



Benzodiazepine-dependent stabilization of GABA_A receptors at synapses



Géraldine Gouzer¹, Christian G. Specht, Laure Allain, Toru Shinoe², Antoine Triller^{*}

Ecole Normale Supérieure, Institut de Biologie de l'ENS IBENS, INSERM U1024, CNRS 8197, Biologie Cellulaire de la Synapse 46 rue d'Ulm, Paris 75005, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 17 May 2014

Revised 8 October 2014

Accepted 15 October 2014

Available online 4 November 2014

Keywords:

GABA_AR

Diffusion

Trapping

Benzodiazepine

Gephyrin

ABSTRACT

GABA_A receptors constitutively enter and exit synapses by lateral diffusion in the plane of the neuronal membrane. They are trapped at synapses through their interactions with gephyrin, the main scaffolding protein at inhibitory post-synaptic densities. Previous work has shown that the synaptic accumulation and diffusion dynamics of GABA_ARs are controlled via excitatory synaptic activity. However, it remains unknown whether GABA_AR activity can itself impact the surface trafficking of the receptors. Here we report the effects of GABA_AR agonists, antagonists and allosteric modulators on the receptor's surface dynamics. Using immunocytochemistry and single particle tracking experiments on mouse hippocampal neurons, we show that the agonist muscimol decreases GABA_AR and gephyrin levels at synapses and accelerates the receptor's lateral diffusion within 30–120 min of treatment. In contrast, the GABA_AR antagonist gabazine increased GABA_AR amounts and slowed down GABA_AR diffusion at synapses. The response to GABA_AR activation or inhibition appears to be an adaptive regulation of GABAergic synapses. Surprisingly, the positive allosteric modulator diazepam abolished the regulation induced by muscimol, and this effect was observed on $\alpha 1$, $\alpha 2$, $\alpha 5$ and $\gamma 2$ GABA_AR subunits. Altogether these results indicate that diazepam stabilizes synaptic GABA_ARs and thus prevents the agonist-induced regulation of GABA_AR levels at synapses. This occurred independently of neuronal activity and intracellular calcium and involved GABA_AR–gephyrin interactions, suggesting that the changes in GABA_AR diffusion depend on conformational changes of the receptor. Our study provides a new molecular mechanism involved in the adaptive response to changes in GABA_AR activity and benzodiazepine treatments.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

GABA_A receptors (GABA_ARs) mediate most fast inhibitory transmission in the brain. These chloride-selective ligand-gated ion channels are pentameric complexes typically composed of two α , two β and one γ subunit. GABA_ARs form clusters in the post-synaptic membrane in apposition to pre-synaptic GABA release sites. They are activated by the binding of two agonists on the GABA binding sites at the interface of the α and β subunits. GABA_ARs are molecular targets for benzodiazepines (BZ), allosteric positive modulators that are widely used for their anti-convulsant, anxiolytic, sedative and myorelaxant effects.

Neurotransmitter receptors randomly explore the surface of neurons and can be transiently trapped at synapses via their interaction with underlying scaffolding proteins, as shown for GABA_ARs, glycine receptors, and glutamate receptors (Gerrow and Triller, 2010; Tretter et al., 2012). Together, diffusion and trapping determine the number of receptors present at synapses and thus the synaptic strength (Triller

and Choquet, 2008). Receptor stabilization depends on both the number of post-synaptic partners as well as the affinity between receptors and these partners (Gerrow and Triller, 2010). It is well established that the scaffold protein gephyrin plays a crucial role in GABA_AR accumulation and GABA_AR surface dynamics at inhibitory synapses (Tretter et al., 2012). Recent studies have shown that gephyrin directly interacts with GABA_AR $\alpha 1$, $\alpha 2$ and $\alpha 3$ subunits and the binding affinities of these interactions have been characterized (Tretter et al., 2008; Saiepour et al., 2010; Mukherjee et al., 2011).

Importantly, studies in cultured neurons have correlated changes in the lateral diffusion of the receptor with rapid (<1 h) remodeling of excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmission, suggesting that lateral diffusion provides a rapid mechanism to adapt receptor localization at synapses. In particular, the level of neuronal activity tunes the dynamics and accumulation of excitatory receptors as well as that of inhibitory receptors (Gerrow and Triller, 2010). More precisely, enhanced excitatory activity regulates GABA_AR diffusion in an anti-homeostatic manner, resulting in a loss of GABA_ARs at inhibitory synapses (Bannai et al., 2009; Niwa et al., 2012). Such regulation has important implications for neuronal activity and could be part of the mechanisms involved in the onset of long term potentiation (Lu et al., 2000).

