Fish & Shellfish Immunology 39 (2014) 78-89

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Fish & Shellfish Immunology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fsi



CrossMark

Mucosal immunity and probiotics in fish

Carlo C. Lazado^{a,1}, Christopher Marlowe A. Caipang^{b,*,1,2}

^a Aquaculture Genomics Research Unit, Faculty of Biosciences and Aquaculture, University of Nordland, Bodø 8049, Norway ^b Disease and Pathogen Transmission Research Group, Institute of Marine Research, P.O. Box 1870, Nordnes, Bergen 5817, Norway

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 22 January 2014 Received in revised form 13 March 2014 Accepted 23 April 2014 Available online 2 May 2014

Keywords: Gills Gut Mucosal immunity Probiotics Skin

ABSTRACT

Teleost mucosal immunity has become the subject of unprecedented research studies in recent years because of its diversity and defining characteristics. Its immune repertoire is governed by the mucosaassociated lymphoid tissues (MALT) which are divided into gut-associated lymphoid tissues (GALT), skin-associated lymphoid tissues (SALT), and gill-associated lymphoid tissues (GIALT). The direct contact with its immediate environment makes the mucosal surfaces of fish susceptible to a wide variety of pathogens. The inherent immunocompetent cells and factors in the mucosal surfaces together with the commensal microbiota have pivotal role against pathogens. Immunomodulation is a popular prophylactic strategy in teleost and probiotics possess this beneficial feature. Most of the studies on the immunomodulatory properties of probiotics in fish mainly discussed their impacts on systemic immunity. In contrast, few of these studies discussed the immunomodulatory features of probiotics in mucosal surfaces and are concentrated on the influences in the gut. Significant attention should be devoted in understanding the relationship of mucosal immunity and probiotics as the present knowledge is limited and are mostly based on extrapolations of studies in humans and terrestrial vertebrates. In the course of the advancement of mucosal immunity and probiotics, new perspectives in probiotics research, e.g., probiogenomics have emerged. This review affirms the relevance of probiotics in the mucosal immunity of fish by revisiting and bridging the current knowledge on teleost mucosal immunity, mucosal microbiota and immunomodulation of mucosal surfaces by probiotics. Expanding the knowledge of immunomodulatory properties of probiotics especially on mucosal immunity is essential in advancing the use of probiotics as a sustainable and viable strategy for successful fish husbandry.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The aquatic environment harbors a wide array of biological, physical and chemical hazards. The constant exposure of fish to their environment typifies the importance of mucosal epithelia as a main organ of defense. The mucosal immune system of the fish is characterized by diverse and unique repertoire of innate and adaptive immune cells and molecules. They are orchestrated in the presence of antigenic factors such as bacteria or viruses to prompt specific and robust responses. In addition, the associated commensal microorganisms that are lining the mucosal surfaces serve as a biological reinforcement in protecting these surfaces against pathogens. An exceptional and interesting mechanism governs the maintenance of homeostasis between the immune-

¹ The authors equally contributed to this work.

rich mucosal surfaces and their associated microbiota. Manipulation of the mucosal surfaces including their inherent and adherent factors have become key and emerging mode of disease control specifically in aquaculture where outbreak is a longstanding issue [1-5] Table 1.

Immunostimulants, vaccines and probiotics are believed to be ideal and effective disease control strategies that foster sustainability in aquaculture. The popularity of these alternatives was brought forth when call for reduction on the use of antibiotics and for the development of an eco-friendly industry arose. Antibiotics have been the conventional and popular bacterial control agents in aquaculture for almost three decades until evidences were presented on their risks to the consumers and environment [6,7]. The use of probiotics is regarded as a very promising strategy and their wide acceptance for use in aquaculture is evidently shown in the number of research studies published over the last ten years [8– 11]. The ability of probiotics in modulating the immunity of the host has revolutionized the application of probiotics presents two interesting scientific domains: **i**) the properties of probiotics reveal



^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +47 55 23 85 00.

E-mail addresses: carlolazado@yahoo.com (C.C. Lazado), cmacaipang@yahoo.com (C.M.A. Caipang).

² Present address: School of Applied Science, Temasek Polytechnic, 21 Tampines Avenue 1, Singapore 529757, Singapore. Tel.: +65 67801827 (Office).

Table 1

Immunological influences of	probiotics on the mucosa-associated ly	mphatic tissues	(MALT) of the fish.

