Ticks and Tick-borne Diseases 5 (2014) 970–974

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Ticks and Tick-borne Diseases

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ttbdis

Original article

Range expansion of *Ixodes ricinus* to higher altitude, and co-infestation of small rodents with *Dermacentor marginatus* in the Northern Apennines, Italy

Elisa Martello[a], Alessandro Mannelli[a,*], Charlotte Ragagli[c], Cecilia Ambrogi[c], Marco Selmi[b], Leonardo A. Ceballos[a], Laura Tomassone[a]

[a] *Dipartimento di Scienze Veterinarie, University of Torino, Italy*
[b] *Osservatorio Permanente per Patologie a trasmissione Vettoriale, ASL2 Lucca, Italy*
[c] *Ufficio Territoriale per la Biodiversità, Corpo Forestale dello Stato, Lucca, Italy*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 19 January 2014
Received in revised form 25 July 2014
Accepted 25 July 2014
Available online 17 August 2014

Keywords:
Ixodes ricinus
Dermacentor marginatus
Apodemus spp.
Apennines
Italy

ABSTRACT

Immature ticks (*Ixodes ricinus* and *Dermacentor marginatus*) were collected from small rodents (*Apodemus* spp. and *Myodes glareolus*), in the Northern Apennines, Italy, at an altitude up to 1650 m above sea level (a.s.l.), from 2009 through 2012. While *D. marginatus* had been found at the same location in studies carried out in 1994, *I. ricinus* was very rare or absent. Prevalence (95% confidence interval) of infestation by *I. ricinus* larvae on *Apodemus* spp. was 54.4% (47.5, 61.2), and it was greater than prevalence of *D. marginatus* larvae on the same hosts (23.3%, 17.8, 29.5). The mean (standard deviation) numbers of *I. ricinus* and *D. marginatus* larvae per individual *Apodemus* spp. were similar: 2.3 (4.1) and 2.1 (9.8), respectively. The monthly infestation pattern of the two tick species on *Apodemus* spp. were different. *I. ricinus* larvae were more frequent in June and September, than in July–August. *I. ricinus* nymphs were generally rare, and were most frequently found in July. The prevalence of *D. marginatus* larvae peaked in July–August, whereas nymphs were mostly active in August–September. Increasing population densities of roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*), and increasing temperatures, in the last decades, in the Apennine area might have contributed to the observed range expansion of *I. ricinus*.

© 2014 Elsevier GmbH. All rights reserved.

Introduction

In the last decades, the geographic range of *Ixodes ricinus*—the most important vector of tick borne zoonoses (TBZ) in Europe—expanded to previously free areas, including greater latitudes in Northern Europe, as well as greater altitudes in the mountains of Central and Southern Europe (Kirby et al., 2004; Materna et al., 2008; Danielová et al., 2006; Jore et al., 2011; Jaenson et al., 2012; Léger et al., 2012). Immature *I. ricinus* are commonly found on small rodents, which may play important roles in the transmission of agents of TBZ. Rodents can also be used as indicators of the presence of the tick and of transmitted agents at a given location (Mannelli et al., 2012a; Pérez et al., 2012; Mihalca and Sándor, 2013).

Dermacentor marginatus was the dominant tick species collected on small rodents (*Apodemus* spp. and *Myodes glareolus*) trapped between 1100 and 1400 m a.s.l., in the Northern Apennines, in 1994. In the same study, *I. ricinus* was only found in one out of 128 examined rodents. Furthermore, no host-seeking *I. ricinus* was found by dragging approximately 50 km distance in the same area (Mannelli et al., 1997). In the present article, we report on the analysis of infestation of small rodents by ixodid ticks at the same Apennine location, from 2009 through 2012. The collection of *I. ricinus* from small rodents allowed us to investigate on an increased altitudinal limit for the tick, and to describe the monthly pattern of activity of immature stages, during Summer, in the newly colonized area.

