



# A control method for agricultural greenhouses heating based on computational fluid dynamics and energy prediction model



Jiaoliao Chen<sup>a,b</sup>, Fang Xu<sup>a,\*</sup>, Dapeng Tan<sup>a</sup>, Zheng Shen<sup>c</sup>, Libin Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Qinglin Ai<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of E&M, Ministry of Education & Zhejiang Province, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310014, PR China

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Manufacturing Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, PR China

<sup>c</sup> Institute of Modern Agricultural Science & Engineering, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, PR China

## HIGHLIGHTS

- A novel control method for the heating greenhouse with SWSHPS is proposed.
- CFD is employed to predict the priorities of FCU loops for thermal performance.
- EPM is act as an on-line tool to predict the total energy demand of greenhouse.
- The CFD–EPM-based method can save energy and improve control accuracy.
- The energy savings potential is between 8.7% and 15.1%.

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 11 February 2014

Received in revised form 21 October 2014

Accepted 6 December 2014

Available online 29 December 2014

### Keywords:

Agricultural greenhouses

Computational fluid dynamics

Energy prediction model

Heating control system

Heating efficiency

## ABSTRACT

As energy heating is one of the main production costs, many efforts have been made to reduce the energy consumption of agricultural greenhouses. Herein, a novel control method of greenhouse heating using computational fluid dynamics (CFD) and energy prediction model (EPM) is proposed for energy savings and system performance. Based on the low-Reynolds number  $k-\varepsilon$  turbulence principle, a CFD model of heating greenhouse is developed, applying the discrete ordinates model for the radiative heat transfers and porous medium approach for plants considering plants sensible and latent heat exchanges. The CFD simulations have been validated, and used to analyze the greenhouse thermal performance and the priority of fan coil units (FCU) loops under the various heating conditions. According to the heating efficiency and temperature uniformity, the priorities of each FCU loop can be predicted to generate a database with priorities for control system. EPM is built up based on the thermal balance, and used to predict and optimize the energy demand of the greenhouse online. Combined with the priorities of FCU loops from CFD simulations offline, we have developed the CFD–EPM-based heating control system of greenhouse with surface water source heat pumps system (SWSHPS). Compared with conventional multi-zone independent control (CMIC) method, the energy savings potential is between 8.7% and 15.1%, and the control temperature deviation is decreased to between 0.1 °C and 0.6 °C in the investigated greenhouse. These results show the CFD–EPM-based method can improve system performance with more accurate temperature, more rapid responses and lower energy consumption.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Agricultural greenhouses need input energy to maintain a satisfactory temperature for the plant growth, especially in winter, and the energy savings is an important issue of greenhouse control [1,2]. Over the last decades, many efforts have been made to replace energy sources of fossil fuels with renewable energy or to improve

energy efficiency for greenhouse heating [3,4]. Chou et al. [5], Tong et al. [6], Nayak and Tiwari [7], Ozgener and Hepbasli [8] and Benli and Durmus [9] used renewable energy to control the temperature in the greenhouse to decrease the fossil fuels consumption, such as ground or air source heat pump, photovoltaic/thermal system and biomass energy. The combination of energy savings and application of renewable energy is necessary for greenhouse heating. To improve heating efficiency in the greenhouse with energy sources of renewable energy, it is essential to investigate the control method integrated with heat transfer mechanism.

\* Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +86 0571 88320261.

E-mail addresses: [jlchen@zjut.edu.cn](mailto:jlchen@zjut.edu.cn) (J. Chen), [fangx@zjut.edu.cn](mailto:fangx@zjut.edu.cn) (F. Xu).

