



Extraction of natural compounds using supercritical CO₂: Going from the laboratory to the industrial application



José M. del Valle ^{a,b,*}

^a Department of Chemical and Bioprocess Engineering, Pontificia Universidad Católica (UC) de Chile, Avda. Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Macul, Santiago, Chile

^b ASIS-UC Interdisciplinary Research Program on Tasty and Healthy Foods, UC, Santiago, Chile

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 July 2014

Received in revised form

28 September 2014

Accepted 1 October 2014

Available online 8 October 2014

Keywords:

Extraction

Industrial plant

Optimal extraction time

Prepressed oilseed

Process design

Production cost

Supercritical CO₂

Vegetable oil

ABSTRACT

Despite industrial application for almost four decades, there is reluctance in some world regions to adopt supercritical (sc) CO₂ extraction because of the wrong perception that it is not fully competitive. To refute this misconception, this manuscript analyzes economics of scCO₂ extraction of vegetable oil from prepressed seeds. Selection of this application was due to the availability of a predictive mathematical model of the extraction process applicable for simulation purposes; inner microstructural changes of oilseeds during prepressing allow their extraction according to a shrinking core hypothesis. The predictive model has as its single parameter a particle-size and scCO₂-condition-independent microstructural mass transfer factor that can be best-fitted to laboratory extractions, existing literature correlations to estimate other model parameters, such as the axial dispersion in packed beds operating with supercritical fluids, and the solubility of vegetable oils in scCO₂. On the other hand, there is a need to correlate literature data for the film mass transfer coefficient to unveil the factors responsible for experimental data scattering. Because laboratory or pilot plant runs in single-extraction-vessel units cannot produce the simulated countercurrent contact in an industrial plant having ≥ 3 extraction vessels, mathematical simulation provides the relationship between oil yield and extraction time that can anchor precise estimations of extraction cost. Analysis of results unveiled differences in optimal extraction time (for minimal extraction cost) between production costs estimated in this work and the operational costs informed before. Because the operational cost does not include the capital cost of the industrial plant, the need appears to reduce its contribution to the total cost by increasing plant productivity. This is achieved reducing extraction time, which negatively influences oil yield.

To make further progress in the optimization of industrial scCO₂ extraction processes, this manuscript proposes refining the mathematical simulation approach, and studying those technical constraints whose manifestations become more prevalent on scale-up. Mathematical simulation can be adapted to alternative, sample-pretreatment dependent mass transfer mechanisms in the solid matrix. It can be refined also to account for the size distribution of the substrate, radial changes in superficial scCO₂ velocity, axial changes in pressure, and radial/axial changes in temperature resulting from heterogeneous packing, pressure drop, and/or heat transfer from/to extraction vessel walls that may influence large-scale extractions. Large-scale experiments will allow studying these phenomena, as well as technical constraints to the decrease in particle size, increase in scCO₂ velocity, and decrease in extraction time imposed by the agglomeration and decrease in packed bed permeability of the substrate, and thermal effects during reconditioning of extraction vessels. The latter effects should be included as restrictions in the optimization of the extraction process, which may limit the extraction rate and the size or number of extraction vessel that impact economics positively. Close collaboration with industry will facilitate tackling large-scale problems, as well as refining estimates of plant cost as a function of its size and/or configuration.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author at: Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Dept Chemical & Bioprocess Engineering, Avda Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Macul, Santiago, Chile.

Tel.: +56 2 3544418; fax: +56 2 3545803.