Materials and methods

Study area

The study was carried out on the Tuscan side of the Tuscan-Emilian Apennine National Park, in the province of Lucca, Italy (44°12′ N, 10°22′ E). Climate is characterized by relatively cold

* Corresponding author at: Dipartimento di Scienze Veterinarie, Università degli Studi di Torino, Via Leonardo da Vinci, 44, 10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy. Tel.: +390116709186; fax: +390116709196.
E-mail addresses: alessandro.mannelli@unito.it, alessandro.mannelli@gmail.com (A. Mannelli).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2014.07.021
1877-959X/© 2014 Elsevier GmbH. All rights reserved.

winters, and cool summers. In the period 1996–2010, monthly, mean temperature was 1.9 °C in January (minimum), and 17.7 °C in July and August (maximum) (Regione Toscana, 2013a,b). August 2003 was the warmest month on record, with an average temperature of 21.3 °C. Annual rain precipitations are among the greatest in Italy, and showed much variation (between 1350 and 2150 mm) in the 1996–2010 period. Snow cover normally lasts from December through March–April.

Wild ungulates were reintroduced during the 1960s and 1970s. In 2011, the red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) and mouflon (*Ovis orientalis musimon*) populations were estimated at 9.2 and 12.3 head/100 ha. The roe deer was once rare (Ciucci, 1994), but it underwent a remarkable population increase in the last two decades and, in 2011, population density was estimated at 13.2 head/100 ha (Bongi Paolo, unpublished report). The wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) is abundant, although census data are not available.

Small rodent trapping

Small rodent trapping was carried out, from 2009 through 2012, during trapping sessions of two or three days, approximately one month apart, from June to September. In 2009, no trapping was carried out in June, whereas repeated trapping sessions were carried out during the other months. No trapping was carried out in September 2011. During the first three years (2009–2011), trapping was carried out in three 3 × 10 grids (30 traps, 10 m apart), for a total of 2520 trap nights. Sites were chosen, within 2 km from the park premises, based upon convenience of access, and at locations where rodents were previously captured in 1994 (Mannelli et al., 1997). Site A, at 1220 m above the sea level (a.s.l.), was characterized by a mixed wood with common hazels (*Corylus avellana*), Turkey oaks (*Quercus cerris*), wild apples (*Malus sylvestris*), and shrubs (*Spartium junceum*, *Rosa canina*). Site B (1140 m a.s.l.) was dominated by oaks, whereas site C (1185 m a.s.l.) was characterized by mixed wood with a predominance of black alders (*Alnus glutinosa*), and including sycamore maples (*Acer pseudoplatanus*), silver firs (*Abies alba*) and European ashes (*Fraxinus excelsior*). Part of the material collected in 2009–2011 was used in laboratory analysis for the detection of *Rickettsia slovaca* in *D. marginatus* and rodent tissues, as described in Martello et al. (2013). In 2012, trapping was carried out in high stand beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) wood habitat, with poor undergrowth and thick leaf litter, between 1200 and 1650 m a.s.l., near to the altitudinal limit of the tree vegetation (approximately, 1700 m a.s.l.); traps were set 20 m apart in two parallel lines in three transects, for a total of 764 trap nights. Sherman live traps (230 mm × 80 mm × 90 mm, Sherman Live Traps Co., Tallahassee, FL) and Ugglan live traps (240 mm × 60 mm × 90 mm, Grahnab, Sweden) were baited with cereals and apples and provided with cotton to protect against the cold temperature during the night.

Captured rodents were anesthetized with a mixture of medetomidine and ketamine, as described in Amore et al. (2007). They were individually identified, in 2009, by ear punch. Starting in 2010, a microchip (transponder AEG ID162 ISO, AEG, Germany) was subcutaneously injected in the interscapular region. Rodent species or genus, sex, and weight were recorded. Each processed animal was carefully screened for the presence of ticks on the entire body. Any attached tick was removed and stored in 70% ethanol. After examination, anesthetized mice were injected with atipamezole HCl (Antisedan®, Pfizer Animal Health, Rome, Italy) to reverse the effects of medetomidine, and were released at the capture site after they had completely recovered from the anesthesia. Animal capture sampling procedures were approved by the Bioethics Commission of the University of Turin.

