



## Note

## Formation mechanism of a new carbamazepine/malonic acid cocrystal polymorph

Waree Limwikanant, Aiko Nagai, Yumi Hagiwara, Kenjiro Higashi, Keiji Yamamoto, Kunikazu Moribe\*

Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chiba University, 1-8-1 Inohana, Chuo-ku, Chiba 260-8675, Japan

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 6 February 2012

Received in revised form 26 March 2012

Accepted 9 April 2012

Available online 16 April 2012

## Keywords:

Cocrystal  
Polymorphism  
Grinding  
Carbamazepine  
Transition

## ABSTRACT

A new 2/1 carbamazepine (CBZ)/malonic acid (MA) cocrystal polymorph form C was formed using a vibrational rod mill, whereas the known cocrystal polymorph form A was prepared using a ball mill. IR measurements showed that the interaction between CBZ and MA in cocrystal form C was formed by amide–carboxylic acid heterosynthons, similar to that in cocrystal form A. However, NMR results showed that the molecular states of CBZ at the dibenzazepine ring appeared to be different, which could be due to variation in either the conjugation of the aromatic rings or the  $\pi$ – $\pi$  interaction of CBZ. Factors affecting the formation of cocrystal polymorphs, such as heat and force, were investigated to clarify the formation mechanism.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Carbamazepine (CBZ) is classified as a class II drug, i.e., low water solubility and high permeability, in the biopharmaceutics classification system. Improving its solubility or dissolution rate can enhance the bioavailability of CBZ. Many methods are available to improve the dissolution of poorly water-soluble drugs, such as solid dispersion, complexation, and cocrystal formation. The cocrystallization of active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) with pharmaceutically acceptable cofomers is a method that can sometimes yield new crystalline forms of APIs with desirable physical properties (Qiao et al., 2011). Cocrystals of CBZ with various cofomers, including carboxylic acids and nicotinamide, have been reported (Childs et al., 2009; Rahman et al., 2011). The different methods used for preparing cocrystals, such as evaporation and coprecipitation, sometimes result in cocrystal polymorphs that affect their physicochemical properties (e.g., solubility and stability) (Aitipamula et al., 2010). Cocrystals that have many polymorphic forms may change into other undesirable forms during the manufacturing processes. Therefore, the formation mechanism of cocrystal polymorphs is of interest to the pharmaceutical industry. The purposes of this study were to characterize the CBZ/malonic acid (MA) cocrystal phases yielded via two different cogrinding

methods and to investigate the formation mechanism of any new polymorphs identified.


The 2.5 g physical mixtures (PMs) of CBZ/MA at a molar ratio of 2/1 were coground using different conditions: (1) with a ball mill (model PM100, Retsch GmbH, Germany; conditions: ten 10 mm ZrO<sub>2</sub> balls, 300 rpm, 120 min); and (2) with a vibrational rod mill (model TI-200, CMT Co. Ltd., Japan; grinding time, 60 min), to obtain the ground mixtures (GMs) GM-B and GM-R, respectively. The powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) pattern of GM-R was different from that of GM-B and the starting materials (Fig. 1), indicating that a potential new cocrystal was formed by the different cogrinding condition. Two CBZ/MA cocrystal polymorphs, i.e., form A and B, have been reported (Childs et al., 2008; Lu et al., 2008). The diffraction pattern of cocrystal form A was shown to have characteristic peaks at 5.8°, 11.6°, and 14.6°, while the characteristic peaks of cocrystal form B were observed to be at 6.6° and 8.8°. Compared with our results, the GM-B pattern corresponded to that of cocrystal form A, while the pattern of GM-R was different from cocrystal form A or B, suggesting a novel cocrystal polymorph. Cocrystal form B has been reported to be a hydrate (Childs et al., 2008). As measured by the Karl–Fischer method, GM-R had water content of less than 1%, which showed that GM-R was not in hydrate form. Hereafter, GM-B and GM-R were referred to as cocrystal forms A and C, respectively.

The interaction between CBZ and MA was examined using Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy (Fig. 2). Compared with the starting materials, cocrystal form C showed changes in the C=O stretching peak of MA and in N–H and C=O stretching, C=C and C=O vibration, and the NH deformation peaks of CBZ. Each

**Abbreviations:** CBZ, carbamazepine; API, active pharmaceutical ingredient; MA, malonic acid; PM, physical mixture; GM, ground mixture; PXRD, powder X-ray diffraction; FT-IR, Fourier transform infrared; DSC, differential scanning calorimetry; Cryo, cryogenic; RH, relative humidity.

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +81 43 226 2866; fax: +81 43 226 2867.

