



## Review

# Comparative assessment of CO<sub>2</sub> capture technologies for carbon-intensive industrial processes

Takeshi Kuramochi\*, Andrea Ramírez, Wim Turkenburg, André Faaij

Group Science, Technology and Society, Copernicus Institute, Faculty of Science, Budapestlaan 6, 3584CD Utrecht, The Netherlands

## ARTICLE INFO

*Article history:*

Received 22 November 2010

Accepted 20 May 2011

Available online 30 June 2011

*Keywords:*

CO<sub>2</sub> capture

Iron and steel

Cement

Refinery

Petrochemicals

Techno-economic analysis

## ABSTRACT

This article presents a consistent techno-economic assessment and comparison of CO<sub>2</sub> capture technologies for key industrial sectors (iron and steel, cement, petroleum refineries and petrochemicals). The assessment is based on an extensive literature review, covering studies from both industries and academia. Key parameters, e.g., capacity factor (91–97%), energy prices (natural gas: 8 €<sub>2007</sub>/GJ, coal: 2.5 €<sub>2007</sub>/GJ, grid electricity: 55 €/MWh), interest rate (10%), economic plant lifetime (20 years), CO<sub>2</sub> compression pressure (110 bar), and grid electricity CO<sub>2</sub> intensity (400 g/kWh), were standardized to enable a fair comparison of technologies. The analysis focuses on the changes in energy, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and material flows, due to the deployment of CO<sub>2</sub> capture technologies. CO<sub>2</sub> capture technologies are categorized into short-mid term (ST/MT) and long term (LT) technologies. The findings of this study identified a large number of technologies under development, but it is too soon to identify which technologies would become dominant in the future. Moreover, a good integration of industrial plants and power plants is essential for cost-effective CO<sub>2</sub> capture because CO<sub>2</sub> capture may increase the industrial onsite electricity production significantly.

For the iron and steel sector, 40–65 €/tCO<sub>2</sub> avoided may be achieved in the ST/MT, depending on the ironmaking process and the CO<sub>2</sub> capture technique. Advanced LT CO<sub>2</sub> capture technologies for the blast furnace based process may not offer significant advantages over conventional ones (30–55 €/tCO<sub>2</sub> avoided). Rather than the performance of CO<sub>2</sub> capture technique itself, low-cost CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reduction comes from good integration of CO<sub>2</sub> capture to the ironmaking process. Advanced smelting reduction with integrated CO<sub>2</sub> capture may enable lower steel production cost and lower CO<sub>2</sub> emissions than the blast furnace based process, i.e., negative CO<sub>2</sub> mitigation cost. For the cement sector, post-combustion capture appears to be the only commercial technology in the ST/MT and the costs are above 65 €/tCO<sub>2</sub> avoided. In the LT, a number of technologies may enable 25–55 €/tCO<sub>2</sub> avoided. The findings also indicate that, in some cases, partial CO<sub>2</sub> capture may have comparative advantages. For the refining and petrochemical sectors, oxyfuel capture was found to be more economical than others at 50–60 €/tCO<sub>2</sub> avoided in ST/MT and about 30 €/tCO<sub>2</sub> avoided in the LT. However, oxyfuel retrofit of furnaces and heaters may be more complicated than that of boilers.

Crude estimates of technical potentials for global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reduction for 2030 were made for the industrial processes investigated with the ST/MT technologies. They amount up to about 4 Gt/yr: 1 Gt/yr for the iron and steel sector, about 2 Gt/yr for the cement sector, and 1 Gt/yr for petroleum refineries. The actual deployment level would be much lower due to various constraints, about 0.8 Gt/yr, in a stringent emissions reduction scenario.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +31 302534291; fax: +31 302537601.

E-mail addresses: [takeshi.kuramochi@gmail.com](mailto:takeshi.kuramochi@gmail.com), [tkuramochi@uu.nl](mailto:tkuramochi@uu.nl) (T. Kuramochi).

**Contents**

1.	Introduction .....	89
1.1.	Overview of key literatures on CCS in the industry .....	89
1.2.	Rationale and objectives .....	90
2.	Description of the industrial sectors studied .....	90
2.1.	Iron and steel sector .....	90
2.2.	Cement sector .....	92
2.3.	Petroleum refineries .....	92
2.4.	Chemical and petrochemical sector .....	93
3.	Methodology .....	93
3.1.	System boundaries and performance indicators .....	93
3.1.1.	Technical indicators .....	93
3.1.2.	Economic indicators .....	94
3.2.	Data collection and standardization of key parameters .....	94
3.2.1.	Indexation .....	94
3.2.2.	Normalization of CO <sub>2</sub> compression pressure and CO <sub>2</sub> purity .....	94
3.2.3.	Normalization of cost figures .....	95
3.2.4.	Normalization of plant scales .....	95
3.3.	Sensitivity analysis .....	95
3.4.	Future CO <sub>2</sub> price .....	96
4.	Assessment of CO <sub>2</sub> capture technologies by industrial sector .....	96
4.1.	Iron and steel sector .....	96
4.1.1.	Ironmaking with CO <sub>2</sub> capture: changes in energy and material flows .....	97
4.1.1.1.	Blast furnace: add-on CO <sub>2</sub> capture (no modification to the blast furnace) .....	97
4.1.1.2.	Blast furnace: process-integrated CO <sub>2</sub> capture (modification of the blast furnace) .....	97
4.1.1.3.	Smelting reduction .....	98
4.1.1.4.	Sector specific assumptions .....	98
4.1.2.	Overview of CO <sub>2</sub> capture technologies .....	98
4.1.2.1.	Assumptions .....	99
4.1.3.	Results .....	99
4.1.3.1.	Steel production costs and CO <sub>2</sub> emissions .....	99
4.1.3.2.	CO <sub>2</sub> capture performance .....	101
4.1.3.2.1.	Short-mid term future (ST/MT) .....	101
4.1.3.2.2.	Long term future (LT) .....	101
4.1.3.2.3.	Uncertainty of the results .....	101
4.2.	Cement sector .....	101
4.2.1.	Overview of CO <sub>2</sub> capture technologies .....	101
4.2.1.1.	Post-combustion capture .....	101
4.2.1.2.	Oxyfuel combustion with CO <sub>2</sub> capture .....	103
4.2.1.3.	Other advanced CO <sub>2</sub> capture technologies .....	103
4.2.1.4.	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions reduction by indirect CO <sub>2</sub> capture .....	103
4.2.2.	Calculation assumptions .....	104
4.2.3.	Results .....	104
4.2.3.1.	Short-mid term (ST/MT) .....	104
4.2.3.2.	Long term future .....	104
4.2.3.3.	Uncertainty of the results .....	104
4.3.	Petroleum refineries and petrochemicals .....	104
4.3.1.	Overview of CO <sub>2</sub> capture technologies .....	104
4.3.1.1.	Post-combustion capture .....	104
4.3.1.2.	Oxyfuel capture .....	105
4.3.1.3.	Pre-combustion capture .....	106
4.3.2.	Calculation assumptions .....	106
4.3.3.	Results .....	106
4.4.	Summary of the results and global technical potentials for CO <sub>2</sub> emissions reduction .....	106
5.	Discussion .....	107
5.1.	Limitations of this study .....	107
5.2.	General observations .....	108
6.	Conclusions .....	108
6.1.	Iron and steel industry .....	108
6.2.	Cement sector .....	109
6.3.	Refineries and petrochemicals .....	109
6.4.	Final remarks .....	109
	Acknowledgments .....	110
	References .....	110

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/241750>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/241750>

[Daneshyari.com](https://Daneshyari.com)