Statistical analysis of tick infestation

Ticks were identified by species and stage by using keys from Manilla (1998). The prevalence of infestation and 95% confidence interval (CI), were calculated by tick species and stage, year, month, and host species or genus, by using the FREQ procedure in the SAS System 9.3 (SAS, 2011). Data from recapture of the same rodents during the same trapping session were excluded from the analysis. Mean numbers of ticks per host, standard deviations (SD), and negative binomial dispersion parameters (k) were obtained using intercept-only, generalized linear models (GLM) using PROC GENMOD. Negative binomial error (log link) was used to take into account the aggregated distribution of ticks among hosts (Littell et al., 2006).

Results

Small rodent trapping

Trapping yielded 215 *Apodemus* spp. (76 females, 139 males; 80 individuals in 2009, 52 in 2010, 30 in 2011, 53 in 2012), and 57 *Myodes glareolus* (18 females, 39 males; 35 individuals in 2010, 18 in 2011, 4 in 2012). Mean (SD) weight was 24.7 grams (g) (5.9) for *Apodemus* spp., and 27.3 g (5.5) for *M. glareolus*. It was not possible to distinguish between the wood mouse *Apodemus sylvaticus* and the yellow-necked mouse *Apodemus flavicollis*. In fact, the distinction between these two species, on the basis of morphological characters, is considered as unreliable, especially in the Southern parts of their geographic range, including central Italy (Barciova and Macholán, 2009; Bugarski-Stanojević et al., 2013; Jojić et al., 2014).

Infestation of small rodents by I. ricinus

A total of 485 larvae and 9 *I. ricinus* nymphs were collected from *Apodemus* spp., whereas 17 larvae and one nymph were collected from *M. glareolus.* Prevalence (95% CI) of infestation by *I. ricinus* larvae was 54.4% (47.5, 61.2) on *Apodemus* spp. and 17.5% (8.7, 29.9) on *M. glareolus*. Prevalence of infestation by nymphs was 3.7% (1.6, 7.2) on *Apodemus* spp. and 1.7% (0.04, 9.4) on *M. glareolus*. The mean (SD) number of *I. ricinus* larvae per individual host was 2.3 (4.1) for *Apodemus* spp. and 0.30 (0.73) for *M. glareolus*; the mean (SD) of nymphs was 0.04 (0.21) for *Apodemus* spp. and 0.02 (0.13) for *M. glareolus*. Subsequent statistical analysis was carried out limited to *Apodemus* spp., due to the small sample size for *M. glareolus*.

Prevalence of infestation by *I. ricinus* larvae on *Apodemus* spp. was 48.8% in 2009, 61.5% in 2010, 56.7% in 2011, 54.7% in 2012. *I. ricinus* nymphs were collected from *Apodemus* spp. in 2009, when prevalence was 7.5%, and in 2012, when one individual out of 53 was infested (prevalence = 1.9%). No *I. ricinus* nymphs were found on *Apodemus* spp. in 2010 and 2011.

Infestation levels (prevalence and mean number of ticks per host) by *I. ricinus* larvae on *Apodemus* spp. peaked in June, followed by a decline in July–August, and by a second, lower peak in September (Table 1). Aggregation of *I. ricinus* larvae on *Apodemus* spp. was maximum in August, as shown by the smallest negative binomial parameter, k, during this month (Table 1). *I. ricinus* nymphs were most frequently found in July (Table 1).

Infestation of small rodents by Dermacentor marginatus

A total of 442 *D. marginatus* larvae and 79 nymphs were collected from *Apodemus* spp., 34 larvae and 19 nymphs from *M. glareolus*. Prevalence (95% CI) of infestation by *D. marginatus* larvae was 23.3% (17.8, 29.5) on *Apodemus* spp. and 12.3% (5.1, 23.7) on *M. glareolus*. Prevalence of infestation by nymphs was 21.1% (11.4, 33.9) on

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2474014

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/2474014

Daneshyari.com