Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





Livestock Science

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/livsci

Options for the abatement of methane and nitrous oxide from ruminant production: A review $\overset{\backsim}{\asymp}$

R.J. Eckard ^{a,b,*}, C. Grainger ^b, C.A.M. de Klein ^c

^a University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia

^b Department of Primary Industries, 1301 Hazeldean Road, Ellinbank, 3821, Victoria, Australia

^c AgResearch Invermay, Private Bag 50034, Mosgiel, New Zealand

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Enteric Nitrogen Dung Urine Greenhouse gas Mitigation

ABSTRACT

Agriculture produces ~10%–12% of total global anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions, contributing ~50% and ~60% of all anthropogenic methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O), respectively. Apart from their significant contribution to anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions, the energy lost as CH₄ and total N losses are two of the most significant inefficiencies remaining in ruminant production systems. A number of options are reviewed to reduce production of enteric CH₄ and N₂O from ruminant production systems, mainly focusing on breeding, feeding, animal management, soil and fertilizer management, and rumen manipulation. To fully assess the net abatement potential, each strategy must be subjected to whole-farm systems modelling and a full life-cycle assessment, to ensure that a reduction in emissions at one point does not stimulate higher emissions of research before practical strategies and commercially viable products are available for use on farms. This paper reviews the options available for livestock production to reduce CH₄ and N₂O main systems approach to the evaluation of the relative merits of abatement options.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Agriculture produces ~10%–12% of total global anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions, contributing ~50% and ~60% of all anthropogenic methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O), respectively (Smith et al., 2007). Both CH₄ and N₂O are powerful greenhouse gasses, with global warming potentials of 25 (CH₄) and 298 (N₂O). These conversion factors are currently used to report emissions under the Kyoto Protocol, although there is debate over the specific global warming potentials that should be used (Forster et al., 2007).

Apart from their contribution to anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions, energy and N losses are two of the most

 $\stackrel{\text{\tiny{fr}}}{\to}$ This article is part of the special issue entitled "10th World Conference on Animal Production (WCAP)" guest edited by Norman Casey.

* Corresponding author. University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia. Tel.: +61 3 56242222; fax: +61 3 56242200.

E-mail address: rjeckard@unimelb.edu.au (R.J. Eckard).

significant inefficiencies in ruminant production systems. Therefore, the challenge for research is to develop technologies and strategies to improve the efficiency of the energy and N cycles in ruminant production, leading to more efficient and sustainable production systems in the future.

Several reviews of enteric CH_4 and N_2O production and mitigation have recently been published (Dalal et al., 2003; Beauchemin et al., 2008; de Klein and Eckard, 2008; McAllister and Newbold, 2008). Therefore, this paper only summarizes the current state of knowledge relevant to ruminant production systems, highlighting future research needs and directions.

2. Enteric methane

Globally, ruminant livestock produce ~80 million tonnes of CH₄ annually, accounting for ~33% of anthropogenic emissions of CH₄ (Beauchemin et al., 2008). Enteric CH₄ is produced under anaerobic conditions in the rumen by methanogenic Archaea,

^{1871-1413/\$ –} see front matter 0 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.livsci.2010.02.010

using CO₂ and H₂ to form CH₄, and thus reducing the metabolic H₂ produced during microbial metabolism (McAllister and Newbold, 2008). If H₂ accumulates, the re-oxidation of NADH is inhibited, inhibiting microbial growth, forage digestion, and the associated production of acetate, propionate, and butyrate (Joblin, 1999). Therefore, any mitigation strategy that reduces methanogen populations must also include an alternative pathway for H₂ removal from the rumen.

With an energy content of 55.22 MJ/kg (Brouwer, 1965), CH₄ represents a significant loss of dietary energy from the production system (Table 1). Typically, about 6%–10% of the total gross energy consumed by the dairy cow is converted to CH₄ and released via the breath. Therefore, reducing enteric CH₄ production may also lead to production benefits. Fig. 1 presents a summary of the main options for reducing enteric CH₄ production, which are reviewed below.