E-mail address: [moribe@p.chiba-u.ac.jp](mailto:moribe@p.chiba-u.ac.jp) (K. Moribe).



**Fig. 1.** The PXRD patterns of the 2/1 CBZ/MA system: (a) CBZ, (b) MA, (c) PM, (d) GM-B, (e) GM-R, and (f) cocrysal form B\*. ●: CBZ, ▲: MA, ◆: cocrysal form A, ★: cocrysal form C, △: cocrysal form B.

\*Cocrysal form B was prepared by storage cocrysal form C at 40 °C, 96% RH for 1 week. Its PXRD diffraction pattern was in accordance with that reported by Childs et al. (2008).


CBZ and MA forms dimers in their structures (Reboul et al., 1981; Jagannathan et al., 1994). Changes in IR spectrum of cocrysal form C indicated that the dimers of both CBZ and MA were broken and new hydrogen bonds between CBZ and MA were formed. The IR spectrum of cocrysal form A was the same as that of cocrysal form C, suggesting similar interaction modes.

<sup>13</sup>C solid-state NMR was used to evaluate the difference in the molecular states of the polymorphic cocrysal forms A and C (Fig. 3). The peaks derived from MA and the carbon atom at the amide group of CBZ in the cocrysal form C spectrum were similar to those in cocrysal form A. However, chemical shifts of carbons at the dibenzazepine ring were apparently distinguished. The CBZ/MA cocrysal polymorphs A and C were formed by the same hydrogen bonding, but displayed some differences in the molecular states of CBZ at the dibenzazepine ring.

The thermal behavior of cocrysal forms A and C was investigated using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and shown in Fig. 4. The DSC curve of cocrysal form C gave an endothermic peak at 146 °C following by the decomposition, similar to that of cocrysal form A. The thermal behavior of both cocrysal forms A and C is assumed to be similar. The information from the thermal analysis can be used to classify the polymorphs into enantiotropic or monotropic (Burger and Ramberger, 1979). Burger and Ramberger's heat of transition rule states that if an endothermic phase change is observed at a particular temperature and the transition point lies below that temperature, the two polymorphs are related enantiotropically. On the contrary, the polymorphs are monotropically related when there is an exothermic transition. However, it could not apply the heat of transition rule to our results. At present, it is difficult to determine how cocrysal form A relates to cocrysal form C as monotropic or enantiotropic relationship. Although the thermal analysis did not show difference of these two polymorphs, the results from PXRD and solid-state NMR measurements revealed that cocrysal form C is a true polymorph to cocrysal form A.

To determine the structure of cocrysal form C at an atomic level, a single crystal of cocrysal form C is required. However, obtaining a single crystal is difficult because to date cocrysal form C has only been prepared using the cogrinding method, not the solvent method. Several solvents such as acetonitrile and ethyl acetate were used to prepare cocrysal form C but it yielded only cocrysal form A. Thus, to gain an understanding about the structure, the integration of characterization techniques was necessary. The almost identical IR spectra of cocrysal forms A and C indicated that the interaction between CBZ and MA in cocrysal form C was formed by hydrogen bonding through amide–carboxylic acid heterosynths, as observed in cocrysal form A (Lu et al., 2008). The difference between the cocrysal polymorphs was observed by NMR measurements. The  $\pi$ – $\pi$  interaction between adjacent CBZ molecules was observed in cocrysal form A (Lu et al., 2008). Therefore, the difference between cocrysal forms C and A may be due to changes in either the conjugation of the aromatic rings or the  $\pi$ – $\pi$  interaction of CBZ.

Factors affecting the formation of cocrysal polymorphs, such as force, heat, and humidity, were investigated to elucidate their formation mechanism (Figs. 5 and 6). The temperature of the grinding chamber in ball and rod mills usually increases during grinding. Cryo-GM was prepared by grinding the PM in a vibrational rod mill (model TI-500ET, CMT Co. Ltd., Japan) at –180 °C for 30 min to eliminate the heat associated with grinding. Cryo-GM was in an amorphous state (Fig. 5a). Mechanical force from cogrinding CBZ with MA facilitated the breaking of the crystal structures into an unstable state. The halo pattern became similar to that of cocrysal form A after storage at 25 °C, 0% relative humidity (RH) for 18 h (Fig. 5b), indicating the formation of crystalline form A. Thus, mechanical force and thermal activation were necessary for CBZ/MA cocrysal formation by cogrinding method.



**Fig. 2.** FT-IR spectra of the CBZ/MA system: (a) CBZ, (b) MA, (c) cocrysal form A, and (d) cocrysal form C.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2502871>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2502871>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)