

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Food Engineering 74 (2006) 292-298

JOURNAL OF FOOD ENGINEERING

www.elsevier.com/locate/jfoodeng

Optimum heat pump in drying systems with waste heat recovery

M.S. Söylemez *

Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Gaziantep, 27310 Gaziantep, Turkey

Received 16 September 2004; accepted 7 March 2005 Available online 25 April 2005

Abstract

A thermo economic optimization analysis is presented yielding simple algebraic formula for estimating the optimum operating conditions of heat pump with auxiliary heating that are used in drying applications. A simple economic analysis method is used in the present study, together with the thermal analyses of all system components, for thermo economic analysis of the system. © 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Thermo economics; Heat pump; Drying; Waste heat recovery; Optimization

1. Introduction

Optimization of the operating temperatures and the sizes of system elements for heat pump applications is extremely significant in order to get maximum earnings and yielding to minimum cost for these systems. There exist many parameters in optimizing heat pump systems with auxiliary heating in drying systems as depicted in Fig. 1 in a thermo economical manner. Fixing and, so eliminating all these thermal and economical parameters, except the main operating temperatures, T_1 , and T_3 , depending on the certainty of operating characteristics of applications and the most efficient operating condition of the system, can determine optimum operating temperatures. The importance of energy saving application is increasing continuously, and heat pump driers with waste heat recovering systems may be employed for this purpose with a similar idea to cogeneration systems. It is known that the performance of these types of systems is directly related to its operating temperatures and so the capacity of the system components together with initial and operating costs. A thermo economic fea-

pends upon this idea. A new thermo economic optimization technique is realized and presented for this purpose. An original formula is developed for calculating the optimum operating condition of the system at which minimum life cycle system cost occurs. A thorough search of the current literature showed that there were no previous studies on optimizing the heat pump systems for obtaining maximum thermo economic performance from these systems in detail. A practical method, the $P_1 - P_2$ method that was presented by Duffie and Beckman (1980, Chapter 11), is used for optimizing the operating temperatures of heat pump driers yielding to the best economy, and original interesting results are presented. Variable parameters used in formulating the thermo economically optimum operating temperatures of the system are listed as technical life of the system, first cost of the systems elements per unit capacity or area, annual interest rate, present net price of energy and electricity, annual energy price rate, required drier capacity, design temperature for the evaporator and the condenser of the system due to the design limitations, overall heat transfer coefficient of the evap-

orator, condensers and regenerative heat exchanger,

sibility study is necessary before installing the combination of heat pump driven drying systems including waste heat recovery. The basic topic of the present work de-

^{*} Tel.: +90 342 3601200; fax: +90 342 3601100. *E-mail address:* sait@gantep.edu.tr

Nomenclature			
а	coefficient of polynomial equation, Eq. (38)	K	fixed parameter defined as in Eq. (33)
A	fixed parameter defined as in Eq. (26)	m	mass flow rate of air (kg/s)
$A_{\rm C}$	area of heat transfer surface of the condenser (m^2)	$M_{ m S}$	ratio of annual maintenance and operation cost into first original cost
$A_{ m E}$	area of heat transfer surface of the evaporator (m ²)	<i>N</i> NTU	technical life of the heat pump system (year) number of transfer units
A_{HX}	area of heat transfer surface of the regenerative heat exchanger (m ²)	OC_{comp} OC_{H}	life cycle operation cost of the compressor (\$) life cycle operation cost of the auxiliary hea-
b	coefficient of polynomial equation, Eq. (38)		ter (\$)
B	fixed parameter defined as in Eq. (27)	P_1	ratio of the life cycle energy cost or savings to
b	coefficient of polynomial equation, Eq. (38)		that for the first year (year)
c	coefficient of polynomial equation, Eq. (38)	P_2	ratio of the life cycle expenditures incurred
C	fixed parameter defined as in Eq. (28)		because of the additional capital investment
C_{C}	area dependent first cost of the condenser (\$/		to the initial investment
	m^2)	$Q_{ m D}$	design sensible heating capacity of the drier
$C_{\mathbf{D}}$	capacity dependent first cost of the drier (\$/		(kW)
	kW)	$Q_{ m E}$	cooling capacity of evaporator (kW)
$C_{ m E}$	area dependent first cost of the evaporator (\$/	Q_{H}	heating capacity of the auxiliary heater (kW)
	m^2)	$R_{ m V}$	ratio of resale value to the first original cost
$C_{ m EL}$	cost of electricity [\$/(kW h)]	TC	total cost of the system (\$)
$C_{ m EN}$	cost of energy used in auxiliary heater [\$/	$T_{ m C}$	condensing temperature (K)
	(kW h)]	T_{D}	design temperature of inlet air when entering
C_{H}	capacity dependent first cost of the auxiliary heater (\$/kW)	$T_{ m E}$	to drier (K) design temperature of evaporator (K)
$C_{ m HX}$	area dependent first cost of the regenerative	T_0	inlet temperature of ambient air (K)
	heat exchanger (\$/m ²)	T_1	temperature of air entering into auxiliary
C_P	specific heat of air [kJ/(kg K)]		heater (K)
C_Q	capacity dependent first cost of the heat pump system (\$/kW)	$T_{1,\text{opt}}$	optimum temperature of air entering into auxiliary heater (K)
COP	coefficient of performance of the heat pump	T_2	temperature of air leaving the drier (K)
	based on evaporator capacity	T_3	temperature of circulating air leaving the
COP_C	coefficient of performance of the equivalent		evaporator (K)
	Carnot refrigeration cycle	$T_{3,\text{opt}}$	optimum temperature of air leaving the evap-
D	fixed parameter defined as in Eq. (29)	, 1	orator (K)
d	market discount rate in fraction	T_4	temperature of circulating air entering the
E	fixed parameter defined as in Eq. (30)		evaporator (K)
F	fixed parameter defined as in Eq. (31)	$U_{ m C}$	overall heat transfer coefficient of the con-
G	fixed parameter defined as in Eq. (32)		denser $[kW/(m^2 K)]$
H	annual time of operation (h/year)	$U_{ m E}$	overall heat transfer coefficient of the evapo-
i	energy price rate in fraction		rator $[kW/(m^2 K)]$
IC_C	initial cost of the condenser (\$)	$U_{ m HX}$	overall heat transfer coefficient of the regen-
IC_{comp}	initial cost of the compressor (\$)		erative heat exchanger [kW/(m ² K)]
IC_D	initial cost of the drier (\$)	$W_{\rm comp}$	power input to the compressor (kW)
IC_E	initial cost of the evaporator (\$)	$\epsilon_{ m E}$	effectiveness of the evaporator
IC_H	initial cost of the auxiliary heater (\$)	$\epsilon_{ m C}$	effectiveness of the condenser
IC_{HX}	initial cost of the regenerative heat exchanger	ϵ_{HX}	effectiveness of the regenerative heat exchan-
	/XX		gor

design temperatures for drier together with ambient air and exhaust air temperatures, mass flow rate and specific heat of air, annual operating time, resale value and the ratio of annual maintenance and operation cost

(\$)

to the original cost. Additionally, optimum net cost of the system and optimal sizes or capacities of all system components together with additionally required water flow rate for after condenser are obtained algebraically

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/226425

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/226425

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>