However, it remains unknown whether GABA_AR activity itself could directly impact the surface trafficking of the receptors at inhibitory synapses. Using a pharmacological approach in hippocampal cultures, we

^{*} Corresponding author at: Institut de Biologie de l'ENS, INSERM U1024, 46 rue d'Ulm, Paris 75005, France.

E-mail address: triller@biologie.ens.fr (A. Triller).

¹ Present address: Department of Biochemistry, Weill Cornell Medical College, 1300 York Avenue, New York, 10065 NY, USA.

² Present address: Brain Science Institute, RIKEN, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako-shi, 351-0198 Saitama, Japan.

investigated the rapid effects (30–120 min) of GABA_AR activity on the lateral diffusion and synaptic accumulation of GABA_ARs. We show that GABA_AR agonists and antagonists have opposite effects on the lateral diffusion of GABA_ARs containing the $\alpha 1$, $\alpha 2$, $\alpha 5$ and $\gamma 2$ subunits and on the accumulation of these receptors at synapses. Moreover, benzodiazepines (BZs) had an unexpected stabilizing effect on synaptic GABA_ARs in the presence of the agonist. We provide evidence for a receptor-autonomous regulation of GABA_AR diffusion by BZs. We propose that the action of BZs is due to changes in receptor–scaffold interactions, and is mediated by conformational changes induced by the binding of BZs to GABA_ARs.

2. Results

The goal of this study was to determine whether GABA_AR activity and allosteric modulation could alter the dynamics and the synaptic localization of the receptor itself. To this aim, we combined single particle tracking (SPT) of GABA_AR diffusion with immunocytochemical analysis of the synaptic receptor levels in dissociated hippocampal neurons. Hippocampal cultures were derived from knock-in mice expressing endogenous mRFP–gephyrin (Machado et al., 2011). Experiments were performed at 21–27 days in vitro (DIV), by which time the cultures exhibit mature GABAergic synapses and inhibitory GABAergic currents (Khirug et al., 2005). All experiments were performed in the presence of tetrodotoxin (TTX) to block action potentials and minimize network activity.

2.1. GABA_AR activity modulates the levels of GABA_ARs and gephyrin at inhibitory synapses

We investigated the effect of receptor activity on the synaptic accumulation of GABA_ARs using the agonist muscimol (10 μ M) and the competitive antagonist gabazine (1 μ M). Both drugs are specific ligands of the GABA binding site of the receptor (Sieghart, 1995). Previous FRAP experiments on GABA_ARs have shown that only ~20% of synaptic GABA_ARs are renewed within 1 h (Jacob et al., 2005). The fact that the flux of receptors between synaptic and extrasynaptic compartments is low at steady state means that small changes in this balance will take time to impact the concentration of receptors at synapses (Lévi et al., 2008). Therefore, changes in GABA_AR levels will be more pronounced after longer incubation times (>1 h) and thus more easily detected by immunolabeling. The GABA_AR levels were quantified after 2 h of drug treatment by immunocytochemistry using antibodies against GABA_AR $\alpha 2$, one of the most prominent α subunit in hippocampal pyramidal neurons (Sperk et al., 1997). Inhibitory synapses were identified using the inhibitory presynaptic marker VGAT (Fig. 1A). We found that muscimol treatment reduced GABA_AR immunoreactivity at synapses (Fig. 1A, B). When compared with untreated neurons, GABA_AR immunoreactivity was decreased to $84.8 \pm 2.1\%$ (Fig. 1C). In contrast, gabazine treatment increased GABA_AR cluster immunoreactivity to $130.6 \pm 4.8\%$ (Fig. 1C). Muscimol or gabazine treatments did not alter the density of GABA_AR clusters (values normalized to control, Mu, $104 \pm 5\%$, Gbz, $105 \pm 4\%$, Fig. 1D). Thus, GABA_AR activity appears to control the accumulation of GABA_ARs at inhibitory synapses.

Since GABA_AR $\alpha 2$ containing receptors are stabilized at synapses through a direct interaction with the scaffold protein gephyrin (Tretter et al., 2008) we investigated whether the changes in GABA_AR clustering were associated with changes in the synaptic gephyrin levels. The intensity of mRFP–gephyrin clusters that colocalized with the synaptic marker VGAT was quantified in the above set of experiments (Fig. 1D–F). We found that muscimol reduced the intensity of gephyrin clusters to $85.7 \pm 2.6\%$, whereas gabazine increased the intensity of gephyrin clusters to $120.5 \pm 5.9\%$ (Fig. 1F). Consistent with previous reports (Vlachos et al., 2013), the treatments had no effect on the density of gephyrin clusters (Fig. 1H), demonstrating that the number of inhibitory synapses remained unchanged (values normalized to control, Mu, $102 \pm 4\%$, Gbz,

$88 \pm 6\%$). Taken together, these data indicate that GABA_AR agonists and antagonists regulate the receptor numbers at inhibitory synapses and equally alter the synaptic levels of gephyrin.

