



Alternative heat rejection methods for power plants

Robert A. Leffler^{a,b}, Craig R. Bradshaw^{a,b}, Eckhard A. Groll^{a,b}, Suresh V. Garimella^{b,*}

^a Herrick Laboratories, School of Mechanical Engineering, Purdue University, 140 S. Martin Jischke Drive West Lafayette, IN 47907-2031, USA

^b Cooling Technologies Research Center, an NSF IUCRC, Purdue University, 585 Purdue Mall, West Lafayette, IN 47907-2088, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 July 2011

Received in revised form 29 September 2011

Accepted 14 October 2011

Available online 29 November 2011

Keywords:

Waste heat recovery

Water use

Power plant

Cooling canal

Algae bioreactor

Greenhouse heating

ABSTRACT

Process waste heat in large power generation plants is commonly rejected to lakes or rivers, or through the use of cooling towers. Although these waste heat rejection methods are effective, they may not be feasible in every application due to cost considerations or geographic location. Moreover, it is desirable to put some of the waste heat to good use, both from the standpoint of improved plant efficiency as well as reduced environmental impact. An analysis of alternative methods of power plant waste heat rejection is presented here as applied to a coal-fired power generation facility in the Midwestern United States. Five approaches for rejecting or recovering the waste heat are considered: cooling canals, open-water algae bioreactors, wintertime greenhouse heating, spray ponds, and modified solar updraft towers. Each of the five technologies can be sized for the needs and operating conditions of a given power plant. The quantitative analysis tools developed in this work are validated by benchmarking against published results. Three of the alternative methods generate secondary benefits: the algae bioreactor, greenhouse heating, and the modified solar updraft tower produce biodiesel, extended periods for horticulture, and electric power, respectively. The land area required to reject 1.16 GW of heat (the condenser heat rejection from a 500 MW plant operating at 30% thermal efficiency) using each of the alternative technologies is compared. The sensitivity of the sizing of the different technologies to changes in the environmental and geometric parameters is quantified. Finally, the net water use for each technology is estimated and compared against a typical cooling tower solution for the same 500 MW plant.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Basic thermodynamic considerations result in the production of a large amount of waste heat in power plants. For each megawatt of electricity generated, approximately two megawatts is discharged in the form of waste heat. The most common methods of handling the waste heat in large power plants involve rejection to lakes and streams, or the use of cooling towers. These methods are well established, offer reliable operation, and provide a working fluid return temperature that is close to that of the environment. However, heat rejection into lakes and streams may result in an undesirable increase in water temperature that could alter the bio-equilibrium and have a significant impact on living organisms in these water bodies. On the other hand, heat rejection using cooling towers can be costly and consumes large amounts of water. Furthermore, both of these heat rejection options do not provide a means for recovering any of the rejected heat for useful purposes. It is important to explore and assess other options for heat rejection that may prove to be viable alternatives. The present work explores five such heat rejection options for large power plants,

including a detailed analysis and comparison study. These methods include cooling canal systems, algae bioreactors, wintertime heating of greenhouses, spray ponds, and modified solar updraft towers.

A shallow-water canal system can be used to cool the condenser discharge water with atmospheric air before re-entry to the condenser or discharge to a lake. As in a cooling pond, heat is rejected from the canal through a combination of convection and radiation heat transfer as well as evaporation of canal water. Cooling canals can be used to reject a portion of the required heat, or as the sole source of heat rejection from the power plant. A cooling canal system near Turkey Point, Florida, was evaluated by Frediani [1], who showed that 4.7 GW of heat could be rejected from the system consisting of 32 outflowing canals and seven return canals. Each canal is 8380 m long and 90 m wide, for a total cooling canal area of $17.7 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^2$.

An open-water algae bioreactor pond transfers heat from condenser discharge water to a shallow pond with a layer of algae growing on the surface. The algae bioreactor pond is designed to operate without the aquatic life typical of cooling reservoirs, and may be operated at elevated temperatures. Species of thermophilic algae are grown in the bioreactor pond, with the algal biomass collected at specified intervals and processed into a biofuel or other

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: sureshg@purdue.edu (S.V. Garimella).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/243576>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/243576>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)