MALT	Key findings	Probiotics used	Origin of probiotics	Fish species under study (Age ^a ; administration strategy ^b)	References
lymphoid tissues (GALT)granulocytes; Lowe transcription of pro- cytokines Lowered lactate de activity and caspas V. anguillarum infe Increased expressio chemokines but no interleukins Increased villi heig population of intra lymphocytes and a granulocytes No pronounced effi integrity and leuko Elevated intraepith Influenced goblet of Upregulated $tnf\alpha$ e Modulated express and $tnf\alpha$ Increased level of I infiltration, numbe and villi height Increased phagocyt mucos Increased phagocyt mucosal leukocytesInfluenced expression feeding and during Unchanged pro-infic cytokine expressionIncreased microvill Increased microvill Increased phagocyteInfluenced expression feeding and during Unchanged pro-infic cytokine expressionIncreased microvill Increased phagocyteIncreased microvill Increased phagocyteIncreased phagocyte mucosal leukocytesInfluenced expression feeding and during Unchanged pro-infic cytokine expressionIncreased microvill Increased microvill Increased phagocyteIncreased phagocyte mucosal infiltration of epithAlleviated epithelia caused by the path Pronounced abund like cells in the inte epithelium; Preven damaging effect of salmonicida Increased populatio acidophilic granulo	Increased T-cells and acidophilic granulocytes; Lowered transcription of pro-inflammatory cytokines	Lactobacillus delbrueckii ssp. delbrueckii (AS13B)	host gut	Dicentrarchus labrax (LV, nm; LF)	[3]
	Lowered lactate dehydrogenase activity and caspase-3 during	Pseudomonas sp. (GP21) and Psychrobacter sp (GP12)	host microbiota	Gadus morhua (JV, 300–400 g; IV)	[29]
	Increased expression of chemokines but no change with the	Pseudomonas sp. (GP21) and Psychrobacter sp (GP12)	host microbiota	G. morhua (JV, 300–400 g; IV)	[27]
	Increased villi height; Increased population of intraepithelial lymphocytes and acidophilic	Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG (ATCC 53103)	human intestine	Oreochromis niloticus (JV, 30 –50 g; FF)	[5]
	No pronounced effect on gut	Pediococcus acidilactici	commercial ^c	O. niloticus (JV, ~175 g; FF)	[89]
	Elevated intraepithelial leukocytes; Influenced goblet cell population;	P. acidilactici	commercial ^c	O. niloticus (JV, ~9 g; FF)	[90]
	Modulated expression of $il1\beta$, $tgf\beta$	Bacillus subtilis C-3102	commercial ^d	O.niloticus \times Oreochromis aureus hybrid (JV, ~1 g; FF)	[97]
	Increased level of leukocytes infiltration, number of goblet cells	Bacillus cereus var. toyoi	soil isolate	Oncorhynchus mykiss (JV; FF)	[91]
	Increased lysozyme activity of the	B. subtilis	host digestive tract	0. mykiss (JV, ~30 g; FF)	[96]
	Increased phagocytic activity of the mucosal leukocytes	Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis CLFP 100, Leuconostoc mesenteroides CLFP 196, and Lactobacillus sakei CLFP 202	intestine of healthy salmonids	0. mykiss (JV, ~50 g; FF)	[93]
	Influenced expression of <i>il8</i> during feeding and during infection	Lactobacillus plantarum	host origin	0. mykiss (JV, ~26 g; FF)	[22]
	Unchanged pro-inflammatory cytokine expression	Carnobacterium maltaromaticum B26 and C. divergens B33	host intestine	0. mykiss (JV, ~300 g; IV)	[76]
	Increased microvilli length Increased mucosal fold length and infiltration of epithelial leukocytes	P. acidilactici P. acidilactici (administered with short chain fructooligosaccharides)	commercial ^c commercial ^c	O. mykiss (JV, ~100 g; FF) Salmo salar (JV, ~250 g; FF)	[92] [103]
	Alleviated epithelial cell damage caused by the pathogens	Carnobacterium divergens	Arctic charr gut	<i>S. salar</i> (JV, ~73 g; IV)	[94]
	Pronounced abundance leukocyte- like cells in the intestinal epithelium; Prevented the damaging effect of <i>Aeromonas</i>	L. delbrueckii subsp. lactis	culture collection strain	S. salar (JV, ~140 g; IV)	[95]
	Increased population of Ig ⁺ and acidophilic granulocytes	Lactobacillus fructivorans (AS17B) L. plantarum	host gut human feces	Sparus aurata (LV, nm; LF)	[4]
	Influenced the expression of <i>il8</i> , <i>casp1</i> , <i>actb</i> , <i>ocln</i> , <i>cox2</i> and <i>tf</i>	<i>B. subtilis</i> (administered with inulin and microalgae)	culture collection strain	S. aurata (JV, \sim 50 g; FF)	[99]
Skin-associated Ir lymphoid Iy tissues (SALT) cc M a e g	Increased myeloperoxidase activity, lysozyme activity and total protein content of the mucus	Bacillus amyloliquefaciens FPTB16	fermented fish product	Catla catla (JV, 20–30 g; FF)	[107]
	Mitigated V. anguillarum-induced apoptosis; Modulated the expression of immune-related genes	Pseudomonas sp. (GP21)	host microbiota	G. morhua (JV, 300–400 g; IV)	[106]
	Increased protein content of mucus	Lactobacillus casei	commercial ^e	Poecilopsis gracilis (LV, ~47 mg; LF)	[105]
Gill-associated lymphoid tissues (GIALT)	Influenced <i>defb</i> expression in the gills	Pseudomonas sp. (GP21)	host microbiota	G. morhua (JV, ~150 g; RW)	[57]
	Maintained gill structure and promoted regenerative gill filaments during pesticide exposure	B. subtilis, L. lactis and Saccharomyces cerevisiae	culture collection strain	Labeo rohita (JV, ~7.5 g; FF)	[108]

mm = not mentioned. *additional note*: the weight shown in the table is the initial weight of the fish or the weight of the fish where mucosal cells were isolated for *in vitro* studies. ^a Age of fish: **LV** = larvae; **JV** = juvenile. ^b Administration strategy: **RW** = rearing water; **FF** = formulated feed; **LF** = live feed; **IV** = *in vitro*.

^c Added as Bactocell[®].
^d Added as Calsporin[®].
^e Added as Yakult[®].

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2431395

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/2431395

Daneshyari.com