



Short communication

## Spatial heterogeneity stabilizes livestock productivity in a changing climate



Brady W. Allred<sup>a,\*</sup>, John Derek Scasta<sup>b</sup>, Torre J. Hovick<sup>b</sup>, Samuel D. Fuhlendorf<sup>b</sup>, Robert G. Hamilton<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Forestry and Conservation, University of Montana, 32 Campus Drive, Missoula, MT 59812, USA

<sup>b</sup> Department of Natural Resource Ecology and Management, Oklahoma State University, 008C Ag Hall, Stillwater, OK 74078, USA

<sup>c</sup> The Nature Conservancy Tallgrass Prairie Preserve, PO Box 458, Pawhuska, OK 74056, USA

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 9 December 2013

Received in revised form 21 February 2014

Accepted 17 April 2014

Available online 14 May 2014

#### Keywords:

Cattle

Climatic extremes

Drought

Fire-grazing interaction

Great Plains

Weight gain

### ABSTRACT

Sustaining livestock agriculture is important for global food security. Livestock productivity, however, can fluctuate due to many environmental factors, including climate variability. Current predictions of continued warming, decreased precipitation, and increased climate variability worldwide raise serious questions for scientists and producers alike. Foremost is understanding how to mitigate livestock production losses attributed to climate extremes and variability. We investigated the influence of spatial heterogeneity on livestock production over six years in tallgrass prairie of the southern Great Plains, USA. We manipulated heterogeneity by allowing fire and grazing to interact spatially and temporally at broad scales across pastures ranging from 430 to 900 ha. We found that the influence of precipitation on livestock productivity was contingent upon heterogeneity. When heterogeneity was absent, livestock productivity decreased with reduced rainfall. In contrast, when heterogeneity was present, there was no relationship with rainfall and livestock productivity, resulting in heterogeneity stabilizing livestock productivity through time. With predicted increases in climate variability and uncertainty, managing for heterogeneity may assist livestock producers in adapting to climate change and in mitigating livestock productivity loss caused by climatic variability.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Grasslands and rangelands occupy more of the Earth's surface than other major ecosystems (White et al., 2000). Of the many goods and services provided by these ecosystems, grazing by domestic livestock (primarily varying breeds of cattle, goat, and sheep) for agricultural production is the most widespread. The Great Plains of North America is no exception and includes grasslands and rangelands that support many livestock operations. Development of agriculture within this region through the 19th and 20th centuries resulted in a successful economic enterprise—cattle produced throughout the Great Plains constitute a significant portion of US meat production and farm income. The 2011 estimate of cattle and their gross income for the nation was 92 million individuals and \$63 billion, respectively, with about half coming from states within the Great Plains (NASS, 2012).

Livestock productivity can fluctuate greatly due to many environmental factors, including precipitation and temperature. Current projections of continued warming threaten agriculture and livestock productivity globally (IPCC, 2013). The Great Plains region is in particular danger as temperature increases are significant and precipitation is predicted to mostly decrease (Karl et al., 2009). Severe droughts of the past century reduced livestock productivity across the Great Plains (Lockeretz, 1978). More recently, the droughts of 2011 resulted in billions of dollars lost in agricultural income. In the state of Texas alone, agricultural losses were estimated to exceed \$5.2 billion in 2011, with half attributed to losses in livestock production (AgriLife Today, 2011). Events such as these raise serious questions about the effect of climate variability and climate change on livestock productivity. Knowing how to mitigate livestock productivity losses resulting from climate change and climate extremes is critical.

The level to which climate change will be damaging to livestock producers – and therefore food security – will ultimately depend upon the producer's ability to adapt to changing conditions (McCarthy et al., 2001). Livestock management practices that create and allow for both variability and adaptation are likely to be

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 406 243 5150.

E-mail address: [allredbw@gmail.com](mailto:allredbw@gmail.com) (B.W. Allred).

successful in mitigating adverse effects of climate change. Rangeland management, however, developed under a utilitarian paradigm focused on livestock use and has historically focused on creating and managing for homogeneity (Holechek et al., 2004). While such management practices have undoubtedly minimized extreme grazing effects and disturbances, they have also limited heterogeneity, biodiversity, and the overall conservation of pattern and process (Fuhlendorf et al., 2012). In addition to being essential in creating biodiversity, landscape heterogeneity also provides additional forage resources to livestock, allowing them to choose and select among forages to better meet dietary needs (Provenza et al., 2003).

In the Great Plains (as well as in numerous fire-prone grasslands around the world) the fire-grazing interaction is an ecological process that drives ecosystem structure and function (Fuhlendorf et al., 2009). This interaction occurs as grazing animals preferentially select burned patches. When fire occurs in spatially discrete patches across a landscape, grazing animals – including domestic livestock – will select recently burned patches over other areas with greater time since fire (Allred et al., 2011). As new patches are burned and fire moves around the landscape, grazing activity and concentration of animals will follow. This interaction between fire and grazing will shape the landscape and create heterogeneity at multiple scales (Archibald et al., 2005; Fuhlendorf and Engle, 2004). Integrating the fire-grazing interaction into livestock management advances the conservation of ecosystem pattern and process by promoting biodiversity and heterogeneity while retaining profitability (Limb et al., 2011). The fire-grazing interaction also has the ability to moderate inter-annual livestock productivity by providing landscape heterogeneity and increased forage resources.

