FISEVIER

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

### Pharmaceutical Methods

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/phme



Original article

# Utilization of reversed-phase TLC and topological indices to the lipophilicity investigations of naproxen



Alina Pyka\*, Agnieszka Kazimierczak, Danuta Gurak

Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Medical University of Silesia, Sosnowiec PL-41-200, Poland

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 22 April 2013
Accepted 23 May 2013
Available online 22 August 2013

Keywords:
Naproxen
RP-TLC
Lipophilicity parameter R<sub>MW</sub>
Theoretical partition coefficient
Topological index

#### ABSTRACT

Aim: The lipophilicity of naproxen by reversed-phase thin-layer chromatography (RP-TLC) and new methods of calculation of partition coefficients were developed.

Methods: Naproxen was investigated with the use RP-TLC on RP2 (Kieselgel 60  $F_{254}$  silanisiert), RP8 $F_{254s}$ , RP18 $F_{254s}$ , Diol $F_{254s}$ , and CN $F_{254s}$  plates, and methanol—water (pH<sub>water</sub> = 2.56; 5.73; 8.50) and 1,4-dioxane—water (pH<sub>water</sub> = 5.73) in different volume compositions as the mobile phases. The chromatographic parameters of lipophilicity (R<sub>MW</sub>) of the studied naproxen were determined and compared both, with measured (logP<sub>exp</sub>), and calculated partition coefficients (AlogPs, AClogP, AB/logP, miLogP, AlogP, mlogP, logP<sub>Kowwin</sub>, xlogP2, and xlogP3). New methods were proposed for calculation of logP for naproxen using the  $R_F$  value and the numerical value of topological index ( $^1\chi$ ,  $^2\chi$ ,  $^1\chi^{\nu}$ ,  $^o$ B).

Results: It was apparent that the lipophilicities  $R_{MW(RP18, pH=2.56)}$ ,  $R_{MW(RP8, pH=2.56)}$ ,  $R_{MW(RP8, pH=5.73)}$ ,  $R_{MW(RP8, pH=8.50)}$ ,  $R_{MW(CN, pH=2.56)}$ , and  $R_{MW(RP8, pH=5.73, d)}$  values were most similar to the experimental partition coefficient. Therefore, the RP8F<sub>254s</sub> plate is the best for lipophilicity analysis of naproxen. Conclusion: The logP values calculated for naproxen by the use of  $R_F$  values and topological index  $^0$ B, using the new approach, correlate the best with experimental partition coefficient.

Copyright © 2013, InPharm Association, Published by Reed Elsevier India Pvt. Ltd. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Lipophilicity is one of the parameters of drugs which influence their biological activities. Lipophilicity is defined by the partitioning of a compound between a nonaqueous and an aqueous phase and is expressed as logP. The different partition chromatographic techniques, and theoretical methods have been widely used as a reliable alternative to classical determination of logP. Topological indices and the  $R_{\rm F}$  values were also used to prediction of lipophilicity of substances investigated.  $^{9-18}$ 

Naproxen has pharmacological and pharmaceutical significance. It is a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug. It is used for reduction of pain, fever, inflammation and stiffness caused by conditions (for example: osteoarthritis, kidney stones, rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis, gout, menstrual cramps, tendinitis, bursitis, and others). 19

Therefore, the aims of this work were:

E-mail address: apyka@sum.edu.pl (A. Pyka).

• to determine the lipophilicity of naproxen by RP-TLC method on RP2F<sub>254</sub>, RP8F<sub>254s</sub>, RP18F<sub>254s</sub>, Diol F<sub>254s</sub>, and CNF<sub>254s</sub> plates

Corresponding author.

- using a methanol—water and 1,4-dioxane-water as mobile phases;
- to determine the influence of pH water on the lipophilicity of naproxen;
- to propose new methods of calculation of partition coefficients on the basis of numerical value of topological index as well as on the basis of  $R_{\rm F}$  value received by RP-TLC technique for studied naproxen.

The experimental n-octanol-water partition coefficient and chromatographic parameters of lipophilicity values were compared with lipophilicity values estimated by computational methods for naproxen.

