

The internet as a research tool in the study of associative learning: An example from overshadowing

Miguel A. Vadillo, Raúl Bárcena, Helena Matute*

Departamento de Psicología, Universidad de Deusto, Apartado 1, 48080 Bilbao, Spain

Received 29 July 2005; received in revised form 31 January 2006; accepted 31 January 2006

Abstract

The present study aimed to replicate an associative learning effect, overshadowing, both in the traditional laboratory conditions and over the internet. The experimental task required participants to predict an outcome based on the presence of several cues. When a cue that was always trained together with a second cue was presented on isolation at test, the expectancy of the outcome was impaired, which revealed overshadowing. This experimental task was performed by undergraduate students ($N = 106$) in the laboratory and by a different set of anonymous participants over the internet ($N = 91$). Similar levels of overshadowing were obtained in both locations. These similarities show that web-delivered experiments can be used as a complement of traditional experiments.

© 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Associative learning; Predictive learning; Overshadowing; Internet

The expansion of the internet will surely be recalled as one of the most important events of the late twentieth century. Although its complete impact on our lives in the long run cannot be assessed yet, it is clear that it is already a fundamental part of our daily activities, either as a working tool or as a window to countless entertainment possibilities. Researchers from different areas of psychology are also becoming aware of the potential benefits that the use of the internet can provide. Not only is the internet being used as a means to obtain larger samples in surveys and personality questionnaires (Buchanan and Smith, 1999; Gosling et al., 2004; Schmidt, 1997), but it is also starting to be used in experimental studies on basic cognitive phenomena such as probability learning, decision making or causal induction (Birnbaum, 1999; Birnbaum and Wakcher, 2002; Steyvers et al., 2003; Vadillo and Matute, *in press*; Vadillo et al., 2005).

Of course, internet-based research clearly poses important methodological problems that cannot always be overcome. These problems are mainly related to the loss of control over the experimental conditions (e.g., the experiment is performed under circumstances that could diminish the attention participants pay to the task) and over participants (e.g., participants could decide to perform the experiment several times). How-

ever, many of these problems can usually be reduced by adopting appropriate solutions that have already been explored and published (e.g., Gosling et al., 2004; Reips, 2002; Schmidt, 1997). Additionally, the comparison of traditional studies and online studies has shown that similar results are usually obtained in both domains in a wide variety of research areas (e.g., Buchanan and Smith, 1999; McGraw et al., 2000; Vadillo and Matute, *in press*). This indicates that whatever potential variables are introduced by internet-based methodologies, their effect is not large. As a counterpart, web-based methodologies offer interesting opportunities to psychological science. For example, the internet allows the researcher: (1) to obtain incomparably larger, more diverse and more heterogeneous samples that guarantee the generality of the results, (2) to reduce the potential effect of the experimenter bias and (3) to reduce the costs of the experiment. Given these and other advantages, it is not surprising that many researchers are deciding to use this kind of research modality, in spite of the above mentioned methodological problems.

This situation is probably creating some discrepancies between those researchers willing to perform their studies over the internet and some members of the scientific community concerned with the validity of these investigations. One important source of this problem is that online experiments are usually presented as an alternative to traditional experiments. However, a much better approach would be to explicitly consider internet-based and traditional methodologies as complementary research

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 94 413 92 49; fax: +34 94 413 90 89.
E-mail address: matute@fice.deusto.es (H. Matute).

strategies. Perhaps the most appealing feature of internet-based research is that it allows one to perform experiments with little cost. Research teams with few resources or insufficient availability of participants could run their pilot experiments over the internet, and perform similar experiments in the laboratory only when they have gathered sufficient parametric or preliminary data over the internet. This strategy would keep the advantages of traditional laboratory research (because the most critical data are obtained under well-controlled conditions), while reducing to a great extent the costs of the experimental series (see Vadillo and Matute, *in press*). Additionally, internet-based methodology provides access to larger and more heterogeneous samples than those of traditional studies. Replicating experiments over the internet can provide an easy and economical way to test the generality of the results in different populations. Instead of simply taking the generality of the studied effects for granted, researchers could repeat their experiments over the internet, which would provide stronger evidence to assess the generality.