In this paper we studied the influence of spatial heterogeneity created by the fire-grazing interaction on livestock production over six years in the southern Great Plains, USA. Our specific objectives were twofold: (1) examine the influence of pasture level heterogeneity and fire return interval on livestock weight gain and (2) examine trends of weight gain relative to growing season precipitation as a function of spatial heterogeneity. We manipulated heterogeneity by using the fire-grazing interaction at broad landscape scales across multiple large pastures. We show that spatial heterogeneity stabilizes livestock production, preventing weight gain reductions in dry years. Important to agricultural profitability and food security, incorporating heterogeneity into livestock management practices can reduce productivity loss caused by climatic extremes.

## 2. Methods

We examined the role of heterogeneity in livestock production at The Nature Conservancy Tallgrass Prairie Preserve, located in northeastern Oklahoma, USA. The preserve is a 16,000 ha natural area managed primarily for biodiversity (Hamilton, 2007). Livestock production is a secondary product as land is leased to producers for grazing. The plant community is primarily tallgrass prairie, with small patches of cross timbers forest. Dominant grasses include *Andropogon gerardii* Vitman, *Schizachyrium scoparium* (Michx.) Nash, *Panicum virgatum* L., and *Sorghastrum nutans* (L.) Nash. Cross timbers vegetation is dominated by woody species *Quercus stellata* Wang. and *Q. marilandica* Münchh. Grazing by domestic livestock occurs within seven pastures ranging from 430 to 900 ha. Pastures are stocked equally at a moderate level (2.4 AUM/ha) with yearling stocker steers. Cattle are present only during the growing season, April–September.

We used the fire-grazing interaction to create spatial heterogeneity. The attraction and preference of animals to recently burned areas creates forage heterogeneity and results in areas ranging from recently burned and heavily grazed (i.e., increased forage quality but reduced forage availability) to unburned and ungrazed (i.e., decreased forage quality but increased forage availability) within a pasture. We manipulated the number and relative size of burn patches within a pasture to establish a gradient of heterogeneity across all seven pastures. A pasture with one patch only represents homogeneity, as the entire pasture is burned and animals graze uniformly across the pasture (Fuhlendorf et al., 2006). As patch number increases and the relative size of a patch decreases, grazing animals will concentrate more heavily on such a patch, increasing the level of heterogeneity within the pasture (Allred et al., 2011). The number of patches within a pasture ranged from one (representing homogeneity) to eight (representing increased heterogeneity). Patches within pastures varied in the season of burn and fire return interval (Fig. 1). Pastures with two to four patches were burned in the spring (March–April), while pastures with four to eight patches were burned in the spring and summer (July–August). Application of fires began in 2008 and continued through 2013; only one patch was burned per pasture, season, and year. All pastures are in similar condition, with similar potential productivity, topo-edaphic features, and no land use legacy effects.

Livestock productivity for each pasture was evaluated by weight gain. Animals in each pasture were weighed en masse each year at their arrival in April and again at their departure in September. We calculated an average individual weight gain for each pasture by dividing total weight by total number of animals. We used ANOVA to examine differences in gain among number of patches and fire return interval (objective one). Due to the lack of replicates at these broad spatial scales (400–900 ha), time was substituted for spatial replication ( $n = 6$  years for each pasture). We used linear regression ( $\alpha = 0.10$ ) to examine livestock gain relative to growing season precipitation (objective two). We first examined correlations between weight gain and precipitation for each pasture individually. We then examined correlations between weight gain and precipitation based on two treatments: homogeneous (one pasture with one patch), and heterogeneous average (a mean of six pastures with two to eight patches). We performed all analysis in R (R Development Core Team, 2013).

## 3. Results

When examined by itself, livestock gain did not differ among the number of patches or fire return interval at the pasture level (objective one; Fig. 2). Whether a pasture was burned entirely (i.e., one patch) or had eight patches burned over four years, annual weight gain was similar when averaged over six years. Year to year variation was present, however, and was dependent upon growing season precipitation. In the six year period examined, growing season precipitation nearly doubled from the driest year to the wettest year. When pastures were examined individually, the correlation of livestock gain with growing season precipitation was only present in the pasture with one patch—the pasture where spatial heterogeneity was minimized (Fig. 3A). Examining pastures by treatment (homogenous and heterogeneous) revealed an interactive effect with precipitation ( $y = 0.08\text{precipitation} - 64.73\text{trt} + 0.10\text{precipitation} \times \text{trt} + 93.07; \text{precipitation} \times \text{trt}; p = 0.08$ ). Livestock weight gain increased with growing precipitation in the homogenous treatment ( $y = 0.19\text{precipitation} + 28.34; p = 0.01, R^2 = 0.80$ ) but had no relationship with growing season precipitation in the heterogeneous treatment (Fig. 3B;  $p = 0.16$ ).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2413975>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2413975>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)