E-mail address: delvalle@ing.puc.cl

Nomenclature

Variables and parameters

C	Solute concentration in the supercritical phase (g/kg oil/CO ₂)
C_B	cost of a batch per unit volume of the extraction vessel (USD/m ³)
$C_B^{CO_2-in-oil}$	unitary cost of dissolved CO ₂ lost with recovered solute in separator (USD/kg extracted oil)
C_C	unitary cost of eliminated heat (USD/GJ)
C_{CO_2}	unitary cost of CO ₂ (USD/kg)
C_H	unitary cost of heat (USD/GJ)
C_{DP}	unitary cost of depressurization (USD/kg CO ₂)
C_E	unitary cost of electricity (USD/GJ)
C_{H_1}	unitary cost of heat (USD/GJ)
C_I	annual cost of the installed SFE plant (USD)
C_L	unitary annual cost of labor (USD/yr per worker)
C_{RP}	unitary cost of repressurization (USD/kg CO ₂)
C_{oil}	solute content in the substrate at the end of the extraction (kg/kg oil/substrate)
C_{oil}^0	initial solute content in the substrate (kg/kg oil/substrate)
C_S	cost of the substrate (USD/yr)
C_{sat}	solubility of oil in scCO ₂ at extraction conditions (g/kg oil/CO ₂)
C_{sat}^0	solubility of oil in scCO ₂ at reference (T_0, ρ_0) conditions (g/kg oil/CO ₂)
C_{SC}	unitary cost of the solvent cycle (USD/kg CO ₂)
C_{sep}	solubility of oil in CO ₂ at separation conditions (g/kg oil/CO ₂)
D	inner diameter of the extraction vessel (m)
D_{12}	binary diffusivity of the solute in scCO ₂ (m ² /s)
D_c	cell size in seed tissue (m)
D_e	effective diffusivity of the solute in the substrate (m ² /s)
D_L	axial dispersion coefficient of scCO ₂ in the packed bed (m ² /s)
d_p	particle diameter of the substrate (m)
e_c	cold media requirement of the solvent cycle (kJ/kg CO ₂)
e_E	electric power requirement of the solvent cycle (kJ/kg CO ₂)
e_H	heat requirement of the solvent cycle (kJ/kg CO ₂)
F_M	microstructural correction factor (-)
g	earth's standard acceleration due to gravity (9.81 m/s ²)
Gr	dimensionless Grashof number (-)
h_i	specific enthalpy of the CO ₂ stream in condition "i" of the solvent cycle (kJ/kg CO ₂)
H	height of the extraction vessel (m)
I	cost of a SFE plant (USD)
I_r	cost of a reference SFE plant having two 1000-L extraction vessels and a solvent cycle operating with 6000 kg/h of CO ₂ (USD)
k	association number (CO ₂ molecules forming a solvato complex with a single solute molecule)
k_f	external (film) mass transfer coefficient (m/s)
M	molecular weight of the lipid molecule (g/mol)
n	number of extraction vessels in a SFE plant
N	number of extraction batches produced in a year
n_L	number of workers required to operate the SFE plant
P	pressure of extraction or separation (MPa)
P_0	reference pressure (30 MPa)
Q	mass flow rate of CO ₂ in the solvent cycle (t/h)

r	annual rate of discount (%)
R	universal gas constant (8.314J/(molK) ⁻¹)
Re	dimensionless Reynolds number (-)
Sc	dimensionless Schmidt number (-)
Sh	dimensionless Sherwood number (-)
s_i	specific entropy of the CO ₂ stream in condition "i" of the solvent cycle (kJ/kg CO ₂)
t	Extraction time (h)
T	temperature of extraction or separation (°C)
t_e	optimal extraction time in the SFE plant (h)
t_p	annual production time of the SFE plant (h)
t_s	optimal switch time in the SFE plant (h)
T_0	reference temperature (313 K)
U	superficial velocity of the CO ₂ in the packed bed (m/s)
V_E	volume of the extraction vessels (m ³ or L)
$w_{CO_2}^{oil}$	weight fraction of CO ₂ in the oil at separation conditions (kg/kg CO ₂ /oil)
Y	yield of extraction (% of oil)

Greek letters

α	fraction of free solute in pretreated seed (-)
β	fraction of the cost of a reference SFE plant having two 1000-L extraction vessels and operating using 6000 kg/h of CO ₂ that is due to the solvent cycle (-)
δ	thickness of superficial layer of broken cells in milled seeds (m)
ΔH	total (vaporization plus dissolution) heat required to synthesize a solvato complex (J/mol)
$\Delta \rho$	density difference between a supercritical fluid phase saturated with solute and the pure solvent (kg/m ³)
ε	void fraction of the packed bed (intraparticle porosity) (-)
ε_{oil}	absolute volume fraction of oil in the packed bed (-)
$\bar{\varepsilon}^0$	volume fraction of oil in the substrate in a void-free basis (-)
ε_p^0	initial void fraction of the substrate (intraparticle porosity) (-)
ε_s	absolute volume fraction of nonfat solids in the substrate in the packed bed (-)
$\bar{\varepsilon}_s$	volume fraction of nonfat solids in the substrate in a void-free basis (-)
ε_T	absolute void volume fraction (inter-plus intraparticle porosity) of the bed (-)
ε^0	initial value of ε_T (-)
η_e	electric efficiency of the motor of the pump or compressor (%)
η_i	isentropic efficiency of the pump or compressor (%)
ρ	density of CO ₂ (kg/m ³)
ρ_{app}	apparent density of the substrate (kg/m ³)
ρ_{app}^{max}	true density of the substrate (kg/m ³)
ρ_b	bulk density of the bed (kg/m ³)
ρ_0	density of CO ₂ at reference (T_0, P_0) conditions (910 kg/m ³)
ρ_{oil}	density of the oil (kg/m ³)
ρ_s	density of the nonfat solids in the substrate (kg/m ³)
ρ_{sat}	density of a supercritical fluid phase saturated with solute (kg/m ³)
ρ_v	density of expanded CO ₂ in the extraction vessel at buffer tank pressure (kg/m ³)

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/230454>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/230454>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)