### 2. Material and methods

### 2.1. Chemicals and standard solutions

The following components of the mobile phase: methanol (E. Merck, Germany; for liquid chromatography), 1,4-dioxane (POCh, Gliwice, Poland, analytical grade) and distilled water (pH = 5.73) were used for RP-TLC analysis. Distilled water was acidified with hydrochloric acid (35-38%, pure for analysis, POCh, Gliwice, Poland) to pH = 2.56, and alkalized with ammonia (25%, pure for

**Table 1**Parameters of the linear regression ( $\pm$ SE) relating the  $R_{\rm M}$  values of naproxen to the methanol content ( $\varphi$ ) of methanol—water (pH = 2.56) mobile phase (according to eq. (1):  $R_{\rm M} = R_{\rm MW} - S \cdot \varphi$ ) for analysis performed on particular plates.<sup>a</sup>

Chromatographic support (symbol of lipophilic parameter)	R <sub>MW</sub> (±SE)	S (±SE)	n	r	SEE	F	Range of the volume fraction of methanol $(\varphi)$	Eq. no.
RP18 ( $R_{MW(RP18, pH = 2.56)}$ )	2.934 (±0.266)	3.96 (±0.35)	6	0.985	0.146	131	1.00÷0.50	(6)
RP8 ( $R_{MW(RP8, pH = 2.56)}$ )	$2.983 (\pm 0.167)$	$4.01~(\pm 0.22)$	6	0.994	0.091	340	$1.00 \div 0.50$	(7)
RP2 ( $R_{MW(RP2, pH = 2.56)}$ )	$0.970~(\pm 0.162)$	$2.60~(\pm 0.24)$	8	0.976	0.152	122	$1.00 \div 0.30$	(8)
Diol $(R_{MW(Diol, pH = 2.56)})$	$1.055 (\pm 0.211)$	$2.63 (\pm 0.31)$	8	0.962	0.198	74	$1.00 \div 0.30$	(9)
CN $(R_{MW(CN, pH = 2.56)})$	$2.404~(\pm 0.062)$	$3.57\ (\pm0.08)$	7	0.998	0.045	1759	$1.00 \div 0.40$	(10)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Where: SE – standard error; n – number of points to drive the particular regression equation; r – correlation coefficient; SEE – standard error of the estimation; F – the values of the Fisher test; for all regression equation the significance level (p) is < 0.0005.

analysis, POCh, Gliwice, Poland) to pH = 8.50. The pH of water was measured by use of pehameter (Elmetron, Poland). The commercial sample of naproxen (Sigma Aldrich, lot: 097K1452, meets USP testing specifications) was used as test solute. Standard solution of naproxen (20 mg/10 mL) was prepared in ethanol (99.8%, pure for analysis, POCh, Gliwice, Poland).

## 2.2. Application of reversed-phase thin-layer chromatography for determination of chromatographic parameters of lipophilicity

Reversed partition thin-layer chromatography (RP-TLC) was done on RP2F $_{254}$  (E. Merck, #1.05474), RP8F $_{254s}$  (E. Merck, #1.05559), Diol F $_{254s}$  (E. Merck, #1.05636) and CNF $_{254s}$  (E. Merck, #1.12571) plates. Solution of examined naproxen was spotted on chromatographic plates in quantity of 10  $\mu$ g of naproxen in 5  $\mu$ L of solution. The chromatograms were developed by using the mixtures of methanol + water (pH<sub>water</sub> = 2.56; 5.73; 8.50), 1,4-dioxane + water (pH<sub>water</sub> = 5.73), and the content of organic modifier in mobile phase was gradually varied by 10% (%, v/v) from 30 to 100 (%, v/v).

Fifty mL of mobile phase was placed into a classical chromatographic chamber (Camag, Switzerland). The chamber was saturated with solvent for 20 min. The chromatograms were developed at the room temperature, e.g., 22 °C. The development distance was 7.5 cm. The plates were dried at the room temperature, e.g., 22 °C. A Camag densitometer was used to obtainment of  $R_{\rm F}$  values. Densitometric scanning was then performed at 254 nm. The radiation source was a deuterium lamp emitting a continuous spectrum between 190 and 450 nm. The slit dimensions were  $10.00 \times 0.40$  mm, Macro; the optimized optical system was light; the scanning speed was 20 mm s<sup>-1</sup>; the data resolution was  $100~\mu \rm m$  step<sup>-1</sup>; the measurement type was remission; and the measurement mode was absorption; the optical filter was second order. The chromatograms were done in triplicate and mean  $R_{\rm F}$  values were used to calculate  $R_{\rm M}$ .

