brat homologs could function independently of nos-3 to control PARdependent embryonic polarity.

Materials and methods

Strains and alleles

All strains were maintained as described by [Brenner \(1974\)](#page--1-0) and were grown at 15 °C unless otherwise stated. The wild-type strain was the N2 Bristol strain. The alleles used in this study were LGI: lin-41(ma104); LGII: nos-3(q650), nhl-3(tm2516); LGIII: par-2(it5ts), nhl-2(ok818), ncl-1 (e1942), nhl-1(gk15). The nhl-2(ok818) deletion allele and ncl-1(e1942) point mutation allele can be considered as null alleles since they induce a strong decrease in their respective protein expression levels ([Frank et](#page--1-0) [al., 2002; Frank and Roth, 1998](#page--1-0) and this study [Fig. 4](#page--1-0)C). nhl-1(gk15) and nhl-3(tm2516) are predicted to result in the truncation of NHL domains and lin-41(ma104) has not been characterized molecularly. At 25 °C, par-2(it5ts) represents a strong loss of function but not a null allele of par-2 (Table 1 and [Figs. 4D](#page--1-0) and E).

Mutant strains were generated by genetic crosses. The presence of par-2(it5ts) mutation in each double, triple and quadruple mutant strain was determined by non-complementation assay at 25 °C. The presence of mutant alleles for nos-3(q650), nhl-3(tm2516), nhl-2 (ok818) and nhl-1(gk15) in each mutant strains was assessed by PCR using pairs of primers specific for each locus. The presence of ncl-1 (e1942) mutant allele in each strain was assessed by microscopy with the observation of an enlarged nucleoli in early embryos and further confirmed by genotyping. The presence of lin-41(ma104) mutant allele in lin-41(ma104); par-2(it5ts) double mutants was confirmed by the presence of the linked dumpy phenotype.

Protein analysis and phylogenetic tree

Drosophila Brat protein sequence was retrieved using Ensembl (release 49). Sequences of C. elegans Brat homologs were retrieved from Wormbase (release WS190). Protein domains were identified using SMART ([Letunic et al., 2006; Schultz et al., 1998](#page--1-0)). A phylogenetic tree was generated by aligning Brat homolog sequences from C. elegans, Drosophila melanogaster and Homo sapiens using ClustalW and phylogenetic reconstruction was performed using PHYML with the maximum likelihood method and the JTT substitution model.

Suppression assays

For mutant animals, L4 animals from each strain were shifted to semi-restrictive (20 °C) or fully restrictive (25 °C) temperature for 24h. Nine worms were transferred to three plates (three worms per plate) and allowed to lay eggs at the same temperature for 16h before being removed. The viability of the progeny was determined after incubating another 24h at the same temperature, by dividing the number of hatched embryos by the total number of progeny.

For par-2(RNAi) and fem-3(RNAi) assays, the viability of the progeny was determined as described above except that animals were maintained on plates containing bacteria expressing dsRNA for par-2 or fem-3 ([Kamath et al., 2003\)](#page--1-0).

Time-lapse analysis of embryonic development

For the visualization of early embryonic development in live specimens, embryos were obtained by cutting open gravid hermaphrodites using two 25-gauge needles and individually mounted on a coverslip coated with 0,1% poly-L-lysine in 2μl of egg buffer. The coverslip was placed on a 2% agarose pad and the edge was sealed with petroleum jelly. Time-lapse images were acquired by a Zeiss HRM camera (Carl Zeiss Canada Ltd., Toronto, Canada) mounted on a Zeiss Axio-Imager Z1 microscope, and the acquisition system was controlled by Axiovision software. Images were acquired using Plan Apochromat 63×/1.4 NA or 100×/1.4 NA objectives, at 10s intervals, except to determine the timing between AB and P_1 divisions in experiments described in [Table 2](#page--1-0) where images were acquired at 2s intervals. Image analysis was performed using ImageJ software.

Indirect immunofluorescence

For immunofluorescence analysis, embryos were fixed in methanol and stained as described previously [\(Labbé et al., 2004](#page--1-0)). The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-PAR-1 (1:500, generated as described in [Guo and Kemphues, 1995\)](#page--1-0), rabbit anti-PAR-6 (1:150, generated as described in [Hung and Kemphues, 1999](#page--1-0)), rabbit anti-PAR-2 (1:20, [Labbé et al., 2006](#page--1-0)), goat anti-PAR-2 (1:150, Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-9277), mouse P4A1 anti-PAR-3 (1:150) and mouse OIC1D4 anti-P granules (1:300) (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, University of Iowa). Polyclonal antisera recognizing the GST-NCL-1(25–377) or GST-NHL-2(153–500) fusion proteins were respectively produced in two rabbits (GN-19) by Sigma Genosys (Woodlands,

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2174363>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2174363>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com/)