In the present paper we replicate a well-known phenomenon under traditional laboratory conditions and over the internet as a means to test the appropriateness of internet-based research for the study of associative learning. Among the many associative learning effects discussed in recent literature, few are as important as the so-called cue interaction effects. Cue interaction shows that the strength of the response to a cue does not only depend on the number of cue–outcome pairings, but also on other predictors of the outcome that are present while the training of the target cue takes place. For example, Pavlov (1927) showed that the conditioned response elicited by a conditioned stimulus paired with an unconditioned stimulus was less intense if another stimulus was present during the target conditioned stimulus–unconditioned stimulus pairings, an effect known as overshadowing. Overshadowing and other cue interaction effects (e.g., blocking; see Kamin, 1968) have been traditionally studied in the area of animal conditioning (e.g., Mackintosh, 1975; Miller and Matzel, 1988; Pearce and Hall, 1980; Rescorla and Wagner, 1972; Wagner, 1981), but have also had a major impact in several areas of psychology such as causal learning (e.g., Price and Yates, 1993; Van Hamme and Wasserman, 1994), categorization (e.g., Gluck and Bower, 1988; Kruschke and Johansen, 1999) and social psychology (e.g., Van Rooy et al., 2003). Given that overshadowing is the simplest and probably the most robust cue interaction effect, we tried to replicate it in the area of human predictive behavior, as a means to check whether cue interaction effects can be observed in internet studies.

1. Method

1.1. Participants and apparatus

The laboratory sample was composed of 106 undergraduate students from Deusto University who volunteered to take part in the experiment. All these participants performed the experiment in a large computer room, with each participant at least at 1.5 m apart from the adjacent participant. The internet sample was composed of 91 anonymous participants who visited our virtual laboratory's web page (www.labpsico.com). In order

to comply with ethical regulations for human research over the internet (Frankel and Siang, 1999) we decided not to register any variables that could affect participants' privacy. Thus, the conditions in which these participants performed the experiment are completely unknown to us.¹ The experimental program was embedded in an HTML document, using JavaScript code to manage the presentation of the stimuli on the screen and to collect participants' responses (see Bárcena et al., 2003). The program preloads all the stimuli in the computer's memory before participants can start the experiment, so that differences in the connection speed cannot influence the pace of the experiment.

1.2. Procedure and design

The experimental preparation used in this study has been previously used in several laboratory experiments on human associative learning (Pineño and Matute, 2000; see also Dieussaert et al., 2002). Participants were asked to imagine that they were soldiers of the United Nations and that they had to rescue people that were hidden in a ramshackle building. On each trial they were allowed to place a number of refugees in a truck and to take them to a safe place, by pressing the space bar. They could control the number of refugees they put into the truck by pressing the space bar more or less times. However, they could not be sure that the refugees they put into the truck would survive because the road could sometimes contain mines. Each truck was equipped with a spy-radio that signalled the state of the road by presenting some color lights on its panel: some lights indicated that the road would be safe and that the refugees in the truck would survive (and, therefore, that the participant should place as many refugees as possible in the truck) and some other lights indicated that the road would be mined and that the refugees placed in the truck would die (and, therefore, that the participant should avoid placing refugees in the truck). However, participants were not told which lights predicted which outcome. They had to learn this by paying attention to what happens when each color light was presented. Participants earned one point for each refugee that survived and lost one point for each refugee that died. The number of refugees placed in the truck on each trial was thus taken as a measure of the degree to which participants expected that the cue (i.e., the color light) presented in that trial predicted

¹ An unfortunate consequence of this is that it cannot be guaranteed that some participants have not performed the experiment several times. There are, however, some means by which one can control whether or not this is happening. For example, one can register the IP of the computer from which experimental data arrive. If different data files arrive from the same computer and especially if these data files arrive close in time, one can suspect the same participant is taking part in the experiment several times. Deleting these data files can reduce the noise introduced by these participants. However, it would still be possible that some participants performed the experiment several times from different computers, though this behavior does not seem to make much sense. The experimenter can try to compensate the noise that this might introduce in the data by using large samples where the potential effect of this factor is minimized. Alternatively, the experimenter can try to reproduce the results in the laboratory, in order to check that the potentially negative effects of this factor have not altered the outcome of the experiment. In our experiment we have chosen this later control procedure (see Reips, 2002, for alternative means to reduce the likelihood or impact of multiple data submission).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2427812>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2427812>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)