The  $R_{\rm M}$  values obtained for studied naproxen on RP2F<sub>254</sub>, RP8F<sub>254s</sub>, RP18F<sub>254s</sub>, Diol F<sub>254s</sub>, and CN F<sub>254s</sub> plates, using the methanol—water and 1,4-dioxane—water mobile phases were extrapolated to zero concentration of organic modifier in eluent ( $R_{\rm MW}$ ), in accordance with Soczewiński—Wachtmeister<sup>4</sup> equation:

$$R_{\rm M} = R_{\rm MW} - S \cdot \varphi \tag{1}$$

where:  $R_{\rm M}$  is the  $R_{\rm M}$  value of examined substance by content  $\varphi$  of volume fraction of organic modifier in mobile phase;  $R_{\rm MW}$  is the theoretical value of  $R_{\rm M}$  of naproxen extrapolated to zero concentration of methanol in mobile phase; S is the slope of the regression curve;  $\varphi$  is the volume fraction of organic modifier in the mobile phase.

### 2.3. Calculation of theoretical partition coefficients

The values of theoretical partition coefficients such as: AlogPs, AClogP, AB/logP, miLogP, AlogP, mlogP,  $logP_{Kowwin}$ , logP2, and logP3 [5–8] were calculated with the use of the Internet databases.

### 2.4. Topological indices

Selected topological indices based on adjacency matrix: Randić  $(^1\chi, ^2\chi,$  and  $^1\chi^{\nu}),^{20}$  and also based on distance matrix: Pyka  $(^oB)^{21}$  were calculated. Pyka index was calculated by building a distance matrix and determining its elements by means of values given by Barvsz et al. $^{22}$ 

### 2.5. New methods of calculation logP for naproxen

New methods of calculation logP were proposed for naproxen, namely according to the equations (2)–(5):

$$\log P = {}^{0}B + R_{\rm F} \tag{2}$$

$$\log P = {}^{2}\chi \cdot R_{\rm F} \tag{3}$$

$$\log P = {}^{1}\gamma \cdot R_{\rm F} \tag{4}$$

$$\log P = {}^{1}\chi^{\nu} \cdot R_{\rm F} \tag{5}$$

where  ${}^{o}$ B,  ${}^{1}\chi$ ,  ${}^{1}\chi$ , and  ${}^{2}\chi$  are topological indices, and  $R_{F}$  is retardation factor of naproxen.

Table 2
Parameters of the linear regression ( $\pm$ SE) relating the  $R_{\rm M}$  values of naproxen to the methanol content ( $\varphi$ ) of methanol—water (pH = 5.73) mobile phase (according to eq. (1):  $R_{\rm M} = R_{\rm MW} - S \cdot \varphi$ ) for analysis performed on particular plates.<sup>a</sup>

Chromatographic support (symbol of lipophilic parameter)	R <sub>MW</sub> (±SE)	S (±SE)	n	r	SEE	F	Range of the volume fraction of methanol $(\varphi)$	Eq. no.
RP18 ( $R_{MW(RP18, pH = 5.73)}$ )	1.351 (±0.066)	1.73 (±0.09)	7	0.993	0.048	361	0.90÷0.30	(11)
RP8 ( $R_{MW(RP8, pH = 5.73)}$ )	$2.785~(\pm 0.130)$	$3.81~(\pm 0.18)$	7	0.994	0.095	454	$1.00 \div 0.40$	(12)
RP2 ( $R_{MW(RP2, pH = 5.73)}$ )	$0.385~(\pm 0.106)$	$2.18 (\pm 0.15)$	8	0.985	0.100	199	$1.00 \div 0.30$	(13)
Diol ( $R_{MW(Diol), pH = 5.73}$ )	$1.172~(\pm 0.116)$	$2.37\ (\pm0.16)$	7	0.989	0.084	221	$0.90 \div 0.30$	(14)
$CN (R_{MW(CN, pH = 5.73)})$	$1.752~(\pm 0.112)$	$2.89\ (\pm0.16)$	8	0.991	0.106	315	$1.00 \div 0.30$	(15)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Where: SE – standard error; n – number of points to drive the particular regression equation; r – correlation coefficient; SEE – standard error of the estimation; F – the values of the Fisher test; for all regression equation the significance level (p) is <0.0005.

### Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2495583

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/2495583

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>