2.2. Short-term modulation of GABA_AR activity alters receptor diffusion and confinement at synapses

To test whether the changes of receptor numbers at synapses involve a regulation of GABA_AR expression at the plasma membrane, we carried out surface biotinylation experiments on hippocampal cultures treated for 2 h with muscimol or gabazine in the presence of TTX (Fig. 2). The ratio of surface to total receptor was unchanged by muscimol or gabazine treatments. In contrast, long-term treatment (24 h) with TTX reduced the surface/total receptor ratio (Fig. 2), in agreement with previous reports (Saliba et al., 2007). These data suggest that GABA_AR agonists and antagonists do not affect the levels of GABA_AR at the plasma membrane within short time scales.

An alternative mechanism for the regulation of synaptic GABA_AR levels is the dynamic exchange between synaptic and extrasynaptic receptors (Thomas et al., 2005; Bannai et al., 2009). Agonist binding and receptor activation may constitute a fast and efficient mechanism by which the diffusion and local trapping of receptors by scaffolding proteins could be regulated. In this model, changes in the diffusion properties and exchange rates necessarily precede and have a cumulative effect on the levels of GABA_ARs at synapses seen in immunofluorescence experiments. We have therefore studied the consequences of short-term (30 min) enhancement or blockade of GABA_AR activity on the diffusion properties of the receptor. The movement of GABA_AR $\alpha 2$ subunits was tracked using quantum dots (QD) and single particle tracking (SPT). In neurons derived from mRFP–gephyrin knock-in mice, the majority of mRFP–gephyrin clusters were apposed to inhibitory presynaptic boutons ($90.4 \pm 1\%$ apposed to VGAT, $n = 15$ neurons). Clusters of mRFP–gephyrin were therefore used for the identification of inhibitory synapses in SPT experiments. The movement outside synapses was typically Brownian, whereas a confined type of motion was observed within synapses (Fig. 3A). Because the diffusion rates of QD–receptors span over four orders of magnitude and vary between cultures, treated conditions were systematically compared to internal control experiments done under identical conditions and on the same day. We compared the diffusion properties of GABA_ARs in the presence of specific agonists and antagonists by measuring the diffusion coefficients D of the synaptic and extrasynaptic receptor populations. This analysis revealed that at synapses, the agonist muscimol (Mu) accelerated the diffusion of GABA_AR $\alpha 2$ (control, $D_{\text{med}} = 9.6 \times 10^{-3} \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$, Mu, $D_{\text{med}} = 15.5 \times 10^{-3} \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$, Fig. 3B1). No significant effect was seen in the extrasynaptic compartment (control, $D_{\text{med}} = 2.3 \times 10^{-2} \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$, $n = 1616$; Mu, $D_{\text{med}} = 2.5 \times 10^{-2} \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$, $n = 1582$), suggesting that muscimol specifically affected the receptor's displacements at synapses. In contrast, the antagonist gabazine (Gbz) significantly decreased GABA_AR $\alpha 2$ diffusion coefficients at synapses (control, $D_{\text{med}} = 3.7 \times 10^{-3} \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$, Gbz, $D_{\text{med}} = 2.1 \times 10^{-3} \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$, Fig. 3B2). This treatment also reduced the diffusion coefficients of extrasynaptic GABA_AR $\alpha 2$ (control, $D_{\text{med}} = 6.8 \times 10^{-3} \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$, $n = 954$; Gbz, $D_{\text{med}} = 3.3 \times 10^{-3} \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$, $n = 1155$). Several studies have shown concomitant changes in synaptic and extrasynaptic diffusion velocity following the induction of different forms of synaptic plasticity (Lévi et al., 2008; Bannai et al., 2009; Charrier et al., 2010). Whether extrasynaptic gephyrin and/or other partners of GABA_AR are involved in the slower diffusion in extrasynaptic membranes remains to be explored.

We further analyzed the synaptic trajectories using the mean squared displacement (MSD) as a function of time (Fig. 3C). The confined diffusion observed for synaptic receptors is characterized by a curved MSD versus time plot, where the MSD values rise slower at higher intervals (i.e. greater confinement). In the presence of muscimol the confinement of GABA_ARs was notably decreased (greater MSD values, Fig. 3C1), whereas the confinement was increased by gabazine

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2198463>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2198463>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)