**Nomenclature**

$a$	permeability of the porous medium ( $\text{m}^2$ )	$T_{\text{leaf}}$	plant leaf temperature (K)
$a_i$	absorption coefficient ( $\text{m}^{-1}$ )	$T_0$	outside temperature of greenhouse (K)
$A_g$	ground area of the greenhouse ( $\text{m}^2$ )	$T_{\text{ref}}$	FCU outlet temperature (K)
$A_s$	surface area of greenhouse cover material ( $\text{m}^2$ )	$T_{\text{sky}}$	sky temperature (K)
$c_a$	the air specific heat ( $\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ )	$x_j$	coordinate in the $j$ th direction (m)
$c_w$	the water specific heat ( $\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ )	$y$	generalized normal distance from a solid boundary (m)
$C_1, C_2, C_\mu$	constants in the turbulence model	$Y$	nonlinear momentum loss coefficient ( $\text{m}^{-1}$ )
$d$	characteristic length of leaf (m)	$u$	air velocity ( $\text{m s}^{-1}$ )
$D_i$	pressure difference of saturated water vapor ( $p_a$ )	$u_j$	velocity component in the $j$ th direction ( $\text{m s}^{-1}$ )
$e_s$	saturated water vapor pressure ( $p_a$ )	$V$	air volume of the greenhouse ( $\text{m}^3$ )
$e_a$	actual air vapor pressure ( $p_a$ )	$V_w$	flux of supply hot water ( $\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ )
$e_0$	actual air water vapor pressure outside ( $p_a$ )		
$f_1, f_2, f_\mu$	damping functions in the transport equation		
$g$	gravity acceleration ( $\text{m s}^{-2}$ )	<b>Greek symbols</b>	
$G_B$	turbulence kinetic energy production	$\alpha$	air thermal diffusivity ( $\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ )
$I_a$	outdoor global radiation ( $\text{W m}^{-2}$ )	$\beta$	thermal expansion coefficient ( $\text{K}^{-1}$ )
$I_\lambda$	radiation intensity depends on position ( $\vec{r}$ ) and direction ( $\vec{s}$ ) ( $\text{W m}^{-2} \text{sr}^{-1} \text{Hz}^{-1}$ )	$\gamma$	psychrometric constant ( $0.0646 \text{ kPa } ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ )
$L$	characteristic length of the greenhouse (m)	$\Delta$	curve slope of saturated water vapor pressure ( $\text{kPa } ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ )
$L_{ai}$	the leaf area index ( $\text{m}^2 \text{m}^{-2}$ )	$\Delta q_{\text{max}}$	maximum change rate of input energy (W)
$k$	turbulence kinetic energy ( $\text{m}^2 \text{m}^{-2}$ )	$\Delta T$	temperature variation ( $^\circ\text{C}$ )
$k_s$	sky clearness index	$\Delta T_p$	temperature difference between the plants and the cold roof of the greenhouse ( $^\circ\text{C}$ )
$K_g$	heat transfer coefficient ( $\text{W m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}$ )	$\Delta T_w$	difference of supply water and return water temperature ( $^\circ\text{C}$ )
$K_c$	correct coefficient of internal thermal curtain and infiltration	$\Gamma$	diffusion coefficient ( $\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ )
$n$	time step	$\varepsilon$	dissipation rate of the turbulent kinetic energy ( $\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-3}$ )
$n_i$	refractive index	$\varepsilon_1$	cover emissivity
$q$	output energy of FCU.	$\varepsilon_2$	sky emissivity
$q_{\text{sen}}$	the sensible heat caused by heat exchange between leaves and ambient air ( $\text{W m}^{-2}$ )	$\varepsilon_{12}$	emissivity between the cover and sky
$q_{\text{lat}}$	latent heat caused by plant transpiration ( $\text{W m}^{-2}$ )	$\eta$	FCU loop heating efficiency ( $^\circ\text{C kW}^{-1}$ )
$q_t$	net solar radiation into the greenhouse (W)	$\mu$	dynamic viscosity ( $\text{kg m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ )
$q_s$	energy input from FCU (W)	$\mu_t$	turbulent viscosity ( $\text{kg m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ )
$q_w$	heat flux from ventilation (W)	$\rho$	air density ( $\text{kg m}^{-3}$ )
$q_c$	heat flux through the cover (W)	$\rho_w$	water density ( $\text{kg m}^{-3}$ )
$q_l$	energy flux due to the long wave thermal radiation (W)	$\sigma$	Stefan–Boltzmann constant ( $5.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-4}$ )
$q_{\text{min}}$	lower limit of input energy (W)	$\sigma_k$	Prandtl number of the turbulence kinetic energy
$q_{\text{max}}$	upper limit of input energy (W)	$\sigma_s$	scatter coefficient ( $\text{m}^{-1}$ )
$\vec{r}$	position vector (m)	$\sigma_\varepsilon$	Prandtl number of the dissipation rate
$r_a$	plant canopy aerodynamic resistance ( $\text{s m}^{-1}$ )	$\tau_a$	cover transmissivity
$r_s$	plant canopy stomatal resistance ( $\text{s m}^{-1}$ )	$\varphi$	transportation concentration
$R_a$	Rayleigh number	$\Phi$	phase function
$Re_t$	Reynolds number of turbulent quantities	$\Omega$	radiation solid angle (radians)
$Re_k$	Reynolds number of turbulent distances from the wall	$\nabla$	divergence operator
$R_n$	net radiation of plant canopy ( $\text{W m}^{-2}$ )		
$\vec{s}$	direction vector	<b>Abbreviations</b>	
$\vec{s}'$	scattering direction vector	CFD	computational fluid dynamics
$S_\varphi$	source term	CMIC	conventional multi-zone independent control
$S_{\varphi 1}$	source term in the momentum equation	EPM	energy prediction model
$S_{\varphi 2}$	source term in the energy equation	FCU	fan coil units
$t$	time (s)	PAR	photosynthetic active radiation
$T_i$	indoor air temperature (K or $^\circ\text{C}$ )	SWSHPS	surface water source heat pumps system

Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) is an effective method to analyze the spatial and temporal distribution of flow velocity and temperature, and can be used for modeling fluid flow situations, heat, mass and momentum transfer and optimal design in agriculture [10,11]. CFD has been mainly used for simulation and optimum design of the greenhouse construction and configuration to save energy in the last decades. Lee and Short [12] verified the temperature simulations of CFD in a full-scale naturally ventilated greenhouse with plant, and found that the CFD numerical model was a good tool for evaluating the ventilation rates of the natural

ventilation system. Campen and Bot [13] studied the ventilation of a greenhouse using three-dimensional CFD, and the CFD calculations were verified by experimental results from tracer gas measurements. Mistriotis and Briassoulis [14] numerically calculated the external and internal aerodynamic coefficients on a tunnel structure with openings in the case of a transverse wind using CFD method. Kittas and Bartzanas [15] and Bourneta and Boulard [16] used CFD simulations to analyze the effect of ventilation openings on the greenhouse microclimate and compare the ventilation efficiency according to vent configurations. The impact of plant

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/242617>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/242617>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)