2.1. Animal manipulation

A number of experiments have reported variations between animals in CH₄ emission per unit of feed intake. In a trial involving 302 grazing dairy cows, mean CH₄ emissions of 19.3 ± 2.9 g/kg dry matter intake (DMI) were reported (Clark et al., 2005), the 15% variance suggesting heritable differences in methanogenesis. Similar responses have been reported in sheep on an unlimited pasture diet (Pinares-Patiño et al., 2003). However, although Hegarty et al. (2007) also reported a significant (P=0.01) positive relationship between CH₄ production and net feed intake (NFI) in Angus steers (slope of 13.38), this explained only a small proportion of the observed variation in CH₄, perhaps indicating a genotype×nutrition interaction. These data suggest that animal breeding could achieve a 10%-20% reduction in CH₄ losses from dry matter (DM) during digestion (Waghorn et al., 2006). However, breeding for reduced methanogenesis is unlikely to be compatible with other competing breeding objectives. In contrast, breeding for improved feed conversion efficiency (lower NFI) should be compatible with existing breeding objectives and is likely to reduce both CH₄ and the ratio of CH₄ per unit product.

Reducing the number of unproductive animals on a farm can potentially both improve profitability and reduce CH₄. Strategies such as extended lactation in dairying, where cows calve every 18 months rather than annually, reduce herd energy demand by 10.4% (Trapnell and Malcolm, 2006) and thus potentially reduce on-farm CH₄ emissions by a similar amount (Smith et al., 2007). With earlier finishing of beef cattle in feed lots, slaughter weights are reached at a younger age, with reduced lifetime emissions per animal and thus proportionately fewer animals producing CH_4 (Smith et al., 2007).

Therefore, a number of options exist, such as breeding ruminants with lower CH₄ production, minimizing unproductive animal numbers on farms, and changing to novel production systems, all of which can potentially both reduce total CH₄ emissions and improve on-farm profitability.

2.2. Dietary manipulation

2.2.1. Forage quality

Improving forage quality, either through feeding forage with lower fibre and higher soluble carbohydrates, changing from C4 to C3 grasses, or even grazing on less-mature pastures, can reduce CH₄ production (Ulyatt et al., 2002; Beauchemin et al., 2008). Methane production per unit cellulose digested has been shown to be three times that of hemicellulose (Moe and Tyrrell, 1979), while cellulose and hemicellulose ferment at slower rates than do non-structural carbohydrates, thus yielding more CH₄ per unit substrate digested (McAllister et al., 1996). Consequently, the addition of grain to forage diet increases starch and reduces fibre intake, reducing the rumen pH and favouring the production of propionate rather than acetate in the rumen (McAllister and Newbold, 2008). Improving forage quality also tends to increase the voluntary intake and reduces the retention time in the rumen, promoting energetically more efficient post-ruminal digestion and reducing the proportion of dietary energy converted to CH₄ (Blaxter and Clapperton, 1965). Methane emissions are also commonly lower with higher proportions of forage legumes in the diet, partly because of the lower fibre content, the faster rate of passage, and in some cases, the presence of condensed tannins (CTs) (Beauchemin et al., 2008).

Improving diet quality can both improve animal performance and reduce CH₄ production, but it can also improve efficiency by reducing CH₄ emissions per unit of animal product. Therefore, plant breeding can potentially improve digestibility as well as reduce CH₄. However, many of these strategies can also lead to increased DM intake per animal, and may also provide the farmer with an opportunity to increase the stocking rate, resulting in either no net change or even a net increase in CH₄ production. Similarly, the addition of more grain to the diet will incur additional N₂O and transport emissions during the grain production processes. Therefore, further research and modelling is required to understand the likely relationships between improvements in diet quality and voluntary intake, stocking rates, and net CH₄ production for a range of production systems.

Table 1

Typical ranges of CH₄ emissions from three classes of ruminant, energy lost as CH₄, with an estimate of effective annual grazing days lost.

Animal Class	Average live weight (kg)	CH4 (kg/hd/year) ^a	MJ CH4 lost /hd/day ^b	Average daily energy requirement (MJ/hd/day) ^c	Effective annual grazing days lost ^d
Mature ewe	48	10-13	1.5-2.0	13	43-55
Beef steer	470	50-90	7.6-13.6	83	33-60
Dairy cow ^e	550	91-146	13.6-22.1	203	25-40

^a Data drawn from studies reviewed here.

^b Assuming an energy density of 55.22 MJ/kg CH₄ (Brouwer, 1965).

^c (Standing Committee on Agriculture, 1990).

^d Effective annual grazing days lost = (^cdaily requirement/ ^benergy lost) × 365.25.

e In lactation.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2447872

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/2447872

Daneshyari.com